

Gallup Omnibus Data 1971, omnibus nr. 11

DDA-425

Indholdsfortegnelse

Variabelliste

[V1 7110 DDA STUDY NUMBER](#)
[V2 7110 YEAR](#)
[V3 7110 BUS NUMBER](#)
[V4 7110 DOCUMENT NUMBER](#)
[V5 7110 SEQUENCE NUMBER](#)
[V6 7110 MONTH](#)
[V7 7110 RESPONDENT ID](#)
[V8 7110 WEIGHT VARIABLE](#)
[V9 7110 URBANIZATION](#)
[V10 7110 SEX](#)
[V11 7110 AGE](#)
[V12 7110 MARITAL STATUS](#)
[V13 7110 RS OCCUPATION](#)
[V14 7110 RS EDUCATION](#)
[V15 7110 RS INCOME](#)
[V16 7110 FAMILY INCOME](#)
[V17 7110 SUPPORTERS OCCUPAT.](#)
[V18 7110 SIZE OF HOUSEHOLD](#)
[V19 7110 TYPE OF DWELLING](#)
[V20 7110 NUMBER OF CHILDREN](#)
[V21 7110 NUMBER OF ADULTS](#)
[V22 7110 HEAD OF FAMILY](#)
[V23 7110 HOUSEWIFE](#)
[V24 7110 OTHER ADULT](#)
[V25 7110 HOUSEWIFES POSITION](#)
[V26 7110 SOCIAL GROUP](#)
[V27 7110 PARTY PREFERENCE](#)
[V28 7110 FOR/AGAINST THE EC](#)
[V29 7110 DK/N AND THE EC](#)
[V30 7110 EC REFERENDUM](#)
[V31 7110 BINDING REFERENDUM](#)
[V32 7110 KNOWLEDGE ABOUT EC](#)
[V33 7110 TV IN HOUSEHOLD](#)
[V34 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 1](#)
[V35 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 2](#)
[V36 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 3](#)
[V37 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 4](#)
[V38 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 5](#)
[V39 7110 LICENSE CTR TAXES](#)
[V40 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 1](#)
[V41 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 2](#)
[V42 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 3](#)
[V43 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 4](#)
[V44 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 5](#)
[V45 7110 TAX EVASION 1](#)
[V46 7110 TAX EVASION 2](#)
[V47 7110 TAX EVASION 3](#)
[V48 7110 TAX EVASION 4](#)
[V49 7110 KNOWS THE 2% RULE](#)
[V50 7110 2 % RULE REASONABLE](#)
[V51 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 1](#)
[V52 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 2](#)
[V53 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 3](#)

[V54 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 4](#)
[V55 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 5](#)
[V56 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 6](#)
[V57 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 7](#)
[V58 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 8](#)
[V59 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 9](#)
[V60 7110 LEVEL OF BARRIER](#)
[V61 7110 VOTING PREVENTION](#)
[V62 7110 SECOND PARTY 1](#)
[V63 7110 SECOND PARTY 2](#)
[V64 7110 SECOND PARTY 3](#)
[V65 7110 SECOND PARTY 4](#)
[V66 7110 SECOND PARTY 5](#)
[V67 7110 SECOND PARTY 6](#)
[V68 7110 SECOND PARTY 7](#)
[V69 7110 SECOND PARTY 8](#)

Variabler

• V1 7110 DDA STUDY NUMBER

- Double (0 decimaler)

▪

Gyldige	1370
Manglende	0
Minimum	425
Maximum	425
Gennemsnit	425
Median	425
Standardafvigelse	0

• V2 7110 YEAR

- Double (0 decimaler)

▪

Gyldige	1370
Manglende	0
Minimum	1971
Maximum	1971
Gennemsnit	1971
Median	1971
Standardafvigelse	0

• V3 7110 BUS NUMBER

In 1971 The Danish Gallup Institute carried out 11 surveys (omnibuses), one for each month with the exception of July. The surveys are numbered in succession (from 01 through 11). This variable has the value 10 for all cases.

