# Danish Election Survey 1998 Codebook and Marginals

יפ

Jørgen Goul Andersen and Johannes Andersen Department of Politics, Economic and Public Administration Aalborg University

> Ole Borre Department of Political Science Aarhus University

> Hans Jørgen Nielsen Department of Political Science Copenhagen University

> > OCTOBER 1998

Danish Election Surveys 1994-98 Working Paper No. 8

ISSN 1395-3176

#### Preface

After the general election in Denmark on 11 March 1998, staff members of the political science departments at the universities of Aalborg, Aarhns and Copenhagen conducted a survey of some 2,000 voters. This was the twelfth survey of consecutive general elections in Denmark since 1971, when the project began, and like its predecessors it was sponsored by the Danish Social Research Council. The interviewing was carried out as personal interviews by A.C. Nielsen-AIM during the period from the election to the beginning of June 1998, and obtained a response rate of 59 per cent.

The present working paper contains a translated version of the questionnaire with variable numbers and unweighted marginal distributions of the respondents. Some variables, mainly openended questions, the responses to which are yet to be coded, are left out in the paper and the temporary SPSS file "Denm98.sys" or "Denm98.sav", from which the paper was produced.

Jørgen Gonl Andersen I oharmes Andersen Ole Borre Hans Jørgen Nielsen

# Codebook for the 1998 Danish Election Survey

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
	During the campaign, did you disc your friends, or with some of your		of your o	close relatives, w
V2B Ta	lked with family			
1	Yes	1455	72.7	72.7
Ō	No	546	27.3	27.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V2C Ta	lked with friends			
1	Yes	1320	66.0	66.0
Ô	No.	781	34.0	34.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V2D Ta	liked with colleagues	:		
1	Yes	928	46.4	46.4
0				53.6
0	No	1073	53.6	33.0
0	No Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V3 Wo	Total  uld you say you are very interestel interested in politics?	2001 d in politics, somewh	100.0 at interest	100.0
V3 Wo not at all	Total  uld you say you are very interestel interested in politics?  Very much	2001 d in politics, somewh	100.0 at interest	100.0 ed, only a little, 23.8
V3 Wo not at all 1 2	Total  uld you say you are very interestel interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat	2001 d in politics, somewh 476 983	100.0 at interest 23.8 49.1	100.0 ed, only a little, 23.8 49.1
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3	Total  uld you say you are very interestel interested in politics?  Very much	2001 d in politics, somewh 476 983 480	100.0 at interest 23.8 49.1 24.0	100.0 ed, only a little, 23.8 49.1 24.0
V3 Wo not at all 1 2	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little	2001 d in politics, somewh 476 983	100.0 at interest 23.8 49.1	100.0 ed, only a little, 23.8 49.1
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all	2001 d in politics, somewh 476 983 480 59	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9	100.0 ed, only a little, 23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on	2001 d in politics, somewh 476 983 480 59 3 2001	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for
V3 Wo not at ali 1 2 3 4 5	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on	2001 d in politics, somewh 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 V4 Did 1 2	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal	2001 d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0 7hich part 28.8 4.1	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 V4 Did 1 2 3	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative	2001 d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0 7hich part 28.8 4.1 9.7	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 V4 Did 1 2 3 4	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative Centre Democrat	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 V4 Did 1 2 3 4 5	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative Centre Democrat Socialist People	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81 161	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 V4 Did 1 2 3 4 5 6	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative Centre Democrat Socialist People Danish People	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81 161 131	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7	uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative Centre Democrat Socialist People Danish People Christian People	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81 161 131 41	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 5 V4 Did 1 2 3 4 5 6	Total  uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative Centre Democrat Socialist People Danish People	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81 161 131	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0 0.2
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8	uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Consequative Centre Democrat Socialist People Danish People Christian People Democratic Reform	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81 161 131 41 5	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0 0.2	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0
V3 Wo not at all 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9	uld you say you are very interested interested in politics?  Very much Somewhat Only little Not at all Don't know Total  you vote in the general election on Social Democrat Radical Liberal Consequative Centre Democrat Socialist People Danish People Christian People Democratic Reform Liberal	2001  d in politics, somewhole 476 983 480 59 3 2001  11 March? (If yes:) W 577 83 195 81 161 131 41 5 463	23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  Thich part 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0 0.2 23.1	100.0  23.8 49.1 24.0 2.9 0.1 100.0  y did you vote for 28.8 4.1 9.7 4.0 8.0 6.5 2.0 0.2 23.1

Value	Value Labei	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
13	Don' remember which party	2	0.1	0.1
14	Refuses to name party	63	3.I	3.1
15	Voted blank	10	0.5	0.5
16	Did not vote	74	3.7	3.7
17	Not eligible	14	0.7	0.7
99	Other responses	2	0.1	0.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V5 [If voted] When did you decide to vote the way you did? Was it in the last days before the election, was it earlier during the campaign, or did you know already before the campaign how you would vote?

1	Last days	298	14.9	16.2
2	Earlier	230	11.5	12.5
3	Before campaign	1307	65.0	70.9
4	Don't know	7	0.3	0.4
•	Skipped	165	8.2	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V6 Would you say that you voted for this party with enthusiasm, with satisfaction, with mixed feelings, or did you feel you were choosing the least evil?

1	Enthusiasm	208	10.4	11.3
2	Satisfaction	851	42.5	46.4
3	Mixed feelings	614	30.7	33.4
4	Least evil	153	7.6	8.3
5	Don't know	10	0.5	0.5
•	Skipped	165	8.2	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V7 Did you merely check a party, or did you vote for a particular candidate? (If candidate:) Did you vote for a man or a woman?

1	Party	955	47.7	52.0
2	Male candidate	. 549	27.4	29.9
3	Female candidate	324	16.2	17.6
4	Sex not indicated	5	0.2	0.3
5	Don't know	3.	0.1	0.2
6	Skipped	165	8.2	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V8 Did you think of voting for another party (non-voters: a party)? (If yes) Which party?

· No	1126	56.3	56.7
Social Democrat	109	5.4	5.5
Radical Liberal	43		2.2
Conservative	89		4.5
Centre Democrat	59		3.0
Socialist People	95		4.8
Danish People	81	4.0	4.1
	Social Democrat Radical Liberal Conservative Centre Democrat Socialist People	Social Democrat 109 Radical Liberal 43 Conservative 89 Centre Democrat 59 Socialist People 95	Social Democrat   109   5.4     Radical Liberal   43   2.1     Conservative   89   4.4     Centre Democrat   59   2.9     Socialist People   95   4.7     Desirt Result

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
8	Christian People	17	0.8	0.9
9	Democratic Reform	2	0.1	0.1
10	Liberal	136	6.8	6.9
11	Progressive	14	0.7	0.7
12	United List	28	1.4	1.4
13	Indep candidate	1	0.0	0.1
14	Other	26	1.3	1.3
15	Don't know	159	7.9	8.0
-	Skipped	16	0.8	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

#### V9-V22A Importance of issues for the vote

[If voted] I shall now mention some issues that were discussed during the campaign. How important were these issues to you when you chose a party?

#### V9 The public budget and public debt

1	Decisive importance	253	12.6	13.2
2	Great importance	<b>54</b> 3	27.1	28.2
3	Some importance	541	27.0	28.1
4	Less importance	325	16.2	16.9
5	No importance	211	10.5	11.0
6	Don't know	50	2.5	2.6
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

#### V10 Unemployment and unemployment benefits.

Decisive importance

1	Decisive importance	321	16.0	16.7	
2	Great importance	770	38.5	40.0	
3	Some importance	484	24.2	25.2	
4	Less importance	211	10.5	11.0	
5	No importance	111	5.5	5.8	
6	Don't know	26	1.3	1.4	
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	

#### V11 Relations to EU

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
6	Don't know	62	3.1	3.2
5	No importance	203	10.1	10.6
4	Less importance	282	14.1	14.7
3	Some importance	450	22.5	23.4
2	Great importance	567	28.3	29.5
1	Decisive importance	359	17.9	18.7

23.1

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
2	Great importance	702	35,1	36.5
3	Some importance	493	24.6	25.6
4	Less importance	177	8.8	9.2
5	No importance	94	4.7	4.9
6	Don't know	12	0.6	0.6
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V13 Ho	spitals and health care			
1	Decisive importance	745	37.2	38.7
2	Great importance	802	40.1	41.7
3	Some importance	252	12.6	13.1
4	Less importance	67	3.3	3.5
5	No importance	48	2.4	2.5
6	Don't know	9	0.4	0.5
6550 #8	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V14 Tao	x issues			
1	Decisive importance	288	14.4	15.0
2	Great importance	654	32.7	34.0
3	Some importance	532	26.6	27.7
4	Less importance	305	20.0 15.2	
5	No importance			15.9
6	Don't know	122	6.1	6.3
_		22	1.1	1.1
•	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/15 Issu	es about refugees and immigration			
I	Decisive importance	51 <b>5</b>	25,7	26.8
2	Great importance	626	31.3	32.6
3	Some importance	440	22.0	22.9
4	Less importance	211	10.5	11.0
5	No importance	110	5.5	5.7
6	Dan't know	21	1.0	
	Skipped	78 ·	3.9	1.1 Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
 /16 Po-2		2001	100.0	100.0
TO THEFT	y retirement for the 60-67 years old  Decisive importance	276	120	17.4
1	LALIBITE IIIII ANI MILE	210	13.8	14.4
1		490	00 /	87.5
2	Great importance	472	23.6	24.5
1 2 3	Great importance Some importance	441	22.0	22.9
1 2 3 4	Great importance Some importance Less importance	441 389	22.0 19.4	22.9 20.2
1 2 3 4 5	Great importance Some importance Less importance No importance	441 389 286	22.0 19.4 14.3	22.9 20.2 14.9
1 2 3 4 5 6	Great importance Some importance Less importance No importance Don't know	441 389 286 59	22.0 19.4 14.3 2.9	22.9 20.2 14.9 3.1
1 2 3 4 5	Great importance Some importance Less importance No importance	441 389 286	22.0 19.4 14.3	22.9 20.2 14.9

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V17 Old	l age care (housekeeping, asylums, and	residential living)	)	
f	Decisive importance	536	26.8	27.9
2	Great importance	680	34.0	35.4
3	Some importance	394	19.7	20.5
4	Less importance	190	9.5	9.9
5	No importance	101	5.0	5.3
6	Don't know	22	1.1	1.1
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
Ric	ACCUPACION ACCUMA			and the second
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
VIS Lav	w and order, justice			
Ĺ	Decisive importance	531	26.5	27.6
2	Great importance	746	37.3	38.8
3	Some importance	365	18.2	19.0
4	Less importance	173	8.6	9.0
5	No importance	90	4.5	4.7
6	Don't know	18	0.9	0.9
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
		2001	100.0	100.0
V19 Ani	imal welfare			
1	Decisive importance	216	10.8	11.2
2	Great importance	518	25.9	26.9
3	Some importance	515	25.7	26.8
4	Less importance	375	18.7	19.5
5	No importance	253	12.6	13.2
6	Don't know	46	2.3	2.4
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	_		
	1 drai	2001	100.0	100.0
V20 Aba	andoning tax on imputed rent of owners	' homes		
1	Decisive importance	268	13.4	13.9
2	Great importance	403	20.1	21.0
3	Some importance	416	20.8	21.6
4	Less importance	403	20.1	21.0
5	No importance	350	17.5	
6	Don't know	.550 83		18.2
	Skipped		4.1	4.3
•	•	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	quality of teaching in the schools			
V21 The			21.7	
V21 The 1	Decisive importance	434	2.1	22.4
1		434 745	21.7 37.2	22.6 38.7
1 2	Great importance	745	37.2	38.7
1				

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
6	Don't know	52	2.6	2.7
*	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V22 Iss	nes about families with minor children			
1	Decisive importance	338	16.9	17.6
2	Great importance	610	30.5	31.7
3	Some importance	483	24.1	25.1
3 4 5	Less importance	286	14.3	14.9
5	No importance	160	8.0	8.3
6	Don't know	46	2.3	2.4
	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V22A T 1	he prophesics of opinion polls about a bo Decisive importance	urgeois governi 162	nent 8,1	8.4
2	Great importance	197	9.8	10.2
3	Some importance	225	11.2	11.7
4	Less importance	303	15.1	15.8
5	No importance	965	48.2	50.2
6	Don't know	71	3.5	3.7
•	Skipped	78	3.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

## V23-V33 Attitudes to political parties

Now I would like to hear what you think about the political parties. After I have mentioned the party, I want you to place it on this scale from 0 to 10, in which 0 means that you dislike the party very much and 10 means that you like it very much. If I mention a party that you don't know or don't feel you know enough about, just say so.

Y 23	Social Democratic P
	TN1-141

0	Dislikes	78	3.9	3.9	
1		68	3.4	3.4	
2		106	5.3	5.3	
3		196	9.8	9.8	
4		185	9.2	9.2	
5		352	17.6	17.6	
6		186	9.3	9.3	
7		217	10.8	8.01	
8		258	12.9	12.9	
9 -		114	5.7	5.7	
10	Likes	215	10.7	10.7	
11	Knows little	13	0.6	0.6	
12	Don't know	13	0.6	0.6	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V24 Rac	lical Liberal P	30000000000000000000000000000000000000		
0	Dislikes	193	9.6	9.6
1		122	6.1	6.1
2		194	9.7	9.7
3		245	12.2	12.2
4		235	11.7	11.7 -
5		323	16.1	16.1
6		167	8.3	8.3
7		176	8.8	8.8
8		134	6.7	
9		66		6.7
10	Likes .	45	3.3	3.3
11	Knows little		2.2	2.2
12	Don't know	69	3.4	3.4
12	Don I know	32	1.6	1.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V25 Con	servative P			
0	Dislikes	106	5.3	5.3
ı		89	4.4	4.4
2		168	8.4	
3		259	12.9	8.4
4		261		12.9
5			13.0	13.0
6		348	17.4	17.4
7		207	10.3	10.3
8		091	9.5	9.5
		150	7.5	7.5
9		80	4.0	4.0
10	Likes	78	3.9	3.9
11	Knows little	42	2.1	2.1
12	Don't know	23	1.1	1.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V26 Cent	tre Democratic P			
0	Dislikes	128	6.4	6.4
1	- ,	84	4.2	4.2
2		184	9.2	9.2
3		235		
4		233 292	11.7	11.7
5			14.6	14.6
6		411	20.5	20.5
7		236	11.8	11.8
8		163	8.1	8.1
9		98	4.9	4.9
10	Likes	49	2.4	2.4
11		28	1.4	1.4
12	Knows little	63	3.1	3.1
14	Don't know	30	1.5	1.5
	Total	2001	100.0	0.001

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V27 5	locialist People's P			
0	Dislikes	210	10.5	10.5
1		211	10.5	10.5
2		249	12.4	12.4
3		196	9.8	9.8
4		179	8.9	8.9
5		212	10.6	10.6
6		184	9.2	9.2
7		164	8.2	8.2
8		135	6.7	6.7
9		94	4.7	4.7
10	Likes	79	3.9	3.9
11	Knows little	ź	3.1	3.1
12	Don't know	26	1.3	1.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
₹28 D	anish People's P			
0	Dislikes	748	20.4	
ì			37.4	37.4
$\hat{2}$		234	11.7	11.7
3		198	9.9	9.9
4		160	8.0	8.0
5		107	5.3	5.3
6		149	7.4	7.4
7		98	4.9	4.9
8		72	3.6	3.6
9		52	2.6	2.6
	¥ M	53	2.6	2.6
10	Likes	72	3.6	3.6
11	Knows little	38	1.9	1.9
12	Don't know	20	1.0	1.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/29 Ch	ristian People's P			
0	Dislikes	257	12.8	12.8
1		168	8.4	8.4
2		272	13,6	13.6
3		281	14.0	14.0
4		256	12.8	
5		304	15.2	12.8
6	•	304 147		15.2
7		147 89	7.3	7.3
8 .		51	4.4	4.4
9	•		2.5	2.5
10	Likes	24	1.2	1.2
ΙĪ	Knows little	22	1.1	1.1
12	Don't know	91	4.5	4.5
3		39	1.9	1.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V30 D	emocratic Reform P		_	
0	Dislikes	708	35.4	35.4
1		192	9.6	9.6
2		119	5.9	5.9
3		51	2.5	2.5
4		38	1.9	1.9
5		55	2.7	2.7
6		18	0.9	0.9
7		11	0.5	0.5
8		14	0.7	0.7
9		3	0.1	0.1
10	Likes	5	0.2	0.2
11	Knows little	600	30.0	30.0
12	Don't know	187	9.3	9.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V31 Lit	oeral P			
0	Dislikes	110	e 0	# AS
ī		119	5.9	5.9
2		146	7.3	7.3
3		159	7.9	7.9
4		174	8.7	8.7
5		I54	7.7	7.7
6		234	11.7	11.7
7		163	8.1	8.1
8		177	8.8	8.8
9		208	10.4	10.4
10	Likes	195	9.7	9.7
11	Knows little	239	11.9	11.9
12	Don't know	16	8.0	0.8
12		17	0.8	0.8
	Тош	2001	100.0	100.0
√32 Pro	gressive P			
0	Díslikes	684	34.2	34.2
1		278	13.9	34.Z 13.9
2		251	12.5	12.5
3		199	9.9	9.9
4		133	6.6	9.9 6.6
5		164	8.2	8.2
6		78	3.9	
7		54	3.9 2.7	3.9
8		37	1.8	2.7
9		29	1.8	1.8
10	Likes	31		1.4
11	Knows little	39	1.5	1.5
12	Don't know	24	1.9 1.2	1,9
	Total	2001		1.2
		2001	100.0	100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V33 Uni	ited (left-wing) List			
0	Dislikes	647	32.3	32.3
l		264	13.2	13.2
2		207	10.3	10.3
3		157	7.8	7.8
4		162	5.1	5.1
5		145	7.2	7.2
6		71	3.5	3.5
7	•	63	3.1	3.1
8		65	3.2	3.2
9		36	1.8	1.8
10	Likes	32	1.6	1.6
11	Knows little	156	7.8	7.8
12	Don't know	56	2.8	2.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	Attitudes to party leaders using the same scale, I like to b	cnow what you think of s	ome of our	r political leade
V34 P. N	lyrup Rasmussen (SD)			
0	Dislikes	190	9.5	9.5
1		103	5.1	5.1
2		148	7.4	7.4
3		190	95	9.5
3 4		190 172	9.5 8.6	9.5 8.6
4		172	8.6	8,6
4 5		172 307	8.6 15.3	8,6 15.3
4 5 6		172 307 188	8.6 15.3 9.4	8,6 15.3 9.4
4 5		172 307 188 203	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1
4 5 6 7		172 307 188 203 237	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8
4 5 6 7 8	Likes	172 307 188 203 237 100	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8
4 5 6 7 8 9	Likes Don't know	172 307 188 203 237 100 150	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5
4 5 6 7 8 9	1970	172 307 188 203 237 100 150	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Don't know	172 307 188 203 237 100 150	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Don't know Total	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 1 2 3	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 1 2 3 3	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 1 2 3 3	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 6	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 1 2 3 3	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316 167	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 6	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316 167 184	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316 167 184 166	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Don't know Total cived (RL)	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316 167 184 166 73	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3 3.6	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3 3.6
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Don't know Total clved (RL) Dislikes	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316 167 184 166 73 48	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3 3.6 2.4	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3 3.6 2.4
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Don't know Total clved (RL) Dislikes	172 307 188 203 237 100 150 13 2001 212 133 203 221 217 316 167 184 166 73	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3 3.6	8.6 15.3 9.4 10.1 11.8 5.0 7.5 0.6 100.0 10.6 6.6 10.1 11.0 10.8 15.8 8.3 9.2 8.3 3.6

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V36 P.	Stig Møller (C)			
0	Dislikes	233	11.6	11.6
1		159	7.9	7.9
2		275	13.7	13.7
3		262	13.1	13.1
4		301	15.0	15.0
5		287	14.3	14.3
6		153	7.6	7.6
7		127	6.3	6.3
8		78	3.9	3.9
9		34	1.7	1.7
10	Likes	26	1.3	1.3
11	Knows little	17	0.8	
12	Don't know			0.8
		49	2.4	2.4
	Total		100.0	100.0
	mi Jakobsen (CD)			
0	Dislikes	93	4.6	4.6
I		79	3.9	3.9
2		140	7.0	7.0
3		196	9.8	9.8
4		261	13.0	13.0
5		383	19.1	19.1
6		257	12.8	12.8
7		254	12.7	12.7
8		164	8.2	8.2
9		66	3.3	3.3
10	Likes ·	70	3.5	3.5
11	Knows little	6	0.3	0.3
12	Don't know	32	1.6	1.6
3533	Total			
	TOM	2001	100.0	100.0
V38 Hol	ger K Nielsen (SP)			
0	Dislikes	120	6.0	6.0
l		129	6.4	6.4
2		170	8.5	8.5
3		236	11.8	100
4		232		11.8
2 3 4 5 6			11.6	11.6
6		322	16.1	16.1
7		209	10.4	10.4
8		210	10.5	10.5
9		172	8.6	8.6
	Lilena	78	3.9	3.9
10	Likes Vancon 640	55	2.7	2.7
11	Knows little	13	0.6	0.6
12	Don't know	55	2.7	2.7
	Total	. 2001	100.0	100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V39 Pia	kjærsgård (DP)	95 95	12.50 SA	, <del>44</del>
0	Dislikes	615	30.7	30.7
J	JI û	242	12.1	12.1
2		185	9.2	9.2
2 3 4 5		189	9.4	9.4
4		149	7.4	7.4
5		176	8.8	8.8
		98	4.9	4.9
7		95	4.7	4.7
8		106	5.3	5.3
9		62	3.1	3.1
10	Likes	66	3.3	3.3
11	Knows little	1	0.0	0.0
12	Don't know	17	0.8	0.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/40 J. S	juursen (ChrP)	50 <u>L. 1860</u>	***	
0	Dislikes	209	10.4	10.5
1		145	7.2	7.3
2		226	11.3	11.3
3		275	13.7	13.8
4		252	12.6	12.6
5		314	15.7	15.7
6		141	7.0	7.1
7		84	4.2	4.2
8		73	3.6	3.7
9		17	0.8	0.9
10	Likes	17	0.8	0.9
I 1	Knows little	93	4.6	4.7
12	Don't know	154	7.7	7.7
	Unanswered	1	0.0	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
41 U.E	Ellemann-Jensen (L)			100.0
0	Dislikes	211	10.5	10.5
Ţ		107	5.3	5.3
2		123.	6.1	5.3 6.1
3		144	7.2	7.2
4		126	6.3	6.3
5		214	10.7	10.7
6		145	7.2	7.2
7		213	10.6	10.6
8		233	11.6	11.6
9 ′		206	10.3	10.3
10	Likes	262	13.1	13.1
11	Knows little	1	0.0	0.0
12	Don't know	16	0.8	0.8
	Total			
	IVIAI	2001	100.0	100.0

Value	Value Lahel	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
	rsten Jacobsen (Prog)	0 - 827 - 785 <del>802 - 7</del> 80 B		-
0	Dislikes	158	7.9	7.9
1		90	4.5	4.5
2		149	7.4	7.4
3	(i)	155	7.7	7.7
4		181	9.0	9.0
5	20	266	13.3	13.3
6		199	9.9	9.9
7		219	10.9	10.9
8		243	12.1	12.1
9		144	7.2	7.2
10	Likes	136	6.8	6.8
11	Knows little	20	1.0	1.0
12	Don't know	41	2.0	377 (2774)
	Total			2.0
<del>51</del>		2001	100.0	100.0
V43 Fra	ank Aaen (UL)			
0	Dislikes	421	21.0	21.0
1		240	12.0	21.0
2		195	9.7	12.0
3		153		9.7
4			7.6	7.6
5		128	6.4	6.4
6		175	8.7	8.7
7		18	4.0	4.0
8		85	4.2	4.2
9		58	2.9	2.9
10	7.9	34	1.7	1.7
	Likes	30	1.5	1:5
11	Knows little	211	10.5	10.5
12	Don't know	190	9.5	9.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
'44 Did	you vote in the previous election in Social Democrat			which party?
2	Radical Liberal	555	27.7	27.7
3	Conservative	74	3.7	3.7
4	Centre Democrat	236	11.8	11.8
5		46	2.3	2.3
6	Socialist People	161	8.0	8.0
	Christian People	23	1.1	1.1
7 8	Liberal	471	23.5	23.5
	Progressive	82	4.1	4.1
9 10	United List	36	1.8	1.8
11.3	Indep candidate	10	0.5	0.5
		~^		3.9
11	Not eligible	79	3.9	3.9
11 12	Don't remember which party	79 54	2.7	-
				2.7 2.7

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
15	Voted blank	. 9	0.4	0.4
16	Other responses	17	0.8	0.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V45-V4	Party identification			
V45 Do	you generally feel attached to a pa	articular party?		
1	Yes	1002	50.1	50.1
2	No	949	47.4	47.4
3	Don't know	50	2.5	2.5
	'Fotal	2001	100.0	100.0
V46 ( <b>If</b>	yes) Which party is that?	68		W.
I	Social Democrat	2 355	17.7	35.4
2	Radical Liberal	33	1.6	3.3
3	Conservative	126	6.3	12.6
4	Centre Democrat	12	0.6	1.2
5	Socialist People	78	3.9	7.8
6	Danish People	22	1.1	2.2
7	Christian People	19	0.9	1.9
9	Liberal	304	15.2	30.3
10	Progressive	13	0.6	1.3
11	United List	12	0.6	1.2
15	Refuses to name party	21	1.0	2.1
1 <b>6</b>	Did not vote	1	0.0	0.1
18	Other responses	i	0.0	1.0
19		5	0.2	0.5
,	Skipped	999	49.9	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
his party	attached) Do you feel very strong?	ly, quite strongly, or n	ot very st	irongly attached
. 1	Very strongly	234	11.7	23.4
2	Quite strongly	<i>5</i> 75	28.7	57.6
3	Not strongly	176	8.8	17.6
4	Don't know	13	0.6	1.3
	Skipped	1003	50.1	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/48 (If I	No or DK in V45) All the same, then to than the other parties? (If Y	is there one of the par Yes) Which party?	ties which	h you feel slight
1	Social Democrat	182	9.1	18.2
2	Radical Liberal	32	1.6	3.2
3	Conservative	56	2.8	5.6
4	Centre Democrat	26	1.3	2.6
5 6	Socialist People	87	4.3	8.7

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
7	Christian People	13	0.6	1.3
9	Liberal	157	7.8	15.7
10	Progressive	17	0.8	1.7
11	United List	27	1.3	2.7
15	Other parties	12	0.1	0.2
16	No	319	15.9	32.0
18	Don't know	42	2.1	4.2
27	Skipped	1003	50.1	Missing
	Total	anne	100 0	1000
	10(2)	2001	100.0	100.0
V49 An	e you, or have you earlier been, a		100.0	100.0
1			13.2	13.2
1	e you, or have you earlier been, a	member of a party?		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
1	e you, or have you earlier been, a Member	member of a party?	13.2	13.2 14.3
	e you, or have you earlier been, a Member Former member	member of a party? 265 287	13.2 14.3	13.2

I shall now read some problems to you, and I want to hear who you think is better in solving the problem, either the present government led by Social Democrats, or a bourgeois government.

# V50 Solving the country's general economic problems

1	Present government	664	33.2	33.2
2	Hourgeois government	773	38.6	38.6
3	No difference	464	23.2	23.2
4	Don't know	100	5.0	5.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
751 F	ghting unemployment			
1	Present government	1029	51.4	51.4
2	Bourgeois government	387	19.3	19.3
3	No difference	466	23.3	23.3
4	Don't know	119	5.9	5.9
	Tetal			
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
'52 Se	core a budget surplus	2001	100.0	100.0
'52 Se	cure a budget surplus			·- <u>-</u> -
	cure a budget surplus Present government	398	19.9	19.9
1	cure a budget surplus	398 1031	19.9 51.5	19.9 51.5
1 2	cure a budget surplus Present government Bourgeois government	398	19.9	19.9
1 2 3	ecure a budget surplus Present government Bourgeois government No difference	398 1031 382	19.9 51.5 19.1	19.9 51.5 19.1
1 2 3 4	ocure a budget surplus Present government Bourgeois government No difference Don't know	398 1031 382 190	19.9 51.5 19.1 9.5	19.9 51.5 19.1 9.5
1 2 3 4	ocure a budget surplus  Present government  Bourgeois government  No difference  Don't know  Total	398 1031 382 190	19.9 51.5 19.1 9.5	19.9 51.5 19.1 9.5

Valu	ue Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
3	No difference	612	30.6	30.6
4	Don't know	172	8.6	8.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V54	Secure the environment			
1	Present government	1179	58.9	58.9
2		206	10.3	10.3
3	5)	509	25.4	25.4
4		107	5.3	5.3
350				
	Total	2001	0.001	100.0
V55	Secure law and order			
1	Present government	361	18.0	18.0
2	Bourgeois government	819	40.9	40.9
3 4	No difference	706	35.3	35.3
	Don't know	115	5.7	5.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V56	Secure the citizen's influence in society	· •		
1	Present government	662	33.1	33.1
2	Bourgeois government	439	21.9	21.9
3	No difference	751	37.5	37.5
4	Don't know	149	7.4	7.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V57	Secure the freedom of the individual			
1	Present government	493	24.6	24.6
2		729	36.4	36.4
3	No difference	636	31.8	31.8
4	Don't know	143	7.1	7.1
•	Total	2001	100.0	
	LVal	2001	100.0	100.0
	Secure the right balance between taxes	and welfare		
1	Present government	883	44.1	44.1
2	Bourgeois government	515	25.7	25.7
3	No difference	430	21.5	21.5
	Don't know	173	8.6	0.0
4	DOIL I KNOW	1/3	0.0	8.6
	Total	2001	100,0	100.0
4	Total	2001		
4 /59	Total  Secure a sensible policy on refugees an	2001	100.0	100.0
/59 1	Total  Secure a sensible policy on refugees an  Present government	2001 d immigration 665	100.0 33.2	33.2
759 1 2	Total  Secure a sensible policy on refugees an Present government Bourgeois government	2001 d immigration 665 777	33.2 38.8	33.2 38.8
759 1 2 3	Total  Secure a sensible policy on refugees an Present government Bourgeois government No difference	2001 d immigration 665 777 423	33.2 38.8 21.1	33.2 38.8 21.1
759 1 2	Total  Secure a sensible policy on refugees an Present government Bourgeois government	2001 d immigration 665 777	33.2 38.8	33.2 38.8

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percen
V60 C	re for the needs of families with min	or children	***	
1	Present government	1106	55.3	55.3
2	Bourgeois government	255	12.7	12.7
3	No difference	513	25.6	25.6
4	Don't know	127	6.3	6.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V61 Se	cure satisfactory conditions for the ci	derly		
1	Present government	887	44.3	44.3
2	Bourgeois government	349	17.4	17.4
3	No difference	653	32.6	32.6
4	Don't know	112	5.6	5.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	cure a well-functioning health care sy	stem		
1	Present government	668	33.4	33.4
2	Bourgeois government	475	23.7	23.7
3	No difference	728	36.4	36.4
4	Don't know	130	6.5	6.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V63 Sea	ture a good education in the primary	school		
1	Present government	527	26.3	26.3
2	Bourgeois government	567	28.3	28.3
3	No difference	745	37.2	37.2
4	Don't know	162	8.1	1.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
764 Ап	l, which government would give you	rself the most money	at your d	isposal?
1	Present government	622	31.1	31.1
2	Bourgeois government	694	34.7	34.7
3	No difference	464	23.2	23.2
4	Don't know	221	11.0	11.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

#### V65-V70 Political debate

I shall now read some political statements to you which you may think of as a discussion between two persons, A and B. We ask you to say whether you agree more with A than with B. You may not agree completely with any of them, but just the same we would like to know which of the two views is closer to your own.