- Bus number.

- Double (0 decimaler)

▪

Gyldige	1370
Manglende	0
Minimum	10
Maximum	10
Gennemsnit	10
Median	10
Standardafvigelse	0

• V4 7110 DOCUMENT NUMBER

The variable has the value 01 in every case.

- Document number.

- Double (0 decimaler)

▪

Gyldige	1370
---------	------

Manglende	0
Minimum	0
Maximum	0
Gennemsnit	0
Median	0
Standardafvigelse	0

- **V5 7110 SEQUENCE NUMBER**

The variable consists of the serial numbers of the respondents, within the individual omnibuses.

- Sequence number.

- Double (0 decimaler)

-

Gyldige	1370
Manglende	0
Minimum	1
Maximum	1992
Gennemsnit	989
Median	984
Standardafvigelse	578

- **V6 7110 MONTH**

In 1971 The Danish Gallup Institute carried through 11 omnibus surveys (omnibuses), one for each month with the exception of July.

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- 101: Month.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

100 100 1370 11 November

100

Svarprocent: 100

- **V7 7110 RESPONDENT ID**

The original Gallup identification number.

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- 102-106: Respondent identification number.

- Double (0 decimaler)

-

Gyldige	1370
Manglende	0
Minimum	1
Maximum	1393
Gennemsnit	697
Median	690
Standardafvigelse	405

- **V8 7110 WEIGHT VARIABLE**

This variable was generated by the DDA. Its value indicates the number of times a given case occurred in the original card weighted data file. The duplicate cases have been removed on the basis of a comparison of V7.

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- Weight variable

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

64 64 878 1 the case occurred once

29 29 397 2 the case

			occurred 2 times
5	5	66	3 the case occurred 3 times
2	2	26	4 the case occurred 4 times
0	0	1	5 the case occurred 5 times
0	0	1	6 the case occurred 6 times
0	0	1	7 the case occurred 7 times

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V9 7110 URBANIZATION**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 108: Urbanization.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

20	20	269	1 capital
7	7	101	2 suburbs
30	30	417	3 provincial towns
43	43	583	4 rural districts

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V10 7110 SEX**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 112: Sex.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

48	48	656	1 men
52	52	714	2 women

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V11 7110 AGE**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 112: Age.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

9	9	119	1 15 - 19 years
8	8	112	2 20 - 24 years
11	11	157	3 25 - 29 years
16	16	222	4 30 - 39 years
14	14	190	5 40 - 49 years
23	23	318	6 50 - 64 years
18	18	252	7 65 years or more

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V12 7110 MARITAL STATUS**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- 112: Marital status.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

19	19	261	1 single
68	68	938	2 married
12	12	171	3 previously married

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V13 7110 RS OCCUPATION**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 113: Respondents occupational status.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

14	14	186	1 workers, unskilled
9	9	129	2 workers, skilled
14	14	190	3 salaried employees, lower grade
5	5	69	4 other salaried persons
4	4	56	5 farmers, independent
4	4	53	6 independent shopkeepers or artisans
4	4	56	7 other independent
9	9	118	8 apprentice, pupil, student etc.
17	17	227	9 pensioners or other persons without occupation
21	21	285	10 married women without employment

0 1 88

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V14 7110 RS EDUCATION**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 114: Education.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

70	70	964	1 elementary school
21	21	282	2 junior highschool
8	8	113	3 highschool/university
1	1	11	4 won't answer

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V15 7110 RS INCOME**

Income is the total money-income (wage salary paid) before any deduction for tax payment.

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- 114: Income of respondent.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

21	21	287	1 0 kr.
16	16	220	2 1 - 11.999 kr.
11	11	153	3 12.000 - 17.999 kr.
9	9	123	4 18.000 - 25.999 kr.
12	12	158	5 26.000 - 33.999 kr.
15	15	199	6 34.000 - 49.999 kr.
9	9	117	7 50.000 kr. or more
8	8	112	8 won't answer
0		1	88

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V16 7110 FAMILY INCOME**

Income is the total money-income (wage salary paid) before any deduction for tax payment.