V65 First a question about social expenditures. A says: Social reforms have gone too far in this country. More than now, people should manage without social security and support from the public. - B says: Those social reforms that have been made in our country should be

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
maintain	ed at least to the same extent us now.		456	
1	Agree with A	616	30.8	30.8
2	Agree with B	1269	63.4	63.4
3	Neither	90	4.5	4.5
4	Don't know	26	1.3	1.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V66 Then a question about living standards and incomes. A says: The differences in living standards and incomes are still too great in our country, so people with smaller incomes should have a faster improvement of their living standards than those with larger incomes. - B says: The levelling of incomes has gone far enough. Those income differences that still remain should largely be maintained.

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
4	Don't know	69	3.4	3.4
3	Neither	119	5.9	5.9
2	Agree with B	872	43.6	43.6
1	Agree with A	941	47.0	47.0

V67 The next question is about state control over the industry. A says: Businessmen and industrial leaders should be entitled to determine their own business to a greater extent. - B says: The state should control and coordinate business life. The public control should at least not be less than it is in Denmark today.

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
4	Don't know	106	5.3	5.3
3	Neither	117	. 5.8	5.8
2	Agree with B	646	32.3	32.3
1	Agree with A	1132	56.6	56.6

V68 Then a question about the conditions of banks and big industry in our country. A says: The parliament should decide that the state take over the banks and big industrial enterprises. - B says: The banks and big industries should continue to be on private hands.

1	Agree with A	80	4.0	4.0	
2	Agree with B	1807	90.3	90.3	
3	Neither	54	2.7	2.7	
4	Don't know	601	3.0	3.0	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	

V69 It is also discussed whether the users of public services should pay more. A says: There should be much more users' payments in the public sector. - B says: Apart from small and insignificant areas, there should be no more users' payment.

1 2 3	Agree with A Agree with B Neither	455 1387	22.7 69.3	22.7 69.3	
4	Don't know	102 57	5.1 2.8	5.1 2.8	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent Valid Percent	

V70 Then a question about how much one gets out of following the election campaign in the newspapers, tv and the radio. A says: Even if one follows the campaign, one does not get to know much about what the different parties want. - B says: By following the campaign one gets to know quite a lot about what the parties want.

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
4	Don't know	59	2.9	2.9	
3	Neither	165	8.2	8.2	
		608	30.4	30.4	
2	Agree with B	(XXXXXXXXX		500000	
1	Agree with A	1169	58.4	58.4	

V71 In the last 25 years we have had two main strategies for getting more jobs, namely (1) The welfare strategy, expanding the welfare state with more people publicly employed for old age care, health care and other unmet needs - and (2) The export strategy, putting our stakes on industry and export with high competitive power, and thereby creating service jobs in the industry. - Broadly speaking, which strategy do you think is the best?

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
4	Don't know	160	8.0	8.0
	5 (CONT. 100 )	239	11.9	11.9
3	Neither			50
2	Export	890	44.5	44.5
-		712	35.6	35.6
1	Welfare	710	25.6	

DEMVGT Demographic weight

POLVGT Political weight

V72-V73 Inglehart battery

V72 Now a question about which societal goals are the most important. Here is a card with four goals. Which do you think is the most important?

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
3	Don't know	65	3.2	3.2
<b>4</b> 5	Preserving freedom of speech	845	42.2	42.2
	Fight rising prices	201	10.0	10.0
3	Giving people more say	420	21.0	21.0
2	Maintaining law and order	470	23.5	23.5
73	And the second most important?			
1177	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
5	Don't know	31	1.5	1.5
	Preserving freedom of speech	508	25.4	25.4
د	Fight rising prices	51	2.5	2.5
2 3 4	Giving people more say	348	17.4	17.4
2	Maintaining law and order	1063	53.1	53.1

V74-V91 I want to ask you about your view of public expenditures for various purposes. I shall read some public tasks to you, and for each task I want you to say whether you think the public uses too much money, a suitable amount, or too little money.

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V74 Def	fence	1985		
1	Too much	791	39.5	39.5
2	Suitable	1014	50.7	50.7
3	Too little	100	5.0	5.0
4	Don't know	96	4.8	4.8
40.762 00.0782 122 12	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V75 He	alth care		A 50 JA	
)	Too much	42	2.1	2.1
$\hat{2}$	Suitable	370	18.5	18.5
3	Too little			
4	Don't know	1567	78.3	78.3
4	Don't know	22	1.1	1.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V76 Edu	cation			
1	Too much	48	2.4	2.4
2	Suitable	1042	52.1	52.1
3	Too little	827	41.3	41.3
4	Don't know	84	4.2	4.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V77 Old 1	age pensions  Too much	24	1.2	1.2
2	Suitable	1032		
3	Too little		51.6	51.6
4		851	42.5	42.5
4	Don't know	94	4.7	4.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V78 Env	vironmental problems			
1	Too much	212	10.6	10.6
2	Suitable	930	46.5	46.5
3	Too little	765	38.2	38.2
4	Don't know	94	4.7	4.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V79 Cut	tural purposes			
1	Too much	889	44.4	44.4
2	Suitable	912	45.6	45.6
3	Too little	138	6.9	6.9
4	Don't know	62	3.1	3.1
4				
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	Total ldren's day care	2001	100.0	100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
2	Suitable	961	48.0	48.0
3	Too little	799	39.9	39.9
4	Don't know	130	6.5	6.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V81 Po	ice			
1	Too much	58	2.9	2.0
2	Suitable	794	39.7	2.9
3	Too little	1081		39.7
4	Don't know	68	54.0 3.4	54.0 3.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V82 IIn	employment benefit rates		10010	
1				
2	Too much Suitable	376	18.8	18.8
3	Too little	1282	64.1	64.1
4	Don't know	239	11.9	11.9
		104	5.2	5.2
	Total		100.0	100.0
V83 We	fare benefit raies			
1	Too much	562	28.1	28.1
2	Suitable	1062	53.1	53.1
3	Too little	186	9.3	9.3
4	Don't know	191	9.5	9.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V84 Brid	ges & motorways			
Ī	Too much	771	20.5	20.5
2	Snitable	1004	38.5	38.5
3	Too little		50.2	50.2
4	Don't know	136 90	6.8	6.8
-	Total	2001	4.5	4.5
/85 Fore		2001	100.0	100.0
	-			
1	Too much	943	47.1	47.1
2	Suitable	829	41.4	41.4
3	Too little	141	7.0	7.0
4	Don't know	88	4.4	4.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
86 Aid:	to refugees	<del></del>		
1	Too much	925	46.2	46.0
2	Suitable	860		46.2
_		Uda	43.0	43.0
3	Too little	128	6.4	6.4

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
4	Don't know	. 88	4.4	4.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V87 Job	leaves			Œ
1	Too much	552	-27.6	27.6
2	Suitable	954	47.7	47.7
3	Too little	3 <del>69</del>	18.4	18.4
4	Don't know	126	6.3	6.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V88 Ho	me service for elderly			
1	Too much	32	1.6	1.6
2	Suitable	502	25.1	25.1
3	Too little	1372	68.6	68.6
4	Den't know	95	4.7	4.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V89 Wa	ge support to increase employn	nent		
1	Too much	405	20.2	20.2
2	Suitable	967	48.3	48.3
3	Too little	399	19.9	19.9
4	Don't know	230	11.5	11.5
199	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V00 A14				
	to large family	9214072	WW. 2008	1/17/05/17/0
1	Too much	300	15.0	15.0
2	Suitable	1282	64.1	64.1
3	Too little	288	14.4	14.4
4	Don't know	131	6.5	6.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100,0
V91 Col	lective transportation		¥0	
1	Too much	229	11.4	11.4
2	Suitable	1091	54.5	54.5
3	Too little	522	26.1	26.1
4	Don't know	159	7.9	7.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
1 2 3 4	Too much Suitable Too little Don't know Total me parties propo	ose to increase	229 1091 522 159 2001 ose to increase the gross tax and in retu a good or a bad proposal?	229 11.4 1091 54.5 522 26.1 159 7.9 2001 100.0 use to increase the gross tax and in return lower a good or a bad proposal?
	Good	534	26.7	26.7
2	Bad	553	<b>27.6</b>	27.6
3	Indifferent	279	13.9	13.9
4	Neither	162	8.1	8.1

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5	Don't know	473	23.6	23.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V93-V9 numb <del>e</del> r	97 I would like to hear your opi of areas. You may use these resp	nion as to how well the ponses (show card)	public ser	vice functions in
V93 Li				15
1	Excellent	1120	56.0	56.0
2	Good	687	34.3	34.3
3	Not good	43	2.1	2.1
4	Bad	8	0.4	0.4
5	Don't know	143	7.1	7.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V94 Ho	spitals	***		
1	Excellent		St.	2000
2	Good	169	8.4	8.4
3	Not good	525	26.2	26.2
4	Bad	909	45.4	45.4
5	Dan't know	370	18.5	18.5
		. 28	1.4	1.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V95 Pri: 1	mary schools  Excellent	121	60	
2	Good	854	6.0	6.0
3	Not good		42.7	42.7
4	Bad	754	37.7	37.7
5	Don't know	123	6.1	6.1
1930	1217 - 00121	149	7.4	7.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/96 Day	yeare centres			
1	Excellent	176	8.8	8.8
2	Good	927	46.3	46.3
3	Not good	478	23.9	23.9
4	Bad	87	4.3	4.3
5	Don't know	333	16.6	16.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
'97 Hon	ne service for elderly			XVV.0
1	Excellent			
2	Good	70	3,5	3. <i>5</i>
3	Not good	346	17.3	17.3
4	Bad	873	43.6	43.6
5	Don't know	511	25.5	25.5
-		201	10.0	10.0
2000	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

	Value Label	Frequency	Percent V	alid Percent	<u>t</u> .
V98-V9	9 If in the longer run it becomes possil	ble to lower the ta	xes, which v	will you pref	er:
V98 Lc	ower taxes or improved public services?	1			
1	Lower taxes	845	42.2	42.2	
2	Better service	1052	52.6	52.6	
3	Don't know	104	5.2	5.2	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V99 Lo	wer taxes or bringing down the public	debt?			
1	Lower taxes	635	31.7	31.7	
2	Lower debt	1160	58.0	58.0	
3	Don't know	206	10.3	10.3	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V100-V	101 Are you for or against:				
V100 T	he Øresund Bridge (Denmark-Sweden)	?			
1	For	722	36.1	36.1	
2	Against	800	40.0	40.0	
3	Don't know	<b>4</b> 79	23.9	23.9	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V101 T	he Great Belt Bridge (Zeeland-Funen)?				
1	For	1183	59.1	59.1	
1			26.4	26.4	
2	Against	52X			
_	Against Don't know	528 290	14.5	14.5	
2					
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re	Don't know	290 2001 coints from the po response categor	14.5 100.0 litical debat	14.5 100.0 e, which on	z can
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewpodisagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint:  axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree	290 2001 coints from the po response categor	14.5 100.0 Intical debaties. I want y	14.5 100.0 e, which on	e can
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T I 2	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewpoinsagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint:  axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree	290 2001 coints from the po response categor abolished	14.5 100.0 litical debaties. I want y	14.5 100.0 e, which on rou to choose	c can
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T I 2 3	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree	290 2001 coints from the por response categor abolished 623 344 374	14.5 100.0 Intical debuties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7	14.5 100.0 e, which on you to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7	e car
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T 1 2 3 4	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	290 2001 coints from the por response categor abolished 623 344 374 224	14.5 100.0 Intical debuties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2	14.5 100.0 e, which on you to choose 31.1 17.2	e can
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T I 2 3 4 5	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewper disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree	290 2001 coints from the por response categor abolished 623 344 374 224 256	14.5 100.0 Intical debuties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8	14.5 100.0 e, which on you to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8	e can
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T 1 2 3 4	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	290 2001 coints from the por response categor abolished 623 344 374 224	14.5 100.0 Intical debuties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2	14.5 100.0 e, which on you to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2	z car
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T I 2 3 4 5	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewper disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree	290 2001 coints from the por response categor abolished 623 344 374 224 256	14.5 100.0 Intical debuties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8	14.5 100.0 e, which on you to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8	z can
V102-V agree or of the re V102 T  1 2 3 4 5 6	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know	290 2001 coints from the postersponse categor abolished 623 344 374 224 256 180 2001	14.5 100.0 Intical debaties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0 100.0	14.5 100.0 e, which one out to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0	z can
V102-V agree or of the re V102 T  1 2 3 4 5 6	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint:  axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total	290 2001 coints from the postersponse categor abolished 623 344 374 224 256 180 2001	14.5 100.0 Intical debaties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0 100.0	14.5 100.0 e, which one out to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0	z can
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T 1 2 3 4 5 6	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  igh incomes ought to be taxed more str	290 2001 coints from the pooresponse categor e abolished 623 344 374 224 256 180 2001	14.5 100.0 Intical debaties. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0 100.0	14.5 100.0 e, which on ou to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0 100.0	z car
2 3 V102-V agree or of the re V102 T 1 2 3 4 5 6	Don't know Total  130 I shall now mention some viewp disagree with. Here is a card with five sponses. Here is the first viewpoint: axes on rent of owned houses should be Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree Total  ligh incomes ought to be taxed more sin Quite agree	290 2001 coints from the pooresponse categor e abolished 623 344 374 224 256 180 2001 congly than they ar	14.5 100.0 Intical debatics. I want y 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0 100.0	14.5 100.0 e, which on ou to choose 31.1 17.2 18.7 11.2 12.8 9.0 100.0	z car

5	<del></del>	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
_	Quite disagree	386	19.3	19.3
6	Don't know	40	2.0	2.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V104 I:	the present economic circumstances	we cannot afford to	x cuts	
1	Quite agree			
2	Partly agree	472 629	23.6	23.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	-	31.4	31.4
4	Partly disagree	358	17.9	17.9
5	Quite disagree	310 135	15.5	15.5
6	Don't know	97	6.7	6.7
		91	4.8	4.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V105 <b>I</b> n	the present economic circumstances	we cannot afford wa	age increa	ses
1	Quite agree	285	14.2	14.2
2	Partly agree	523	26.1	26.1
3	Neither agree nor disagree	346	17.3	17.3
4	Partly disagree	518	25.9	25.9
5	Quite disagree	249	12.4	12.4
6	Don't know	80	4.0	4.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V106 Im	migration constitutes a serious themat	to our motion 2 to		
1	umigration constitutes a serious threat. Quite agree	to our national cult 464	ure 23.2	23.2
1 2	Quite agree Partly agree			23.2 17.9
1 2 3	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree	464	23.2	
1 2 3 4	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	464 358	23.2 17.9	17.9
1 2 3 4 5	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree	464 358 267	23.2 17.9 13.3	17.9 13.3
1 2 3 4	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	464 358 267 331	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5	17.9 13.3 16.5
1 2 3 4 5	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree	464 358 267 331 559	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9
1 2 3 4 5 6	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total onomic growth should be secured by	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7107 Ec may be in 1 2	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 /107 Ec may be in 1 2 3	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 even though th
1 2 3 4 5 6 7107 Ec nay be in 1 2 3 4	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  conomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 even though the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7107 Ec nay be in 1 2 3 4 5	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  conomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332 337	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6 16.8	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 even though the 7.8 16.6 16.8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7107 Econay be in 1 2 3 4	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  conomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332 337 534	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 even though the 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7
1 2 3 4 5 6 /107 Ec may be in 1 2 3 4 5	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  conomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332 337 534 574	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7 28.7	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 even though th 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7 28.7 3.4
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7107 Ec may be in 1 2 3 4 5 6	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332 337 534 574 68 2001	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7 28.7 3.4	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0  even though the result of t
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7107 Ec may be in 1 2 3 4 5 6	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332 337 534 574 68 2001	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7 28.7 3.4	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0  even though the result of t
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7107 Ec may be in 1 2 3 4 5 6	Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  onomic growth should be secured by conflict with environmental interests Quite agree Partly agree Noither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree Total  c efforts to improve the environment	464 358 267 331 559 22 2001 means of industria 156 332 337 534 574 68 2001	23.2 17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0 1 build-up 7.8 16.6 16.8 26.7 28.7 3.4	17.9 13.3 16.5 27.9 1.1 100.0  even though the result of t

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
3	Neither agree nor disagree	362	18.1	18.1
4	Partly disagree	393	19.6	19.6
5	Quite disagree	256	12.8	12.8
6	Don't know	52	2.6	2.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V109 M	lany of the unemployed really don't w	ant to take a job		
1	Ouite agree	404	20.2	20.2
2	Partly agree	451	22.5	22.5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	317	15.8	15.8
4	Partly disagree	434	21.7	21.7
5	Quite disagree	329	16.4	16.4
6	Don't know	66	3.3	3.3
•				
	Tetal	2001	100.0	100.0
V110 W	e should get out of NATO as fast as p	ossible		
1	Quite agree	89	4.4	4.4
2	Partly agree	76	3.8	3.8
3	Neither agree nor disagree	260	13.0	13.0
4	Partly disagree	292	14.6	
5	Ouite disagree			14.6
6	Don't know	1162	58.1	58.1
O	Don I know	122	6.1	6.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
Vlll In	the longer run the Islamic countries c	onstitute a serious t	hreat to D	enmark's securi
1	Quite agree	440	22.0	22.0
2	Partly agree	378	18.9	18.9
3	Neither agree nor disagree	341	17.0	17.0
4	Partly disagree	340	17.0	17.0
5	Ouite disagree	422		
6	Don't know	422 80	21.1	21.1
ν			4.0	4.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V112 Vi	olent crimes should be punished far st	ricter than they are	today	
I	Quite agree	1259	62.9	62.9
2	Partly agree	437	21.8	21.8
3	Neither agree nor disagree	122	6.1	6.1
4	Partly disagree	97	4.8	4.8
5	Onite disagree	61	3.0	4.8 3.0
6	Don't know	25	3.0 1.2	
				1.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/113 Cri	ime is better reduced by prevention an	d treatment than hy	severe p	inishment
/113 Cri	ime is better reduced by prevention an	d treatment than by	severe po	unishment 28.3

	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
3	Neither agree nor disagree	319	15.9	15.9
4	Partly disagree	298	14.9	14.9
5	Quite disagrec	191	9.5	9.5
6	Don't know	51	2.5	2.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V114 M	any public activities could be made b	oth better and cheap	er if left	to private firms
1	Quite agree	478	23.9	23.9
2	Partly agree	546	27.3	27.3
3	Neither agree nor disagree	445	22.2	22.2
4	Partly disagree	260	13.0	13.0
5	Quite disagree	186	9.3	9.3
6	Don't know	86	4.3	4.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
1	ey may not be Danish citizens Quite agree	228	11.4	11.4
2	Partly agree	319	15.9	15.9
3	Neither agree nor disagree	248	12.4	12.4
4	Partly disagree	506	25.3	25.3
	Quite disagree			
5	Sam making	644	32.2	37.7
5 6	Dan't know	56	32.2 2.8	32.2 2.8
6	Dan't know	56 2001	2.8	2.8
6 V116 Inc	Don't know Total	56 2001	2.8 100.0	2.8
6 V116 Inc 1 2	Don't know Total  ome transfers are getting out of contr Quite agree Partly agree	56 2001	2.8 100.0 22.0	2.8 100.0
6 V116 Inc 1 2 3	Don't know  Total  ome transfers are getting out of control  Quite agree  Partly agree  Neither agree nor disagree	56 2001 pl	2.8 100.0	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1
6 V116 Inc 1 2 3 4	Don't know Total  Total  One transfers are getting out of control  Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	56 2001 pl 441 522	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9
6 V116 Inc 1 2 3 4 5	Don't know  Total  Total  One transfers are getting out of control  Quite agree  Partly agree  Neither agree nor disagree  Partly disagree  Quite disagree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8
6 V116 Inc 1 2 3 4	Don't know Total  Total  One transfers are getting out of control  Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9
6 V116 Inc 1 2 3 4 5	Don't know  Total  Total  One transfers are getting out of control  Quite agree  Partly agree  Neither agree nor disagree  Partly disagree  Quite disagree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1
V116 Inc	Don't know  Total  Total  Grant transfers are getting out of control  Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1	22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1
6 V116 Inc. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V117 In the	Don't know Total  ome transfers are getting out of control Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  he long run we can't afford keeping u	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001 p the welfare state a	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0
6 V116 Inc. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 117 In the 1 2	Don't know Total  ome transfers are getting out of control Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  he long run we can't afford keeping u Quite agree Partly agree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001 p the welfare state at 240	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0
6 V116 Inc. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 117 In the 1 2 3	Don't know Total  ome transfers are getting out of control Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  he long run we can't afford keeping u Quite agree Partly agree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001 p the welfare state at 240 441	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 us we kno 12.0 22.0	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 w it today 12.0 22.0
6 V116 Inc. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V117 In the 1 2	Don't know Total  ome transfers are getting out of control Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  he long run we can't afford keeping u	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001 p the welfare state at 240 441 312	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 48 we kno 12.0 22.0 15.6	2.8 100.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 w it today 12.0 22.0 15.6
6 /116 Inc. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 /117 In the 1 2 3	Don't know Total  Total  One transfers are getting out of control Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  he long rum we can't afford keeping u Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001 p the welfare state at 240 441 312 517	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 48 we kno 12.0 22.0 15.6 25.8	2.8 100.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 w it today 12.0 22.0 15.6 25.8
6 V116 Inc. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 117 In the 1 2 3 4	Don't know Total  ome transfers are getting out of control Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  he long rum we can't afford keeping u Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	56 2001 oi 441 522 418 276 162 182 2001 p the welfare state at 240 441 312	2.8 100.0 22.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 48 we kno 12.0 22.0 15.6	2.8 100.0 26.1 20.9 13.8 8.1 9.1 100.0 w it today 12.0 22.0 15.6

V118 In politics one should strive to give everybody the same economic conditions, no

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent '	Valid Percent
matter w	hat their education and employment is.			
1	Quite agree	248	12.4	12.4
2	Partly agree	330	16.5	16.5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	282	14.1	14.1
4	Partly disagree	516	25.8	25.8
5	Quite disagree	557	27.8	27.8
б	Don't know	68	3.4	3.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V119 I	feel just as much a European as I feel a Da	ıne		
1	Quite agree	206	10.3	10.3
2	Partly agree	273	13.6	13.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	197	9.8	9.8
4	Partly disagree	461	23.0	23.0
5	Onite disagree	828	41.4	41.4
6	Don't know	36	1.8	1.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2	Partly agree	523	26.i	
1	Quite agree	628	31.4	31.4
5000				26.1
3	Neither agree nor disagree	279	13.9	13.9
4	Partly disagree	262	13.1	13.1
5	Quite disagree	203	10.1	10.1
6	Don't know	106	5.3	5.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V121 C	hild allowance should be denied to people	with high inco	mes	
1	Quite agree	792	39.6	39.6
2	Partly agree	453	22.6	22.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	220	11.0	11.0
4	Partly disagree	241	12.0	12.0
5	Quite disagree	239	11.9	11.9
6	Don't know	56	2.8	2.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/122 To	o many get social support without really r	needing it	**************************************	
1	Quite agree	546	27.3	27.3
2 .	Partly agree	591	29.5	27.5 29.5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	323	16.1	16.1
4	Partly disagree	263	13.1	
5	Ouite disagree	203 121	6.0	13.1 6.0
6	Don't know	157	7.8	6.0 7.8
	Total			
	t vial	2001	100.0	100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V123 7	The state has too little control over priv	atc investments		
1	Quite agree	181	9.0	9.0
2	Partly agree	215	10.7	10.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	497	24.8	24.8
4	Partly disagree	357	17.8	17.8
5	Quite disagree	381	19.0	19.0
6	Don't know	370	18.5	18.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V124 7	The tax on imputed rent of owners' ho	uses should be abo	olished ever	n if this will m
_				
1	Quite agrec	302	15.1	15.1
2	Partly agree	290	14.5	14.5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	446	22,3	22.3
4	Partly disagree	312	15.6	15.6
5	Quite disagree	3 <del>5</del> 5	17.7	17,7
6	Don't know	<b>29</b> 6	14.8	14.8
2020	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
3 4 5 6	Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total	244 279 475 208 2001	12.2 13.9 23.7 10.4	12.2 13.9 23.7 10.4
/126 E	Employees may get private hospital to		100.0 the employ	er without bei
1	Quite agree	1177	58.8	58.8
2	Partly agree	359	17.9	17.9
3	Neither agree nor disagree	151	7.5	7.5
		E (2) I		
4	Partly disagree		1.00.000.00	85 85 77 8
4 5	Partly disagree Quite disagree	102	5.1	5.1
4	Partly disagree	102 131	5.1 6.5	5.1 6.5
4 5	Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know	102 131 81	5.1 6.5 4.0	5.1 6.5 4.0
4 5	Partly disagree Quite disagree	102 131	5.1 6.5	5.1 6.5
4 5 6	Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total  copie who are employed should get a o the unemployed	102 131 81 2001	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0
4 5 6 127 Pedative to	Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total  copie who are employed should get a the unemployed Quite agree	102 131 81 2001	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 as to incre	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0
4 5 6 127 Pelative to 1 2	Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total  copie who are employed should get a the unemployed Quite agree Partly agree	102 131 81 2001 tax deduction so	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 as to incre 32.0	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 ase their incor
4 5 6 127 Pelative to 1 2 3	Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total  copie who are employed should get a the unemployed Quite agree	102 131 81 2001 tax deduction so 640 530	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 as to incre 32.0 26.5	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 ase their incor 32.0 26.5
4 5 6 127 Pedative to 1 2	Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total  copie who are employed should get a the unemployed Quite agree Partly agree	102 131 81 2001 tax deduction so	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 as to incre 32.0	5.1 6.5 4.0 100.0 ase their incor

30.003.000	Value Label	Frequency	I MICHIE	Valid Percer	
6	Don't know	123	6.1	6.1	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
VIOR T	he age for early retirement should t	ne raised to 67 sees	n in nomi	al writh the	المائس
	of elderly people	A Taised to 02 year	is hit baran	ci willi me	1181
1	Quite agree	400	20.0	20.0	
2	Parily agree	392	19.6	19.6	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	284	14.2	14.2	
4	Partly disagree	320	16.0	16.0	
5	Quite disagree	505	25.2	25.2	
6	Dan't know	100	5.0	5.0	
- T	Total	2001	100.0		
	Lotte	2001	100.0	0.001	-
V129 Pa	rental job leaves should be abolished	<u>I</u>			
1	Quite agree	199	9.9	9.9	
2	Partly agree	176	8.8	8.8	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	265	13.2	13.2	
4	Partly disagree	397	19.8	19.8	
5	Quite disagree	886	44.3	44.3	
6	Don't know	78	3.9	3.9	
			15350	557546	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V130 T	ne tax on petrol should be raised				
1	Quite agree	258	12.9	12.9	98
2	Partly agree	290	14.5	14.5	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	297	14.8		
4	Partly disagree			14.8	
5	Quite disagree	334	16.7	16.7	
	Don't know	<b>750</b>	37 <i>.</i> 5	37.5	
				100 <u>100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100</u>	
6	4782	72	3.6	3.6	
	Total	72 2001	3.6 100.0	3.6 100.0	
6	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	vez T
6 	4782	2001	100.0	100.0	ey. I
6 VI31 T 70u think 1	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	ey. I
6 V131 TI	Total  ne government has proposed tighter in these proposals are adequate, go too	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enoug	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6	100.0 gration police	ey. I
6 V131 T you think	Total  te government has proposed tighter in these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enoug	100.0 and immig gb? 33.6 8.2	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2	ey. I
6 V(31 T) ou think 1 2	Total  ne government has proposed tighter a these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enoug	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6	100.0 gration police	:y. 1
6 V131 Tr you think 1 2 3	Total  te government has proposed tighter in these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far Not far enough	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enoug 673 165 834 329	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6 8.2 41.7	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4	ey. I
6 V131 T) you think 1 2 3 4	Total  the government has proposed tighter in these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far  Not far enough Don't know4  Total	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enoug 673 165 834 329 2001	100.0 and immig sh? 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2 41.7	ey. I
6 V131 TJ vou think 1 2 3 4	Total  ac government has proposed tighter at these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far Not far enough Don't know4 Total  39 Now some questions about politic	2001  rules in our refugee far, or not far enouge 673 165 834 329 2001	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4	cy. I
6 V131 Ti von think 1 2 3 4 V132-V1	Total  the government has proposed tighter at these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far Not far enough Don't know4 Total  39 Now some questions about politic general the politicians care too little a	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enouge 673 165 834 329 2001 ians in general.	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4	
6 V131 TI vou think 1 2 3 4 V132-V1 V132-In 1	Total  the government has proposed tighter in these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far Not far enough Don't know4 Total  39 Now some questions about politic general the politicians care too little a Quite agree	2001  rules in our refugee far, or not far enouge 673 165 834 329 2001	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4	ey. I
6 V131 TI vou think 1 2 3 4 V132-V1 V132-In 1 2	Total  the government has proposed tighter at these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far Not far enough Don't know4 Total  39 Now some questions about politic general the politicians care too little a	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enouge 673 165 834 329 2001 ians in general.	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	
6 V131 TI vou think 1 2 3 4 V132-V1 V132-In 1	Total  the government has proposed tighter in these proposals are adequate, go too Adequate Go too far Not far enough Don't know4 Total  39 Now some questions about politic general the politicians care too little a Quite agree	2001 rules in our refugee far, or not far enouge 673 165 834 329 2001 ians in general. about the voters' opi	100.0 and immig gh? 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	100.0 gration police 33.6 8.2 41.7 16.4 100.0	