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- 115: Total family income.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

5	5	66	1 - 11.999 kr.
10	10	137	2 12.000 - 17.999 kr.
8	8	115	3 18.000 - 25.999 kr.
10	10	142	4 26.000 - 33.999 kr.
21	21	287	5 34.000 - 49.999 kr.
17	17	235	6 50.000 - 74.999 kr.
12	12	160	7 75.000 kr. or more
17	17	228	8 don't know/won't answer

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V17 7110 SUPPORTERS OCCUPAT.**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)

- 116: Supporters occupation.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

15	15	208	1 workers, unskilled
15	15	209	2 workers, skilled
13	13	176	3 salaried employees, lower grade
9	9	119	4 other salaried persons
10	10	136	5 farmers, independent
6	6	86	6 independent shopkeepers

			or artisans
8	8	105	7 other independent
3	3	39	8 apprentice, pupil, student etc.
20	20	275	9 pensioners or other persons without occupation
1	1	16	10 married women without employment
0	1	88	

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V18 7110 SIZE OF HOUSEHOLD**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 117: Total number of persons (in household).

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

12	12	170	1 1 person
34	34	462	2 2 persons
21	21	292	3 3 persons
19	19	260	4 4 persons
8	8	109	5 5 persons
6	6	77	6 6 or more persons

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V19 7110 TYPE OF DWELLING**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 117: Type of dwelling.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

41	42	561	1 own house
5	5	72	2 rented house
11	11	147	3 own farm/rented farm
4	4	53	4 apartment in private home
37	38	512	5 apartment
2		25	8

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 98

• **V20 7110 NUMBER OF CHILDREN**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 118: Number of children under 15 years.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

15	40	204	1 1 child
15	41	206	2 2 children
6	16	81	3 3 children
1	3	17	4 4 or more children
63		862	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 37

• **V21 7110 NUMBER OF ADULTS**

◦ [Gallup Variables.](#)

◦ 118: Number of adults in household (15 years or more).

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

13	13	178	1 1 adult
57	57	781	2 2 adults
20	20	273	3 3 adults
10	10	138	4 4 adults or more

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V22 7110 HEAD OF FAMILY**

◦ [Gallup Variables.](#)

◦ 118: Respondents positions in household.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

50	50	691	0 not head of the family
50	50	679	1 head of the family

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V23 7110 HOUSEWIFE**

◦ [Gallup Variables.](#)

◦ 118: Respondents positions in household.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

55	55	747	0 not housewife
45	45	623	1 housewife

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V24 7110 OTHER ADULT**

◦ [Gallup Variables.](#)

◦ 118: Respondents positions in household.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

84	84	1146	0 not other adult
16	16	224	1 other adult

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V25 7110 HOUSEWIFES POSITION**

◦ [Gallup Variables.](#)

◦ 119: Position of housewife.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

6	6	83	1 no housewife
53	53	727	2 housewife without employment

19	19	259	3 housewife with full-time employment
15	15	206	4 housewife with part- time employment
7	7	95	5 housewife who helps husband

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V26 7110 SOCIAL GROUP**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 119: Social group.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

61	61	830	1 group I
35	35	483	2 group II
4	4	57	3 group III

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V27 7110 PARTY PREFERENCE**

- [Gallup Variables.](#)
- 122-123: For which party would you vote in case of a general election tomorrow?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

27	27	365	1 A. Socialdemokratiet
12	13	170	2 B. Radikale
11	12	156	3 C. Konservative
12	12	159	4 D. Venstre
1	1	13	5 E. Retsforbundet
0	0	4	6 K. Kommuniste
0	0	1	7 U. De Uafhængige
5	6	75	8 F. Socialistisk Folkeparti
0	0	0	9 L. Liberalt Centrum
0	0	2	10 S. Slesvigsk Parti
1	1	15	11 Q. Kristeligt Folkeparti
1	1	19	12 Y. Venstresocialisterne
8	8	111	13 don't know which party
8	8	107	14 no right of voting
2	2	31	15 would not vote
1	1	18	16 don't know whether would vote or not
6	6	83	17 refuse to answer which party
2	2	24	18 refuse to answer whether would vote or not
0		6	88
1		11	99 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V28 7110 FOR/AGAINST THE EC**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 167A: Are you for or against that Denmark enters the Common Market?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

37	37	507	1 for
28	28	378	2 against
34	35	468	3 don't know
0		6	8
1		11	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V29 7110 DK/N AND THE EC**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 167B: If Norway does not become a member of the Common Market, are you then for or against that Denmark enters?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

32	39	442	1 for
14	17	186	2 against
36	44	497	3 don't know
0		1	8
18		244	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 82

• **V30 7110 EC REFERENDUM**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 167C: Have you heard or read that Denmark's position about the Common Market will be decided at a referendum?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

92	94	1266	1 yes
6	6	84	2 no/don't know
1		20	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V31 7110 BINDING REFERENDUM**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 167D: The Folketing (the Parliament) has adopted to enter the Common Market provided that England becomes a member. Do you then think that it is correct or not to have this question decided by a binding referendum?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

49	50	673	1 right
31	32	431	2 not right
18	18	245	3 don't know
2		21	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 98

• **V32 7110 KNOWLEDGE ABOUT EC**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 168A: Do you think that the population, in general, has sufficient background and knowledge to judge whether or not Denmark should become a member of the Common Market?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

11	11	154	1 yes
76	78	1043	2 no
11	11	148	3 don't know
0		2	8

2 23 9 Uoplyst
100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 98

• **V33 7110 TV IN HOUSEHOLD**

(If 'no': go to question 169D (V39))

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 168B: Have you got a TV in your household?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

88 89 1205 1 yes

10 11 142 2 no

2 23 9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 98

• **V34 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 1**

(If 'yes': go to question 169C (V38))

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 168C: There has been talked very much about introducing a new TV-programme II without advertising, so that we get two TV-programmes to choose between. If this means that the price of the TV-licence will be doubled, do you then think that we should introduce a new TV programme II?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

9 10 120 1 yes

77 84 1052 2 no

5 6 73 3 don't know

0 1 8

9 124 9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 91

• **V35 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 2**

(If 'yes': go to question 169D (V39))

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 168D: If the price of the TV-licence is only raised by 50 %, do you then think a new TV-programme II should be introduced?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

11 13 146 1 yes

67 79 917 2 no

7 8 94 3 don't know

0 1 8

15 212 9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 84

• **V36 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 3**

(If 'yes': go to question 169D (V39))

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 169A: If the price of the TV-licence is only raised by 10 %, for example, do you then think a new TV-programme II should be introduced?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

22 28 296 1 yes

48 62 651 2 no

7 10 102 3 don't know

0 2 8

23 319 9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 77

• **V37 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 4**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 169B: Do you think that a new TV-programme II under no circumstances should be introduced?

%	MD%	Antal	Kode	Kategori
19	31	259	1	yes, under no circumstances
30	49	405	2	no
12	20	168	3	don't know
39		538	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 61

• **V38 7110 TV-PROGRAMME II 5**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 169C: If a new TV-programme II could be paid by way of advertising exclusively, would you then be for or against an extra TV-programme with advertising?

%	MD%	Antal	Kode	Kategori
62	68	844	1	for
19	21	265	2	against
10	11	137	3	don't know
0		1	8	
9		123	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 91

• **V39 7110 LICENSE CTR TAXES**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 169D: It has been decided to abolish the Radio and TV-licence in such a way that the Broadcasting System of Denmark is paid by the State, i.e. financed through the taxes. - Do you think this is reasonable or not that the State through taxes pays the Broadcasting System of Denmark instead of using the present system of payment for Radio and TV-licence?