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5	Quite disagree	98	4.9	4.9
6	Don't know	45	2.2	2.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V133 Ir	general we may trust our political le	aders to make the ri	ght decision	ons for the coun
1	Quite agrec	147	7.3	7.3
2	Partly agree	726	7.3 36.3	7.3 36.3
3	Neither agree nor disagree	492	24.6	
4	Partly disagree	3 <b>9</b> 3	19.6	24.6 19.6
5	Quito disagree	203	10.1	10.1
6	Don't know	40	2.0	2.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V134 T	would be very panellale to let a sta-			
crisis	would be very sensible to let a stro	ug man seize powe	r in a situ	lation of econor
1	Quite agree	228	11.4	11.4
2	Partly agree	298	14.9	14.9
3	Neither agree nor disagree	238	11.9	11.9
4	Partly disagree	300	15.0	15.0
5	Quite disagree	854	42.7	42.7
6	Don't know	83	4.1	4.1
7.	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V135 Ti mostly ha 1	here is almost no difference between ave tactical purposes  Quite agree	what the big partic		
2	Partly agree	759	27.6	27.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	231	37.9	37.9
4	Partly disagree	231 294	11.5	11.5
5	Quite disagree		14.7	14.7
6	Don't know	118 46	5.9	5.9
	Total	2001	2.3	2.3
/126 D			100.0	100.0
s going o	metimes politics is so complicated the	nat people like me r	eally don'	t understand w
1	Quite agree	586	29.3	29.3
2	Partly agree	552	27.6	27.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	245	12.2	12.2
4	Partly disagree	371	18.5.	18.5
5	Quite disagree	234	11.7	11.7
б	Don't know	13	0.6	0.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/137 In 4	reneral I don't think it is 4400 to			
13, m <sub>2</sub>	general I don't think it is difficult to t Quite agree	ake stands in politic. 419	al matters. 20.9	
_		417	ZU.Y	20.9

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
2	Partly agree	668	33.4	33.4
3	Neither agree nor disagree	325	16.2	16.2
4	Partly disagree	391	19.5	19.5
5	Quite disagree	172	8.6	8.6
6	Don't know	26	1.3	1.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V138 M	lany more issues should be decided b	y means of popular :	referenda	
ı	Quite agree	313	15.6	15.6
2	Partiy agree	315	15.7	15.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	249	12.4	12.4
4	Partly disagree	474	23.7	23.7
5	Quite disagree	593	29.6	29.6
6	Don't know	57	2.8	2.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V139 TI	he politicians are too wasteful with th	e taxpayers' money		
i	Quite agree	538	26.9	26.9
2	Partly agree	512	25.6	25.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	431	21.5	21.5
4	Partly disagree	336	16.8	16.8
5	Quite disagree	147	7.3	7.3
6	Don't know	37	1.8	1.8
_	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V140 II	know so little about EU that I have als	most given un folloy	vine what	happens
1	Quite agree	373	_	
2	Partly agree	373 437	18.6	18.6
3			21.8	21.8
4	Neither agree nor disagree	322	16.1	16.1
5	Partly disagree Quite disagree	466	23.3	23.3
6	Don't know	380	19.0	19.0
U		23	1.1	1,1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V141 W they are t	Then the politicians discuss the econo talking about	mic policy, I unders	tand only	a fraction of what
1	Quite agree	282	14.1	14.1
2	Partly agree	427	21.3	21.3
3	Neither agree nor disagree	312	15.6	15,6
4 .	Partly disagree	576	28.8	28.8
5	Quite disagree	381	19.0	19.0
6	Don't know	23	1.1	1.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
				10010

	Value Label	Frequency	Percent \	alid Percent
	comprehensive economic redistributions pay for pulling the poorer ones		de within tl	e EU so that th
1	Quite agree	232	11.6	11.6
2	Partly agree	479	23.9	23.9
3	Neither agree nor disagree	399	19.9	19.9
4	Partly disagree	422	21.1	21.1
5	Quite disagree	333	16.6	16.6
6	Don't know	136	6.8	6.8
<del></del>	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V143 De	enmark should support the proposals	for a common refu	gee policy is	n EU
1	Quite agree	665	33.2	33.2
2	Partly agree	588	29.4	29.4
3	Neither agree nor disagree	193	9.6	9.6
4	Partly disagree	184	9.2	9.2
5	Quite disagree	265	13.2	13.2
6	Dan't know	106	5.3	5.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2 3 4	Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree	279 396 286	13.9 19.8 14.3	13.9 19.8 14.3
		286	14.3	14.3
5	Quite disagree	420	21.0	21.0
6	Don't know	416	20.8	20.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	roun .		100.0	100.0
	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries			
mportano 1	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries Quite agree			
mportano	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries	military role corr	esponding	to the econom
mportano 1 2 3	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree  Partly agree  Neither agree nor disagree	military role con	esponding	to the econom
mportano 1 2	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries Quite agree Partly agree	military role con	esponding 7.9 17.0	7.9 17.0
mportano 1 2 3	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree  Partly agree  Neither agree nor disagree	military role con 159 340 359	7.9 17.0 17.9	7.9 17.0
mportano 1 2 3 4	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree  Partly agree  Neither agree nor disagree  Partly disagree	military role con 159 340 359 333	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6
inportano 1 2 3 4 5	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree Partly agree Naither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree	military role con 159 340 359 333 503	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3
1 2 3 4 5 6	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree Partly agree Naither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total	military role com 159 340 359 333 503 307 2001	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3
1 2 3 4 5 6	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree Partly agree Naither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Total  the longer run the EU should be extent	military role con 159 340 359 333 503 307 2001	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  the longer run the EU should be extered.	military role con 159 340 359 333 503 307 2001 aded with some of	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0 the East Eur	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7146 In 1 2	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know  Totai  the longer run the EU should be exter Quite agree Partly agree	military role con 159 340 359 333 503 307 2001 aded with some of 651 543	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0 the East Eur 32.5 27.1	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0  ropean countries 32.5 27.1
Inportance 1 2 3 4 5 6 6	The EU should play a global and ce of the EU countries  Quite agree Partly agree Neither agree nor disagree Partly disagree Quite disagree Don't know Total  the longer run the EU should be extered.	military role con 159 340 359 333 503 307 2001 aded with some of	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0 the East Eur	7.9 17.0 17.9 16.6 25.1 15.3 100.0

Don't know   Total	Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent '	Valid Percent
VI47 Fighting the unemployment should be given top priority on the agenda of the EU   Quite agree	6	Don't know	211	10.5	10.5
Quite agree   654   32.7   32.7		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
Partly agree   680   34.0	V147 Fi	ighting the unemployment should be give	en top priority or	the agend	a of the EU
Neither agree nor disagree   299   14.9   14.9			654	32.7	32.7
4 Partly disagree 165 8.2 8.2 5 Quite disagree 114 5.7 5.7 6 Don't know 89 4.4 4.4 4.4   Total 2001 100.0 100.0 100.0    //148 How much trust do you have in Danish politicians in general?   1 Great trust 77 3.8 3.8 2.2 A fair deal of trust 1105 55.2 55.2 55.2 3 Not much trust 597 29.8 29.8 4 Very little trust 184 9.2 9.2 5 Don't know 38 1.9 1.9   Total 2001 100.0 100.0 100.0    //149 Looking at the way democracy is functioning in Denmark, would you say you are: 1 Very satisfied 496 24.8 24.8 2 Somewhat satisfied 1269 63.4 63.4 3 Not very satisfied 159 7.9 7.9 7.9 4 Dissatisfied 55 2.7 2.7 2.7 5 Don't know 22 1.1 1.1   Total 2001 100.0 100.0 100.0    //150 How is your general attitude to EU? 1 Very positive 822 41.1 41.1   Total 363 18.1 18.1   A Rather negative 344 17.2 17.2   5 Very negative 344 17.2 17.2   5 Very negative 344 17.2 17.2   5 Very negative 344 17.2 17.2   7 Refuses 13 0.6 0.6   Don't know 54 2.7 2.7   7 Refuses 13 0.6 0.6   Total 2001 100.0 100.0 100.0 101.0 1			680	34.0	34.0
Quite disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	299	14.9	14.9
Total   Tota	12.50	Partly disagree	165	8.2	8.2
Fotal   Fota	5	Quite disagree	114	5.7	5.7
1	6	Don't know			35-15
1 Great trust 77 3.8 3.8 2.8 2 A fair deal of trust 1105 55.2 55.2 55.2 3 Not much trust 597 29.8 29.8 4 Very little trust 184 9.2 9.2 5 Don't know 38 1.9 1.9 Total 2001 100.0 100.	25-c-	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2 A fair deal of trust 1105 55.2 55.2 55.2 3 Not much trust 597 29.8 29.8 4 Very little trust 184 9.2 9.2 5 Don't know 38 1.9 1.9 Total 2001 100.0 100	V148 H	ow much trust do you have in Danish po	liticians in gener	al?	
A fair deal of trust		Great trust	77	3.8	3.8
Not much trust   597   29.8   29.8   29.8   4   Very little trust   184   9.2   9.2   9.2   5   Don't know   38   1.9   1.9   Total   2001   100.0   100.0   100.0	2	A fair deal of trust	53.53		
Very little trust   184   9.2   9.2   9.2   Don't know   38   1.9   1.9   Total   2001   100.0   100.0	3	Not much trust			
Total   2001   100.0   100.0	4	Very little trust	(F)71.13		10000000000
Total   2001   100.0   100.0	5	Don't know			
1		Total	2001		
Total   2001   100.0   100.0   100.0   150   How is your general attitude to EU?     187   9.3   9.3   9.3   2   Rather positive   822   41.1   41.1   41.1   3   Neutral   363   18.1   18.1   4   Rather negative   344   17.2   17.2   17.2   5   Very negative   218   10.9   10.9   6   Don't know   54   2.7   2.7   7   Refuses   13   0.6   0.6	3	Not very satisfied	159	7.9	7.9
Total   2001   100.0   100.0   100.0   150   How is your general attitude to EU?     187   9.3   9.3   9.3   2   Rather positive   822   41.1   41.1   41.1   3   Neutral   363   18.1   18.1   4   Rather negative   344   17.2   17.2   17.2   5   Very negative   218   10.9   10.9   6   Don't know   54   2.7   2.7   7   Refuses   13   0.6   0.6	4				
1	5	Don't know	22	1.1	
1         Very positive         187         9.3         9.3           2         Rather positive         822         41.1         41.1           3         Neutral         363         18.1         18.1           4         Rather negative         344         17.2         17.2           5         Very negative         218         10.9         10.9           6         Don't know         54         2.7         2.7           7         Refuses         13         0.6         0.6           Total         2001         100.0         100.0           151         Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199           1         Yes         956         47.8         47.8           2         No         604         30.2         30.2           3         Blank         20         1.0         1.0           4         Don't remember         152         7.6         7.6           5         Did not vote         129         6.4         6.4		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2 Rather positive 822 41.1 41.1 3 Neutral 363 18.1 18.1 4 Rather negative 344 17.2 17.2 5 Very negative 218 10.9 10.9 6 Don't know 54 2.7 2.7 7 Refuses 13 0.6 0.6 Total 2001 100.0 100.0  151 Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199 1 Yes 956 47.8 47.8 2 No 604 30.2 30.2 3 Blank 20 1.0 1.0 4 Don't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4	/150 Ho	ow is your general attitude to EU?			
2     Rather positive     822     41.1     41.1       3     Neutral     363     18.1     18.1       4     Rather negative     344     17.2     17.2       5     Very negative     218     10.9     10.9       6     Don't know     54     2.7     2.7       7     Refuses     13     0.6     0.6       Total     2001     100.0     100.0       151     Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199       1     Yes     956     47.8     47.8       2     No     604     30.2     30.2       3     Blank     20     1.0     1.0       4     Don't remember     152     7.6     7.6       5     Did not vote     129     6.4     6.4		Very positive	187	9.3	9.3
3       Neutral       363       18.1       18.1         4       Rather negative       344       17.2       17.2         5       Very negative       218       10.9       10.9         6       Don't know       54       2.7       2.7         7       Refuses       13       0.6       0.6         Total       2001       100.0       100.0         151       Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199         1       Yes       956       47.8       47.8         2       No       604       30.2       30.2         3       Blank       20       1.0       1.0         4       Don't remember       152       7.6       7.6         5       Did not vote       129       6.4       6.4	2	Rather positive	822		
4 Rather negative 344 17.2 17.2 5 Very negative 218 10.9 10.9 6 Don't know 54 2.7 2.7 7 Refuses 13 0.6 0.6 Total 2001 100.0 100.0  151 Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199 1 Yes 956 47.8 47.8 2 No 604 30.2 30.2 3 Blank 20 1.0 1.0 4 Don't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4	3	Neutral			
5         Very negative         218         10.9         10.9           6         Don't know         54         2.7         2.7           7         Refuses         13         0.6         0.6           Total         2001         100.0         100.0           151         Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199         1         Yes         956         47.8         47.8           2         No         604         30.2         30.2           3         Blank         20         1.0         1.0           4         Don't remember         152         7.6         7.6           5         Did not vote         129         6.4         6.4	4	Rather negative			
6 Don't know 54 2.7 2.7 7 Refuses 13 0.6 0.6 Total 2001 100.0 100.0  151 Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199 1 Yes 956 47.8 47.8 2 No 604 30.2 30.2 3 Blank 20 1.0 1.0 4 Don't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4	5	Very negative			
7         Refuses         13         0.6         0.6           Total         2001         100.0         100.0           151         Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199           1         Yes         956         47.8         47.8           2         No         604         30.2         30.2           3         Blank         20         1.0         1.0           4         Don't remember         152         7.6         7.6           5         Did not vote         129         6.4         6.4	6				
Total   2001   100.0   100.0	7	Refuses			
151 Did you vote Yes or No in the last referendum about the Edinburgh agreement in 199  1 Yes 956 47.8 47.8 2 No 604 30.2 30.2 3 Blank 20 1.0 1.0 4 Don't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4	_	Total			
1     Yes     956     47.8     47.8       2     No     604     30.2     30.2       3     Blank     20     1.0     1.0       4     Dog't remember     152     7.6     7.6       5     Did not vote     129     6.4     6.4	151 Did	you vote Yes or No in the last referend			
2 No 604 30.2 30.2 3 Blank 20 1.0 1.0 4 Don't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4					
3 Blank 20 1.0 1.0 4 Don't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4					
4 Dog't remember 152 7.6 7.6 5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4					
5 Did not vote 129 6.4 6.4					
E NY - 1 - 2 1					
127 6.3 6.3					
	υ	иом епівріїс	127	6.3	6.3