%	MD%	Antal	Kode	Kategori
26	27	360	1	reasonable that the state pays
64	64	870	2	not reasonable
9	9	126	3	don't know
1		14	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V40 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 1**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 170A: In Denmark we have compulsory military service for all men, but for reasons of conscience one can object to do this service. Do you think it is reasonable or not to object to do military service for reasons of conscience?

%	MD%	Antal	Kode	Kategori
51	51	694	1	reasonable
36	37	498	2	not reasonable
12	12	167	3	don't know
1		11	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

V41 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 2

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 170B: Up to now conscientious objectors have had a longer service than the other servicemen in order that they should not be tempted to evade military service. Do you find that it is reasonable to give conscientious objectors special burdens in order not to tempt them to evade compulsory service?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

43	44	594	1	reasonable
41	41	562	2	not reasonable
15	15	202	3	don't know
1		12	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• V42 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 3

(If 'no'/'don't know': go to question 171A (V44))

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 170C: The number of conscientious objectors is rising considerably and is expected to rise continuously. Do you think we should try to prevent this development?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

48	48	658	1	yes
33	33	451	2	no
18	18	249	3	don't know
0		4	8	
1		8	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• V43 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 4

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 170D: Should they be given heavier burdens or should conscientious objectors be forbidden completely?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

21	33	283	1	heavier burden
15	24	206	2	forbidden
26	42	358	3	don't know
0		1	8	
38		522	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 62

• V44 7110 CONSC. OBJECTORS 5

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 171A: Do you think that conscientious objectors are morally better, inferior or are they just as ordinary service men?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

4	4	58	1	better
72	73	992	2	the same
11	11	154	3	inferior
11	11	153	4	don't know
0		5	8	
1		8	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• V45 7110 TAX EVASION 1

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 171B: And now another question. To dodge the tax is punishable and is punished in various ways, ranging from a fine

to prison. Do you think that people consider tax evasion to be more or less immoral than imposture towards a person or a firm?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

4	4	56	1 more immoral
30	30	412	2 the same
58	59	797	3 less immoral
7	7	95	4 don't know
0		5	8
0		5	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V46 7110 TAX EVASION 2**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 171C: A motion will now be moved about an increase of the punishment on tax evasion. The punishment so far has been up to 2 years in prison. It will be moved to increase this to four years in prison. Are you for or against this motion?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

55	55	751	1 for
23	23	313	2 against
21	21	291	3 don't know
0		1	8
1		14	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V47 7110 TAX EVASION 3**

(If 'yes': go to question 172C (V49))

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 172A: Do you think that the burden of taxation is reasonable divided?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

17	17	232	1 yes
69	69	944	2 no
13	13	183	3 don't know
1		11	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 99

• **V48 7110 TAX EVASION 4**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 172B: What, in your opinion, is the main reason for this, the present Income Tax Acts or the tax evasion?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

43	53	590	1 the present income tax
16	20	223	2 the tax evasion
16	20	222	3 don't know
6	7	77	4 income tax and tax evasion both
0		1	8
19		257	9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 81

• **V49 7110 KNOWS THE 2% RULE**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

- 172C: (IF RIGHT OF VOTING) And finally a question of the so-called barrier rule. In Denmark, as other countries, it is a rule that the parties nominated for a general election must obtain a certain percentage of the votes in order to be represented by seats in the Parliament. In Denmark the rule is in force that a party must obtain at least 2% of the votes. Did you know this rule?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

78	85	1071	1	yes
9	10	125	2	no
5	5	68	3	don't know
0		6	8	
7		100	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 92

- **V50 7110 2 % RULE REASONABLE**

(If 'not right': go to question 174 (V57), if 'don't know': go to question 175B (V61)).

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 172D: Even if a party is notified with the necessary signatures and no matter where the limit should be drawn, do you then think it is reasonable or not that there has been drawn a limit for how small the parties may be to get representation in the Parliament?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

53	57	725	1	right
18	20	252	2	not right
21	23	288	3	don't know
8		105	9	Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 92

- **V51 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 1**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? We get too many parties/small parties/chaos of small parties/too many parties/small parties without importance/can get no influence/we have a sufficient number of parties.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

64	64	881	0	not mentioned
36	36	489	1	mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V52 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 2**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Too many small parties destroy the co-operation/harm the big ones/split the votes/waste of votes/difficult to form an efficient government/many small parties cannot cooperate/too many to decide/the small parties work confusing.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

88	88	1212	0	not mentioned
12	12	158	1	mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

- **V53 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 3**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? They will not be able to get influence/their influence is too small all the same (the small parties).

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

99 99 1357 0 not mentioned
1 1 13 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V54 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 4**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Supporter of two-party-system.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

99 99 1362 0 not mentioned
1 1 8 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V55 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 5**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Other answers.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

96 96 1318 0 not mentioned
4 4 52 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V56 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 6**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Dont know.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

99 99 1351 0 not mentioned
1 1 19 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V57 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 7**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 174: (IF NOT REASONABLE) - Why not? All parties should be able to get represented/when they have collected a sufficient number of signatures they should be represented/all votes must be heard/ all should have a chance/everybody must be allowed to decide/it is wrong to exclude the small parties.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

86 86 1176 0 not mentioned
14 14 194 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V58 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 8**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 174: (IF NOT REASONABLE) - Why not? Other answers.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

97 97 1332 0 not mentioned

3 3 38 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V59 7110 WHY 2 % RULE 9**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 174: (IF NOT REASONABLE) - Why not? Dont know.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

100 100 1364 0 not mentioned

0 0 6 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V60 7110 LEVEL OF BARRIER**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 175A: The barrier limit is now of 2%. Do you think this is alright or should it be higher or possibly lower?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

11 15 145 1 higher

36 51 490 2 allright

14 20 196 3 lower

10 14 135 4 don't know

0 1 8

29 403 9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 71

• **V61 7110 VOTING PREVENTION**

(If 'no'/'don't remember': stop interview).

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 175B: Has the barrier limit rule ever prevented you from voting for a particular party, because you felt it was no use voting?

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

3 4 45 1 yes

86 95 1178 2 no

1 1 17 3 don't remember

9 130 9 Uoplyst

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 91

• **V62 7110 SECOND PARTY 1**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Communist Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

100 100 1366 0 not mentioned

0 0 4 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V63 7110 SECOND PARTY 2**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Single-Tax Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

99 99 1355 0 not
mentioned

1 1 15 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V64 7110 SECOND PARTY 3**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Liberal Centre Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

99 99 1360 0 not
mentioned

1 1 10 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V65 7110 SECOND PARTY 4**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Peace-Political Peoples Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

100 100 1370 0 not
mentioned

0 0 0 1 mentioned

100

Svarprocent: 100

• **V66 7110 SECOND PARTY 5**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Left-Wing Socialists.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

100 100 1364 0 not
mentioned

0 0 6 1 mentioned

100 100 1370

Svarprocent: 100

• **V67 7110 SECOND PARTY 6**

◦ [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)

◦ 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Christian Peoples Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori

99 99 1350 0 not
mentioned

1	1	20	1 mentioned
100	100	1370	

Svarprocent: 100

• **V68 7110 SECOND PARTY 7**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Slesvig Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori			
100	100	1370	0 not mentioned
0	0	0	1 mentioned
100			

Svarprocent: 100

• **V69 7110 SECOND PARTY 8**

- [Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.](#)
- 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Liberal Independent Party.