10017555500	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
7	Refuses	13	0.6	0.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V152 C	on 28 May there will be another referendum	n about the	Amsterd	am treaty. Do yo
	vote in that referendum?			
1	Yes, will vote	1766	88.3	88.3
2	No, will not vote	58	2.9	2.9
3	Uncertain	161	8.0	8.0
4	Not eligible	16	0.8	0.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V153 W	ill you vote Yes or No (If uncertain: Do you.	incline tow	ard voting	Yes or No)?
1	Will vote Yes	776	38.8	40.3
$\hat{\mathbf{z}}$	Probably Yes	281	14.0	14.6
3	Probably No	196	9.8	10.2
4	Will vote No	396	19.8	20.6
5	Will vote blank	4	0.2	0.2
6	Will abstain	Ī	0.0	0.1
7	Probably abstain	7	0.3	0.4
9	Depends	235	11.7	12.2
10	Refuses	31	1.5	1.6
	Skipped	74	3.7	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100
igree wit	n this card you see four viewpoints on the co	operation i	a EU. Wh	ich of them do y
V154 Or agree wit 1 2	n this card you see four viewpoints on the co			
agree wit l	n this card you see four viewpoints on the countries the most?  Denmark should leave EU  The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision  The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to	235 1452	n EU. Wh 11.5 72.3	ich of them do y
agree wit 1 2	n this card you see four viewpoints on the count the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European Uniter	235 1452 235	n EU. Wh 11.5 72.3 11.6	ich of them do yo
agree wit  2  3	n this card you see four viewpoints on the countries the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government	235 1452 235 1 67	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4
agree wit 2 3	n this card you see four viewpoints on the count the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government Error: Two answers	235 1452 235 1 67 8	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2 0.4	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4 0.4
agree wit 2 3	n this card you see four viewpoints on the countries the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government	235 1452 235 1 67	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4
1 2 3	n this card you see four viewpoints on the count the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government Error: Two answers	235 1452 235 1 67 8	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2 0.4	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4 0.4
1 2 3 4 5	n this card you see four viewpoints on the count the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government Error: Two answers Not answered Total	235 1452 235 1 67 8 17 -2001	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2 0.4 0.8 100.0	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4 0.4 Missing 100.0
agree wit  2  3  4  5  .  V155-V1  on this so	n this card you see four viewpoints on the countries the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government Error: Two answers Not answered Total	235 1452 235 1 67 8 17 -2001	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2 0.4 0.8 100.0	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4 0.4 Missing 100.0
2 3 4 5 . V155-V1: on this so	n this card you see four viewpoints on the count the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government Error: Two answers Not answered Total  65 In politics one often talks about left and reale? And where will you place the different province of the country to the country that the country the country that the	235 1452 235 1 67 8 17 -2001 eight. When	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2 0.4 0.8 100.0	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4 0.4 Missing 100.0 ou place yourself
agree wit  2  3  4  5  .  V155-V1  on this so	n this card you see four viewpoints on the countries the most?  Denmark should leave EU The EU countries should have complete self-determination and the right to veto EU decision The member countries should leave more decisions to EU and be subordinated to the community EU should develop into a European United State and form a common government Error: Two answers Not answered Total  65 In politics one often talks about left and reale? And where will you place the different page.	235 1452 235 1 67 8 17 -2001	11.5 72.3 11.6 3.2 0.4 0.8 100.0	11.6 72.9 11.7 3.4 0.4 Missing 100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
3		183	9.1	9.1
4		185	9.2	9.2
5		444	22.2	22.2
6		217	10.8	10.8
7		293	14.6	14.6
8		267	13.3	13.3
9		79	3.9	3.9
10	Right.	79	3.9	3.9
11	Don't know	<b>69</b>	3.4	3.4
	Totai	2001	100.0	100.0
V156 I	eft-right placement of Soc Dem party			
O	Left	38	1.9	1.9
1		44	2.2	2.2
2		80	4.0	4.0
3		294	14.7	14.7
4		551	27.5	<b>27</b> .5
5		647	32.3	32.3
б		155	7.7	7.7
7		59	2.9	2.9
8		26		
9		9	1.3	1.3
10	Right	22	0.4	0.4
11	Don't know		1.1	1.1
••	·	76	3.8	3.8
<u> </u>	Total	2001	100.0	100,0
	eft-right placement of Rad Lib party			
0	Left	29	1.4	1.4
1		33	1.6	1.6
2		64	3.2	3.2
3		166	8.3	8.3
4	•	404	20.2	20.2
5		695	34.7	34.7
6		319	15.9	15.9
7		77	3.8	
8		25	3.a 1.2	3.8
9				1.2
10	Right	3 7	0.1	0.1
11	Don't know	179	0.3	0.3
	Total		8.9	8.9
285048 - 1058		2001	100.0	100.0
	ft-right placement of Con party			
0	Leû	7	0.3	0.3
1		6	0.3	0.3
0		-		
2		26	1.3	1.3
2 3 4		26 29	1.3 1.4	1.3 1.4

Value Valu	: Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5		94	4.7	4.7
6		286	14.3	14.3
7		586	29.3	29.3
8		472	23.6	23.6
9		212	10.6	10.6
10 Righ		138	6.9	6.9
11 Don'	know	119	5,9	5.9
Total		2001	100.0	100.0
V159 Left-right	placement of Cen Dem party			
0 Left	•	7	0.3	0.3
1		8	0.4	0.4
2		22	1.1	1.1
3		51	2.5	2.5
4		135	6.7	6.7
5		714	35.7	35.7
5 6		586	29.3	29.3
7		211	10.5	10.5
8		68	3.4	3.4
9		11	0.5	0.5
10 Righ		19	0.9	0.9
	know	169	8.4	8.4
Total		2001	100.0	100.0
V160 Left-right	placement of Soc Peop party			•
0 Left		115	5.7	5.7
1		283	14.1	14.1
2		648	32.4	32.4
3	•	533	26.6	26.6
4		154	7.7	7.7
5		55	2.7	2.7
6		26	1.3	1.3
7		20	1.0	1.0
8		18	0.9	0.9
ý		9	0.4	0.4
10 Righ		13	0.4	0.6
	know	127	6.3	6.3
Total		2001	100.0	100.0
		· - ·		
_	placement of Dan People's party		<b>B</b> 6	x x
0 Left		18	0.9	0.9
		22	1.1	1.1
1				
2	Si .	25	1.2	1.2
2	8	32	1.6	1.6
2 3 4	8	32 31		
2	86	32	1.6	1.6

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
7		80	4.0	4.0
8		161	8.0	8.0
9	71.4	416	20.8	20.8
10	Right	938	46.9	46.9
11	Don't know	160	8.0	0.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V162 L	eft-right placement of Christian	People's party		
0	Left	19	0.9	0.9
1		8	0.4	0.4
2	•	32	1.6	1.6
3		42	2.1	2.1
4		117	5.8	5.8
5		460	23.0	23.0
6		491	24.5	24.5
7		332	16.6	16.6
8		177	8.8	8.8
9		57	2.8	2.8
10	Right	32	1.6	1.6
11	Don't know	234	11.7	11.7
	Total	2001	0.001	100.0
V163 Le	oft-right placement of Lib party			
1	LCI	36	1.8	1.8
2		22	1.1	I.1
3		22	1.1	1.1
4		23	1.1	1.1
5		13	0.6	0.6
6		71	3.5	3.5
7		119	5.9	5.9
8		419	20.9	20.9
9		621	31.0	31.0
10	Dight	377	18.8	18.8
11	Right Don't know	186	9.3	9.3
••		92	4.6	4.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	ft-right placement of Progress p	arty		
0	Left	15	0.7	0.7
1		16	0.7	0.7
2		28	1.4	1.4
3	-	26	1.3	1.3
4	·	37	1.8	8.1
5		56	2,8	2.8
6		50	2.5	2.5
7		98	4.9	4.9
8		186	9.3	9.3
	•	100	7.3	A'3

Value	Value Label	Prequency	Percent	Valid Percent
9		438	21.9	21.9
10	Right	839	44.4	44.4
H	Don't know	162	8.1	8.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V165 L	eft-right placement of Unit List			
D	Left	729	36.4	36.4
1		586	29.3	29.3
2		202	10.1	10.1
3		73	3.6	3.6
4		34	1.7	1.7
5		41	2.0	2.0
6		9	0.4	0.4
7		7	0.3	0.3
8		10	0.5	0.5
9		17	0.8	0.8
10	Right	20	1.0	1.0
11	Don't know	273	13.6	13.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V166-V176 The parties disagree about how may refugees we can receive. Some say we receive far too many. Others say we easily can take more refugees. Here is a card (show and explain). About where would you place (party)? And where would you place yourself?

V166 Soc Dem position on refugee policy

1	Far fewer	19	0.9	0.9
2	Fewer	370	18.5	18.5
3	As now	.922	46.1	46.1
4	More	483	24.1	24.1
5	Far more	82	4.1	4.1
6	Don't know	125	6.2	6.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
167 R	tad Lib position on refugee policy			
1	Far fewer	17	0.8	0.8
2	Fewer	225	11.2	11.2
3	As now	797	39.8	39.8
4	More	572	28.6	28.6
5	Far more	109	5.4	5.4
6	Don't know	281	14.0	14.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
168 C	on position on refugee policy			
1	Far fewer	248	12.4	12.4
2	Fewer	1145	57.2	12,4
3	As now	327	16.7	57.2
_	V BD TEALS.	321	. 10.5	16.3

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
4	More	68	3.4	3.4
5	Far more	4	0.2	0.2
6	Don't know	209	10.4	10.4
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V169 C	en Dem position on refugee policy		_	
1	Far fewer	24		
2	Fewer	24	1.2	1.2
3	As now	308	15.4	15.4
4	More	822	41.I	41.1
5	Far more	475	23.7	23.7
6	Don't know	122 250	6.1	6.1
_		250	12.5	12.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V170 So	e Peop position on refugee policy			
1	Far fewer	28	1.4	1.4
2	Fewer	101	5.0	5.0
3	As now	263	13.1	13.1
4	More	850	42.5	42.5
5.	Far more	495	24.7	24.7
б	Don't know	264	13.2	13.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
/171 Dai	n Peop position on refugee policy			200.0
1	Far fewer	1812	90.6	00 <
2	Fewer	54	2.7	90.6
3	As now	15		2.7
4	More	3	0.7	0.7
5	Far more	3	0.1	0.1
6	Don't know	114	0.1	0.1
	TF- 4-1	114	5.7	5.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
172 Chr	Peop position on refugee policy			
1	Far fewer	36	1.8	1.8
2	Fewer	273	13.6	13.6
3	As now	847	42.3	42.3
4	More	412	20.6	42.3 20.6
5	Far more	77	3.8	20.6 3.8
6	Don't know	356	17.8	3.8 17.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
173 Lib	position on refugee policy			100.0
1	Far fewer	285	140	4 4 19
1		203	14.2	14.2
1 2 3	Fewer As now	1117	14.2 55.8	14.2 55.8

	Value Label	Frequency	Percent '	Valid Percent
4	More	77	3.8	3.8
5	Far more	4	0.2	0.2
6	Don't know	159	7.9	7.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V174 P	rog position on refugee policy			
1	Far fewer	1676	83.8	83.8
2	Fewer	145	7.2	7.2
3	As now	29	1.4	1.4
4	More	. 2	0.1	0.1
5	Far more	2	0.1	0.1
б	Don't know	147	7.3	7.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
1 2 3 4 5	Far fewer Fewer As now More Far more Don't know	62 58 160 464 823 434	3.1 2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7	3.1 2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7
2 3 4 5	Fewer As now More Far more	58 160 464 823	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1
2 3 4 5 6	Pewer As now More Far more Don't know	58 160 464 823 434	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7
2 3 4 5 6 V176 R	Fewer As now More Far more Don't know Total  s own position on refugee policy Far fewer	58 160 464 823 434 2001	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0
2 3 4 5 6 V176 R	Fewer As now More Far more Don't know Total  s own position on refugee policy	58 160 464 823 434 2001	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0
2 3 4 5 6 V176 R	Fewer As now More Far more Don't know Total  s own position on refugee policy Far fewer Fewer As now	58 160 464 823 434 2001 465 652 597	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0
2 3 4 5 6 V176 R 1 2 3 4	Fewer As now More Far more Don't know Total  s own position on refugee policy Far fewer Fewer As now More	58 160 464 823 434 2001 465 652 597 184	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0 23.2 32.6 29.8 9.2	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0 23.2 32.6 29.8 9.2
2 3 4 5 6 V176 R 1 2 3 4 5	Fewer As now More Far more Don't know Total  s own position on refugee policy Far fewer Fewer As now More Far more	58 160 464 823 434 2001 465 652 597	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0
2 3 4 5 6 V176 R 1 2 3 4	Fewer As now More Far more Don't know Total  s own position on refugee policy Far fewer Fewer As now More	58 160 464 823 434 2001 465 652 597 184	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0 23.2 32.6 29.8 9.2	2.9 8.0 23.2 41.1 21.7 100.0 23.2 32.6 29.8 9.2

V177-V187 The parties also disagree on how large the public sector should be. Some parties say we should cut public expenditures and revenues. Others say we must face increasing public expenditures and revenues. Here is a card (show and explain). About where would you place (party)? And where would you place yourself?

## V177 Soc Dem position on budget size

1	Cut deep	11	0.5	0.5
2	Cut a bit	176	8.8	8.8
3	Suitable	607	30.3	30.3
4	Increase a bit	960	48.0	48.0
5	increase a lot	109	5.4	5.4
6	Don't know	138	6.9	6.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

Vulue	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent 1	Valid Percen
/178 R	ad Lib position on budget size				V183 C	in Peop position on hudget size			
1	Cut deep	17	0.8	0.8	1	Cut deep	22	1.1	1.1
2	Cut a bit	246	12.3	12.3	2	Cut a bit	330	16.5	16.5
3	Suitable	841	42.0	42.0	3	Suitable	949	47.4	47.4
4	Increase a bit	594	29.7		4	Increase a bit	300	15.0	15.0
5	Increase a lot	41	2.0	29.7	5	Increase a lot	24	1.2	1.2
б	Don't know	262		2.0	6	Don't know	376	18.8	18.8
	Total	2001	13.1 100.0	13.1 100.0	Ü	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
t120 C			100.0	100.0					
	n position on budget size				V184 L	ib position on budget size			
1	Cut deep	259	12.9	12.9	1	Cut deep	419	20.9	20.9
2	Cut a bit	1186	59.3	59.3	2	Cut a bit	1072	53.6	53.6
3	Suitable	267	13.3	13.3	3	Suitable	245	12.2	12.2
4	Increase a bit	75	3.7	3.7	4	Increase a bit	76	3.8	3.8
5	Increase a lot	4	0.2		5	Increase a lot	16	0.8	0.8
6	Don't know	210	10.5	0.2 10.5	์	Don't knew	173	8.6	8.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	Ü	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
		2001	100.0	100.0				14010	*****
180 Ce	n Dem position on budget size				V185 P	rog position on budget size			
J	Cut deep	17	0.8	0.8	1	Cut deep	1179	58.9	58.9
2	Cut a bit	415	20.7	20.7	2	Cut a bit	368	18.4	18.4
3	Suitable	938	46.9	46.9	3	Suitable	114	5.7	5.7
4	Increase a bit	332			4	Increase a bit	57	2.8	2.8
5 -	Increase a lot		16.6	16.6	5	•	12	0.6	0.6
6	Don't know	16	0.8	0.8		Increase a lot			
_		283	14.1	14.1	6	Don't know	271	13.5	13.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
181 Soc	Peop position on budget size				V186 U	Juit List position on budget size			
1	Cut deep	17	0.8	Λu	1	Cut deep	24	1.2	1.2
2	Cut a bit	55	2.7	0.8	2	Cut a bit	59	2.9	2.9
3	Suitable			2.7	3	Suitable	117	5.8	5.8
4	Increase a bit	149	7.4	7.4	3 4				
5	Increase a lot	951	47.5	47.5	•	Increase a bit	473	23.6	23.6
6	Don't know	576	28.8	28.8	5	Increase a lot	889	44.4	44.4
•		253	12.6	12.6	6	Don't know	439	21.9	21.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100,0		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
182 Dan	Peop position on budget size				V187 R	s own position on budget size			
1	Cut deep	1054	52.7	52.7	1	Cut deep	110	5.5	5.5
2	Cut a bit	430			2	Cut a bit	590	29.5	29.5
3	Suitable		21.5	21.5	3	Suitable	745	37.2	37,2
4	Increase a bit	116	5.8	5.8					
5	Increase a lot	82	4.1	4.1	4	Increase a bit	418	20.9	20.9
_	Don't know	24	1.2	1.2	5	Increase a lot	51	2.5	2.5
_		295	14.7	14.7	6	Don't know	87	4.3	4.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0		Total	2001	100.0	100.0