% MD% Antal Kode Kategori			
100	100	1366	0 not mentioned
0	0	4	1 mentioned
100	100	1370	

Svarprocent: 100

Instrumentering

Hovedsekvens

Main Sequence

- [V3](#): Bus number.
- [V4](#): Document number.
- [V5](#): Sequence number.
- [V6](#): 101: Month.
- [V7](#): 102-106: Respondent identification number.
- [V8](#): Weight variable
- [V9](#): 108: Urbanization.
- [V10](#): 112: Sex.
- [V11](#): 112: Age.
- [V12](#): 112: Marital status.
- [V13](#): 113: Respondents occupational status.
- [V14](#): 114: Education.
- [V15](#): 114: Income of respondent.
- [V16](#): 115: Total family income.
- [V17](#): 116: Supporters occupation.
- [V18](#): 117: Total number of persons (in household).
- [V19](#): 117: Type of dwelling.
- [V20](#): 118: Number of children under 15 years.
- [V21](#): 118: Number of adults in household (15 years or more).
- [V22](#): 118: Respondents positions in household.
- [V23](#): 118: Respondents positions in household.
- [V24](#): 118: Respondents positions in household.
- [V25](#): 119: Position of housewife.
- [V26](#): 119: Social group.
- [V27](#): 122-123: For which party would you vote in case of a general election tomorrow?
- [V28](#): 167A: Are you for or against that Denmark enters the Common Market?
- [V29](#): 167B: If Norway does not become a member of the Common Market, are you then for or against that Denmark enters?
- [V30](#): 167C: Have you heard or read that Denmarks position about the Common Market will be decided at a referen