Visit   Visit   Visit   Constructions synchis shout a groon dimension on which was puls in a transverse gives a groon of a filter is a cared (drow and explain). About where well your place (party)? And well your place (party)? And where well your place (party)? And well your place (party)	Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Compliance configuration of protection with respect of the protection is protection of protection	V188-V	198 One sometimes speaks about	a green dimension o	n which so	me parties strongly					
Where woulds you place younce	emphasi	ise environmental protection, who	ereas others say tha	t environm	ental protection is	. 6	Don't know	239	11.9	11.9
VISS   Sec Dam position on green policy   VISS   Dam Peop position on green policy	going to where w	o far. Here is a card (show and exposed)?	plain). About where	would you	place (party)? And		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2	V188 S	oc Dem position on green policy				V193 J	Dan Peop position on green policy			
2	1	Least green	12	0.6	0.6	1	Least green	541	27.0	27.0
1	2	_	49		·	2	5	536	26.8	26.8
Most green   14			667	33.3				336	16.8	16.8
5 Most green         292 146 146 6 Don't know         432 216 216 216 216 200 1000         5 Most green Total         28 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4						4		128	6.4	6.4
6 Don't know 137 6.8 6.8 6 Don't know 432 21.6 21.6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0		Most green	292			5	Most green	28	1.4	1.4
V199 Rad Lib position on green policy	6	Don't know	137			6	Don't know	432	21.6	21.6
Least green   10   0.5   0.5   0.5   1   Least green   33   1.6   1.6   1.6   2   76   3.8   3.8   2   203   10.1   10.1   3.8   3.8   2   203   3.0   10.1   10.1   3.8   3		Total	2001	100.0	100.0		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2 76 3.8 3.8 2 2 203 10.1 10.1 3.8 3.8 3.8 2 2 77.5 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7	V189 R:	ad Lib position on green policy				V194 (	Chr Peap position on green policy			
2	_	Least green	10	0.5	0.5	1	Least green	33	1.6	1.6
3		_				2		203	10.1	10.1
1	3					3		797	39.8	39.8
5 Most green         202 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 1	4							490	24.5	24.5
6 Don't know 248 12.4 12.4 12.4 6 Don't know 360 18.0 18.0 Total 2001 100.0 100.0 Total 2001 100.0 100	5	Most green				5	Most green	118	5.9	5.9
Total   2001   100.0	6	Don't know				. 6	Don't know	360	18.0	18.0
Least green		Total	2001	100.0		<u></u>	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
Least green	V190 Ca	on position on green policy	46 70,000	36		V195 1	Lib position on green policy		60	151
Company			0.5	2000	4000		기계에 가는 기계에	107	0.2	0.2
State		Leasi green					Teast Bleen			
194   9.7   9.7   9.7   4   261   13.0   13.0   13.0   15   Most green   194   9.7   9.7   9.7   5   Most green   40   2.0							72		110000000000000000000000000000000000000	55655 (49)
5 Most green 19 0.9 0.9 5 Most green 40 2.0 2.0 6 Don't know 190 9.5 9.5   Total 2001 100.0 100.0    V191 Cen Dem position on green policy  V192 Cen Dem position on green policy  1 Least green 32 1.6 1.6 2 2 556 27.8 27.8 27.8 3 3 316 15.8 15.8 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 5 6 4 5 5 6 4 5 5 6 5 6 5										
6 Don't know 242 12.1 12.1 6 Don't know 190 9.5 9.5 Total 2001 100.0 100.0 Total 2001 100.0 100.0    V191 Cen Dem position on green policy  1 Least green 32 1.6 1.6 1.6 2 556 27.8 27.8 22.4 11.2 11.2 3 3 31.6 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8		Most amou			707		*****			
Total 2001 100.0 100.0 100.0 Total 2001 100.0 100.0 100.0    V191 Cen Dem position on green policy    1 Least green										
VI91 Cen Dem position on green policy  1	ь		242	12.1	12.1	a	DOR'T KNOW	190	9.5	9.5
Least green   32   1.6   1.6   2   2   556   27.8   29.9	- 322	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	<u> </u>	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
2   1.6   1.6   1.6   2   556   27.8   27.	V191 Ce	n Dem position on green policy				V196 1	Prog position on green policy	¥ 47.00		98
2 24 11.2 11.2 11.2 1 2 3 316 15.8 27.8 27.8 27.8 27.8 3 316 15.8 15.8 4 316 15.8 15.8 4 312 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6	1	Least green	21	16	1.0	1	Least green	598	29.9	29.9
3 931 46.5 46.5 46.5 4 112 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6		Zenot Broth				2		556	27.8	27.8
4								316	15.8	15.8
5 Most green     79     3.9     3.9     3.9     6 Don't know     23     1.1     1.1       6 Don't know     297     14.8     14.8     14.8     Total     2001     100.0     100.0       V192 Soc Peop position on green policy       1 Least green     14     0.7     0.7     2     35     1.7     1.7       2     38     1.9     1.9     3     106     5.3     5.3       3     115     5.7     5.7     4     271     13.5     13.5						4		112	5.6	5.6
6 Don't know 297 14.8 14.8 6 Don't know 396 19.8 19.8 Total 2001 100.0 100.0 Total 2001 100.0 100.0    V192 Soc Peop position on green policy  1 Least green 14 0.7 0.7 1 Least green 27 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3		Most creen				5	Most green	23	1.1	1.1
Total 2001 100.0 100.0    Total 2001 100.0 100.0   Total 2001 100.0 100.0		35 GC 36 GC 37 GC				6	Don't know	396	19.8	19.8
Vi92 Soc Peop position on green policy   Vi97 Unit List position on green policy   Vi97 Unit List position on green policy   I Least green   14 0.7 0.7   2 35 1.7 1.7   1.7	*			14.8	14.8		Total	2001	100.0	100.0
1 Least green 14 0.7 0.7 2 1 Least green 27 1.3 1.3 2 35 1.7 1.7 38 1.9 1.9 3 106 5.3 5.3 4 271 1.3 5.7 5.7		Total	2001	100.0	100.0			2001	100.0	100.0
2 35 1.7 1.7 38 1.9 1.9 3 106 5.3 5.3 115 5.7 5.7 4 271 13.5 13.5	/192 Soc	c Peop position on green policy								
38 1.9 1.9 3 106 5.3 5.3 115 5.7 5.7 4 271 13.5 13.5		Least green	14	0.7	0.7	_	Least green			
3 106 5.3 5.3 4 271 13.5 13.5										
4 7/1 133 135										
	4		575	28.7	28.7	4		271	13.5	13.5

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5	Most green	1179	58.9	58.9
6	Don't know	383	. 19.1	19.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V198 R	s own position on green policy			
1	Least green	57	2.8	2.8
-2		159	7.9	7.9
3		795	39.7	39.7
4		605	30.2	30.2
5	Most green	322	16.1	16.1
б	Don't know	63	3.1	3.1
	Total	2001	100.6	100.0

V199-V209 Some parties say we should use more money to support large families with better job leave arrangements, better daycare service, and so on. Other parties say that having children is people's own responsibility and that public expenditures are already too large in this area. Here is a card (show and explain). About where would you place (party)? And where would you place yourself?

V199	Soc	Dem	position	OI	large-f	amily	support	
------	-----	-----	----------	----	---------	-------	---------	--

	1 B I support				
1	Generous now	51	2.5	2.5	
2	82	100	5.0	5.0	
3 4		551	27.5	27.5	
		813	40.6	40.6	
<b>5</b> .	Should be raised	351	17.5	17.5	
6	Don't know .	135	6.7	6.7	
1	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V200 R	ad Lib position on large-family support				
1	Generous now	41	2.0	2.0	
2		158	7.9.	7.9	
2 3 4		775	38.7	38.7	
		619	30.9	30.9	
5	Should be raised	141	7.0	7.0	
6	Don't know	267	13.3	13.3	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V201 C	on position on large-family support				
1	Generous now	255	12.7	12.7	
2		721	36.0	36.0	
3	•	621	31.0	31.0	
4		150	7.5	7.5	
5	Should be raised	19	0.9	0.9	
6	Don't know	235	11.7	11.7	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent \	alid Percent
V202 C	en Dem position on large-family support			
1	Generous now	50	2.5	2.5
2		247	12.3	12.3
3		927	46.3	46.3
4		410	20.5	20.5
5	Should be raised	77	3.8	3.8
6	Don't know	290	14.5	14.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V203 Sc	c Peop position on large-family support			
1	Generous now	22	1.1	1.1
2	Centrons now	52	2.6	2.6
3		164	8.2	8.2
4		642	32.1	32.1
	CL			
5	Should be raised	873	43.6	43.6
6	Don't know	248	12.4	12.4
	Total	2001	. 100.0	100.0
V204 D	an Peop position on large-family support Generous now	653	32.6	32.6
2		525	26.2	26.2
3		282	14.1	14.1
4		107	5.3	5.3
Š	Should be raised	28	1.4	1.4
6	Don't know	406	20.3	20.3
	182 48	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V205 C	hr Peop position on large-family support			
1	Generous now	40	2.0	2.0
2	The second secon	131	6.5	6.5
3		469	23.4	23.4
4		604	30.2	30.2
5	Should be raised	474	23.7	23.7
6	Don't know	283	14.1	14.1
	Total	2001	100.0	
	1 Utal	2001	100.0	100.0
V206 Li	b position on large-family support			
1	Generous now	275	13.7	13.7
2	200	706	35.3	35.3
3		622	31.1	31.1
4		169	8.4	8.4
5	Should be raised	25	1.2	1.2
6	Don't know	204	10.2	10.2
	Total			
	t Atd	2001	100.0	100.0

V207 F	<del></del>	Frequency	Percent 1	Valid Percent
	reg position on large-family support	t	_	
1	Generous now	732	36.6	36.6
2		499	24.9	24.9
3		270	13.5	13.5
4		84	4.2	4.2
5	Should be raised	17	0.8	0.8
6	Don't know	399	19.9	19.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V208 U	Juit List position on large-family sup	pport		
1	Generous now	30	1.5	1.5
2		51	2.5	2.5
3		145	7.2	2.3 7.2
4		365	18.2	18.2
5	Should be raised	980	49.0	18.2 49.0
6	Don't know	430	21.5	21.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
Vano p	s own position on large-family supp		101001000	
	10 mm			
1	Generous now	288	14.4	14.4
2		269	13.4	13.4
3		721	36.0	36.0
4		440	22.0	22.0
	Should be raised	209	10.4	10.4
5				
5 6	Don't know	74	3.7	3.7
	Don't know Total	74 2001	100.0	3.7 100.0
6 V210-V: Others tz (show as yourself)	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and end explain). About where would y	2001 saintaining law and or	100.0 der with se	100.0 evere punishme
V210-V; Others ta (show an yourself)	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y  7  oc Dem position on crime policy	2001 saintaining law and or	100.0 der with se	100.0 evere punishme
V210-V; Others ta show an yourself; V210 So	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and end explain). About where would y	2001 saintaining law and or	100.0 der with se	100.0 evere punishme
V210-V2 Others to Show an yourself? V210 So	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y  7  oc Dem position on crime policy	2001  naintaining law and or treating criminals in a 70u place (party)? A	der with sea human wand where	100.0 evere punishme ay. Here is a co would you pla
V210-V2 Others to show an yourself V210 So 1 2 3	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y  7  oc Dem position on crime policy	2001  naintaining law and or treating criminals in a 70u place (party)? A	der with set burnan wand where	100.0 evere punishme ay. Here is a co would you pla 4.1
V210-V2 Others to (show an yourself) V210 So 1 2 3 4	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y  co Dem position on crime policy  Law and order	2001  naintaining law and or treating criminals in a 70u place (party)? A  82 163	der with sea burnan wand where	100.0 evere punishme ay. Here is a co would you pla  4.1  8.1
V210-V2 Others tr (show anyourself) V210 Sc 1 2 3 4 5	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and explain). About where would you come position on crime policy  Law and order  Prevention	2001  naintaining law and or treating criminals in a 70u place (party)? A  82 163 638	der with sea human wand where  4.1 8.1 31.9	200.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a cowould you plant 4.1 8.1 31.9
V210-V2 Others to show an yourself: V210 So 1 2 3 4	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y  co Dem position on crime policy  Law and order	2001  naintaining law and or treating criminals in a you place (party)? A  82 163 638 672	der with sea human wand where  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6	200.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a cowould you plant 4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6
V210-V2 Others to show an yourself' V210 So 1 2 3 4 5	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and explain). About where would you come position on crime policy  Law and order  Prevention	2001  saintaining law and or treating criminals in a couplace (party)? A  82 163 638 672 255	100.0 der with se human w and where 4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7	200.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a convolid you plant 4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7
V210-V2 Others ta (show as yourself) V210 So 1 2 3 4 5 6	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and explain). About where would y?  200 Dem position on crime policy  Law and order  Prevention  Don't know	2001  saintaining law and or treating criminals in a you place (party)? A  82 163 638 672 255 191	100.0 der with sea homan wand where 4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5	100.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a cowould you pla  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5
V210-V2 Others ta (show as yourself) V210 So 1 2 3 4 5 6	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and explain). About where would y  oc Dem position on crime policy  Law and order  Prevention  Don't know  Total	2001  saintaining law and or treating criminals in a zou place (party)? A  82 163 638 672 255 191 2001	100.0  der with sea buman wand where  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5 100.0	100.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a cowould you pla  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5 100.0
6 V210-V2 Others ta (show au yourself) V210 So  1 2 3 4 5 6	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y?  200 Dem position on crime policy  Law and order  Prevention  Don't know  Total  ad Lib position on crime policy	2001  saintaining law and or treating criminals in a zou place (party)? A  82 163 638 672 255 191 2001	100.0  der with sea buman wand where  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5 100.0	100.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a cowould you plant 4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5 100.0
6 V210-V2 Others ta (show as yourself) V210 So  1 2 3 4 5 6	Total  220 Some parties are in favour of malk instead of preventing crime and and explain). About where would y?  200 Dem position on crime policy  Law and order  Prevention  Don't know  Total  ad Lib position on crime policy	2001  saintaining law and or treating criminals in a zou place (party)? A  82 163 638 672 255 191 2001	100.0  der with sea buman wand where  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5 100.0	100.0 Evere punishme ay. Here is a cowould you pla  4.1 8.1 31.9 33.6 12.7 9.5 100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5	Prevention	376	18.8	18.8
6	Don't know	256	12.8	12.8
	Total	2001	100:0	100.0
V212 C	on position on crime policy			
I	Law and order	583	29.1	29.1
2		842	42.1	42.1
3		265	13.2	13.2
4		70	3.5	3.5
5	Prevention	25	1.2	1.2
6	Don't know	216	10.8	10.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V213 C	en Dem position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	94	4.7	4.7
2		376	18.8	18.8
3		878	43.9	43.9
4	60	268	13.4	13.4
5	Prevention	73	3.6	3.6
6	Don't know	3.12	15.6	15.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	oc Peop position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	39	1.9	1.9
2		54	2.7	2.7
3 4		149	7.4	7.4
	A MARINE TO THE PROPERTY OF TH	598	29.9	29.9
5	Prevention	879	43.9	43.9
6	Don't know	282	14.1	14.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V215 Da	an Peop position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	1280	64.0	64.0
2	100 0 100 0	308	15.4	15.4
3		95	4.7	4.7
4		32	1.6	1.6
5	Prevention	25	1.2	1.2
6	Don't know	261	13.0	13.0
***	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V216 Ch	r Peop position on crime policy			
	Law and order	100	5.0	5.0
1				
		272	13.6	13.6
1 2 3		272 664	13.6 33.2	13.6 33.2