- dum?
- [V31](#): 167D: The Folketing (the Parliament) has adopted to enter the Common Market provided that England be comes a member. Do you then think that it is correct or not to have this question decided by a binding referendum?
 - [V32](#): 168A: Do you think that the population, in general, has sufficient background and knowledge to judge whether or not Denmark should become a member of the Common Market?
 - [V33](#): 168B: Have you got a TV in your household?
 - [V34](#): 168C: There has been talked very much about introducing a new TV-programme II without advertising, so that we get two TV-programmes to choose between. If this means that the price of the TV-licence will be doubled, do you then think that we should introduce a new TV programme II?
 - [V35](#): 168D: If the price of the TV-licence is only raised by 50 %, do you then think a new TV-programme II should be introduced?
 - [V36](#): 169A: If the price of the TV-licence is only raised by 10 %, for example, do you then think a new TV-programme II should be introduced?
 - [V37](#): 169B: Do you think that a new TV-programme II under no circumstances should be introduced?
 - [V38](#): 169C: If a new TV-programme II could be paid by way of advertising exclusively, would you then be for or against an extra TV-programme with advertising?
 - [V39](#): 169D: It has been decided to abolish the Radio and TV-licence in such a way that the Broadcasting System of Denmark is paid by the State, i.e. financed through the taxes. - Do you think this is reasonable or not that the State through taxes pays the Broadcasting System of Denmark instead of using the present system of payment for Radio and TV-licence?
 - [V40](#): 170A: In Denmark we have compulsory military service for all men, but for reasons of conscience one can object to do this service. Do you think it is reasonable or not to object to do military service for reasons of conscience?
 - [V41](#): 170B: Up to now conscientious objectors have had a longer service than the other servicemen in order that they should not be tempted to evade military service. Do you find that it is reasonable to give conscientious objectors special burdens in order not to tempt them to evade compulsory service?
 - [V42](#): 170C: The number of conscientious objectors is rising considerably and is expected to rise continuously. Do you think we should try to prevent this development?
 - [V43](#): 170D: Should they be given heavier burdens or should conscientious objectors be forbidden completely?
 - [V44](#): 171A: Do you think that conscientious objectors are morally better, inferior or are they just as ordinary servicemen?
 - [V45](#): 171B: And now another question. To dodge the tax is punishable and is punished in various ways, ranging from a fine to prison. Do you think that people consider tax evasion to be more or less immoral than imposture towards a person or a firm?
 - [V46](#): 171C: A motion will now be moved about an increase of the punishment on tax evasion. The punishment so far has been up to 2 years in prison. It will be moved to increase this to four years in prison. Are you for or against this motion?
 - [V47](#): 172A: Do you think that the burden of taxation is reasonable divided?
 - [V48](#): 172B: What, in your opinion, is the main reason for this, the present Income Tax Acts or the tax evasion?
 - [V49](#): 172C: (IF RIGHT OF VOTING) And finally a question of the so-called barrier rule. In Denmark, as other countries, it is a rule that the parties nominated for a general election must obtain a certain percentage of the votes in order to be represented by seats in the Parliament. In Denmark the rule is in force that a party must obtain at least 2% of the votes. Did you know this rule?
 - [V50](#): 172D: Even if a party is notified with the necessary signatures and no matter where the limit should be drawn, do you then think it is reasonable or not that there has been drawn a limit for how small the parties may be to get representation in the Parliament?
 - [V51](#): 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? We get too many parties/small parties/chaos of small parties/too many parties/small parties without importance/can get no influence/we have a sufficient number of parties.
 - [V52](#): 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Too many small parties destroy the co-operation/harm the big ones/split the votes/waste of votes/difficult to form an efficient government/many small parties cannot cooperate/too many to decide/the small parties work confusing.
 - [V53](#): 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? They will not be able to get influence/their influence is too small all the same (the small parties).
 - [V54](#): 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Supporter of two-party-system.
 - [V55](#): 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Other answers.
 - [V56](#): 173: (IF REASONABLE) - Why? Dont know.
 - [V57](#): 174: (IF NOT REASONABLE) - Why not? All parties should be able to get represented/when they have collected a sufficient number of signatures they should be represented/all votes must be heard/ all should have a chance/everybody must be allowed to decide/it is wrong to exclude the small parties.
 - [V58](#): 174: (IF NOT REASONABLE) - Why not? Other answers.
 - [V59](#): 174: (IF NOT REASONABLE) - Why not? Dont know.
 - [V60](#): 175A: The barrier limit is now of 2%. Do you think this is alright or should it be higher or possibly lower?
 - [V61](#): 175B: Has the barrier limit rule ever prevented you from voting for a particular party, because you felt it was no use voting?
 - [V62](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Communist Party.
 - [V63](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Single-Tax Party.
 - [V64](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Liberal Centre Party.
 - [V65](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Peace-Political Peoples Party.
 - [V66](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Left-Wing Socialists.
 - [V67](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Christian Peoples Party.
 - [V68](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Slesvig Party.
 - [V69](#): 176: Which of the following parties would you possibly have voted for? The Liberal Independent Party.

Universer

Univers

- **Aldersgrænser**

Personer på 15 år og derover, bosiddende i private husstande.

Koncepter

- **Gallup Variables.**

Gallup Variables.

- [V6](#) [V7](#) [V8](#) [V9](#) [V10](#) [V11](#) [V12](#) [V13](#) [V14](#) [V15](#) [V16](#) [V17](#) [V18](#) [V19](#) [V20](#) [V21](#) [V22](#) [V23](#) [V24](#) [V25](#) [V26](#) [V27](#)

- **Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.**

Special variables, Omnibus no. 10.

- [V28](#) [V29](#) [V30](#) [V31](#) [V32](#) [V33](#) [V34](#) [V35](#) [V36](#) [V37](#) [V38](#) [V39](#) [V40](#) [V41](#) [V42](#) [V43](#) [V44](#) [V45](#) [V46](#) [V47](#) [V48](#) [V49](#) [V50](#) [V51](#) [V52](#) [V53](#) [V54](#) [V55](#) [V56](#) [V57](#) [V58](#) [V59](#) [V60](#) [V61](#) [V62](#) [V63](#) [V64](#) [V65](#) [V66](#) [V67](#) [V68](#) [V69](#)