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5	Prevention	216	10.8	10.8
6	Don't know	331	16.5	16.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V217 L	ib position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	527	26.3	26.3
2		850	42.5	42.5
3		329	16.4	16.4
4		70	3.5	3.5
5	Prevention	24	1.2	1.2
6	Don't know	201	10.0	10.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V218 Pr	og position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	1213	60.6	60.6
2		373	18.6	18.6
3		113	5.6	5.6
4		20	1.0	1.0
5	Prevention	18	0.9	0.9
6	Don't know	264	13.2	13.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V219 U	nit List position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	42	2.1	2.1
2	<del></del>	46	2.3	2.3
3		109	5.4	5.4
4	•	294	14.7	14.7
5	Prevention	1072	53.6	53.6
6	Don't know	438	21.9	21.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V220 Rs	own position on crime policy			
1	Law and order	476	23.8	23.8
2	AND THE PARTY OF T	479	23.9	23.9
3		496	24.8	24.8
4		276	13.8	13.8
5	Prevention	218	10.9	10.9
6	Don't know	56	2.8	2.8
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V221-V231 Also the economic policy is discussed. Some parties are in favour of a tight economic policy that will limit the deficit on the state budget even though this may generate unemployment. Others argue that we should pursue an economic policy that increases consumption and employment even though it may lead to a larger debt. Here is a card (show and explain). About where would you place (party)? And where would you place yourself?

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent V	alid Percent
V221 Sc	c Dem position on economic policy			
1	Very tight	79	3.9	3.9
2	·,	279	13.9	13.9
3		733	36.6	36.6
4		588	29.4	29.4
5	Expansive	139	6.9	6.9
6	Don't know	183	9.1	9.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V222 R:	ad Lib position on economic policy			
		7.4	27	2.7
1	Very tight	74	3.7	3.7
2		369	18.4	18.4
3		890	44.5	44.5
4		359	17.9	17.9
5	Expansive	51	2.5	2.5
6	Den't know	258	12.9	12.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V223 C	on position on economic policy			
1	Very tight	376	18.8	18.8
2	7 -6	941	47.0	47.0
3		292	14.6	14.6
4		120	6.0	6.0
5	Expansive	26	1.3	1.3
6	Don't know	246	12.3	12.3
บ				
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V224 C	en Dem position on economic policy			
ī	Very tight	52	2.6	2.6
2	- <b>-</b>	413	20.6	20.6
3		1027	51.3	51.3
4		181	9.0	9.0
5	Expansive	13	0.6	0.6
6	Don't know	315	15.7	15.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V225 S.	oc Peop position on economic policy		<del></del>	
				2.2
1	Very tight	47	2.3	2.3
2		115	5.7	5.7
3	• •	265	13.2	13.2
4		734	<b>36.7</b>	36.7
5	Expansive	523	26.1	26.1
6	Don't know	317	15.8	15.8

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V226 D	an Peop position on economic policy			
1	Very tight	688	34.4	34,4
2	• •	500	25.0	25.0
3	•	231	11.5	11.5
4		92	4.6	4.6
5	Expansive	42	2.1	2.1
6	Don't know	448	22.4	22.4
	Total	2001	.100.0	
<b>V</b> 227 C	hr Peop position on economic policy			
ı ·	Very tight	43	2.1	2.1
2	,, agai	328	16.4	16.4
3		985	49.2	49.2
4		172	8.6	8.6
5	Expansive	20	1.0	1.0
6	Don't know	453	22.6	22.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
	20 815		100.0	100.0
	b position on economic policy	6		
1	Very tight	491	24.5	24.5
2		869	43.4	43.4
3		258	12.9	12.9
4		124	6.2	6.2
5	Expansive	32	1.6	1.6
6	Don't know	227	11.3	11.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V229 Pr	og position on economic policy			34
1	Very tight	763	38.1	38.1
2	,,,	480	24.0	24.0
3		220	11.0	11.0
4		85	4.2	4.2
5	Expansive	49	2.4	2.4
6	Don't know	404	20.2	20.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V230 II-	nit List position on personal and		2000	100.0
1	oit List position on economic policy	4		vr. <b>=</b> 00=4
2	Very tight	50	2.5	2.5
3		71	3.5	3.5
3 4		203	10.1	10.1
5	Evameira	388	19.4	19.4
6	Expansive Don't know	763 536	38.1	38.1
•		526	26.3	26.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

Value.	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V231 R	s own position on economic policy			
1	Very tight	133	6.6	6.6
2	, 0	522	26.1	26.1
3		930	46.5	46_5
4		218	10.9	10.9
5	Expansive	58	2.9	2.9
6	Don't know	140	7.0	7.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
relations for the fa	242 Now the last question of this type. to the EU. 1 stands for those parties whatest possible build-up of EU.	Here, the number o want to get us	ers on the	scale stand for EU, while 5 sta
V232 8	oc Dem position on EU policy			
1	Out of EU	10	0.5	0.5
2		19	0.9	0.9
3		395	19.7	19.7
4		814	40.7	40.7
5	Strengthen EU	643	32.1	32.1
6	Don't know	120	6.0	6.0
	Total			
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V233 R	ad Lib position on EU policy			
1 2 3 4 5	Out of EU Strengthen EU	3 38 443 725 470	0.1 1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5	0.1 1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5
1 2 3 4	Out of EU	38 443 725	1.9 22.1 36.2	1.9 22.1 36.2
1 2 3 4 5	Out of EU Strengthen EU	38 443 725 470	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5
1 2 3 4 5 6	Out of BU Strengthen EU Don't know	38 443 725 470 322	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1
1 2 3 4 5 6	Out of BU Strengthen BU Don't know Total	38 443 725 470 322 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 V234 C	Out of BU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 V234 C	Out of BU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 V234 C	Out of BU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 C	Out of EU Strengthen EU Don't know Total on position on EU policy Out of EU	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 C	Out of BU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 C	Out of BU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 C	Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 Co	Out of BU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 Co	Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  en Dem position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 Co	Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 Co	Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  en Dem position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 Co	Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  en Dem position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 V234 Co	Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  on position on EU policy  Out of EU  Strengthen EU  Don't know  Total  en Dem position on EU policy	38 443 725 470 322 2001 7 29 124 691 966 184 2001	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0	1.9 22.1 36.2 23.5 16.1 100.0 0.3 1.4 6.2 34.5 48.3 9.2 100.0

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
6	Don't know	280	14.0	14.0
	Unanswered	4	0.2	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	0.001
V236 C	oc Peop position on EU policy		-	
1· 2	Out of EU	551	27.5	27.5
3		782	39.1	39.1
4		337	16.8	16.8
5	Strengthen EU	<b>65</b>	3.2	3.2
6	Don't know	35 231	1.7 11.5	1.7
_	Total	2001		11.5
		2001	100.0	100.0
V237 D	an Peop position on EU policy			
1	Out of EU	1239	61.9	61.9
2		245	12.2	12.2
3		123	6.1	6.1
4		56	2.8	2.8
5	Strengthen EU	35	1.7	1.7
6	Don't know	303	15.1	15.1
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V238, CI	nr Peop position on EU policy	<b>6</b> 2		
1	Out of EU	30	1 4	
2	Ode of Ed	114	1.5 5.7	1.5
3		806	40.3	77.0
4		439	21.9	40.3 21.9
5	Strengthen EU	143	7.1	7.1
6	Don't know	469	23.4	23.4
100	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V220 T	EV			3000
	b position on BU policy		¥	
1	Out of EU	5	0.2	0.2
2		14	0.7	0.7
3		75	3.7	3.7
4 5	Fare and All Title	361	18.0	18.0
5	Strengthen EU  Don't know	1408	70.4	70.4
		138	6.9	6.9
-	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V240 Pro	ng position on EU policy			
1	Out of EU	1081	54.0	54.0
2	<del> </del>	285	34.0 14.2	
3		167	8.3	14.2 8.3
4		75	3.7	8.3 3.7
			J.,	3.1

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
5	Strengthen EU	48	2.4	2.4
6	Don't know	345	17.2	17.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V241 U	nit List position on EU policy			
1	Out of EU	1375	68.7	68.7
2		144	7.2	7.2
3		83	4.1	4.1
4		10	0.5	0.5
5	Strengthen EU	30	1.5	1.5
6	Don't know	359	17.9	17.9
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V242 R	own position on EU policy			
1	Out of EU	270	13,5	13.5
2		244	12.2	12.2
3		617	30.8	30.8
4		502	25.1	25.1
5	Strengthen EU	274	13.7	13.7
	Don't know	94	4.7	4.7
6				

V243 In some countries people think the elections are fair. In others they think that the elections are unfair. Thinking of the last election in Denmark, where will you place it on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means the last election was fair and 5 means it was unfair?

	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
Total		13	0.6	0.6
6	Don't know			
5	Unfair	22	1.1	1.1
4	r was a record of	. 21	1.0	1.0
3		59	2.9	2.9
2		123	6.1	6.1
1	Fair	1763	88.1	88.I
99	W-302			

V244 Some think the political parties care what common people think. Others think the political parties in Denmark do not care what common people think. Using this scale (show), where will you place your own opinion?

2	Care	334 612	16.7 30.6	16.7 30.6
3	8	596	29.8	29.8
4		228	11.4	11.4
5	Do not care	196	9.8	9.8
6	Don't know	. 35	1.7	1.7
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

Value

V245 Some think political parties are necessary in order that the political system can function in Denmark. Others think parties are not necessary in Denmark. Using this scale (show), where will you place your own opinion?

1	Necessary	,	* 1386	69.3	69.3
2	-		344	17.2	17.2
3			168	8.4	8.4
4			42	2.1	2.1
5	Not necessary		36	1.8	1.8
6	Don't know		25	1.2	1.2
	Total		2001	100.0	100.0

V246 Some say the members of the parliament have a good feeling for what ordinary people think. Others say MPs know very little about what ordinary people think. Using this scale (show), where will you place your own opinion?

1	MPs know	224	11.2	11.2
2		598	29.9	29.9
3		624	31.2	31.2
4		270	13.5	13.5
5	MPs don't know	260	13.0	13.0
6	I don't know	25	1.2	1.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V247 Some think it makes a difference who is in government. Others say it means nothing at all who is in government. Using this scale (show), where will you place your own opinion?

				,
1	Yes, difference	846	42.3	42.3
. 2		530	26.5	26.5
3		364	18.2	18.2
4	•	138	6.9	6.9
5	No difference	106	5.3	5.3
6	Done know	17	0.8	0.8
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V248 Some think that no matter how people vote, it will have no importance for what will happen. Others think that how people vote may well be important for what will happen. Using this scale (show), where will you place your own opinion?

1	No importance	136	6.8	6.8
2		156	7.8	7.8
3		189	9.4	9.4
4		402	20.1	20.1
5	May have importance	1087	54.3	54.3
6	Don't know	31	1.5	1.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V249 Do you think most Danes generally say what they mean when they are asked about their
political opinion, or do you think that most people hide their real opinions? Using this scale
(show), where will you place your own opinion?

1	Say what they mean	568	28.4	28.4	
2		508	25.4	25.4	
3		449	22.4	22.4	
4		. 254	12.7	12.7	
5	Hide what they mean	186	9.3	9.3	
6	Don't know	36	1.8	1.8	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	

V250 Some people choose a party on account of their political attitudes, while others mostly choose a party on account of which party leader they like best. If 1 means choosing from political attitudes, and 5 means choosing from party leaders, where will you then place yourself.

1	Attitudes	1024	51.2	51.2
2		446	22.3	22.3
3		298	14.9	14.9
4		113	5.6	5.6
5	Leaders	94	4.7	4.7
6	Don't know	26	1.3	1.3
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

#### V251 And where would you place the typical Danish voter?

4 5	Leaders	441 228	22.0 11.4	22,0 11.4
б	Don't know	105	5.2	5.2
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0

V252 It is discussed how refugees who obtain permits to stay should be treated. If 1 means that refugees should nearly always be sent back as quickly as possible, while 5 means that refugees with permits should be integrated, where will you place your own opinion?

	Total	2001	100.0		
6	Don't know	35	1.7	1.7	
5	Integrate	304	15.2	15.2	
4		199	9.9	9.9	
3		470	23.5	23.5	
2		387	19.3	19.3	
1	Send back	606	30.3	30.3	

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent '	Valid Percent	
V253 I	t is also discussed whether the abuse	of the benefit sys	tem by the	unemployed i	S 2
	. If 1 means that abuse is a very big	g problem, while	5 means th	ne abuse is no	t 2
problem	, where would you place yourself?				
1	Very big problem	282	14.1	14.1	
2		461	23.0	23.0	
- 3		648	32.4	32.4	
4		314	15.7	15.7	
5	No problem	229	11.4	11.4	
6	Don't know	67	3.3	3.3	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
V254 D	o you remember any of the candidates	who ran for the eld	ection in yo	шг совпту?	
1	I candidate correct	397	19.8	19.8	
2	2 candidates correct	318	15.9	15.9	
3	3 or more candidates correct	835	41.7	41.7	
4	None	451	22.5	22.5	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	_
V255 W	that do you think about the economic s	ituation in Denma	rk today?		
1	Very good	202	10.1	10.1	
2	Good	1306	65.3	65.3	
3	So-so	383	19.1	19.1	
4	Bad	69	3.4	3.4	
5	Very bad	11	0.5	0.5	
6	Don't know	30	1.5	1.5	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
7256 W	Yould you say the country's economic s	ilustion has becom	ve better et	aved the come	01
nas beco	ome worse during the last 12 months? (	if better or worse:	Is that muc	h or somewhat	7
1	Much better	64	3.2	3.2	
2	Somewhat better	526	26.3	26.3	
3	Same	992	49.6	49.6	
4	Somewhat worse	263	13.1	13.1	
5	Much worse	11	0.5	0.5	
6	Don't know	74	3.7	3.7	
		71	3.5	3.5	
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0	
	tow much do you think people like yo d with the rest of the population?	ourself have got or	it of the ec	anomic upswi	ng,
1	A lot more	75	3.7	3.7	
2	A bit more	408	20.4	20.4	
3	The same	1110	55.5	20.4 55.5	
4	A bit less	185	9.2	9.2	
5	A lot less	183 51	2.5	2.5	
6	Nothing	101	5.0	5,0	
•	1 in the second	101	5.0	5.0	

Value	Value Label	Гтециепсу	Percent	Valid Percent
•	Don't know	71	3.5	3.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V258 D	uring the past 12 months, have you had	any contact with	a member	r of the parlians
		398	19.9	19.9
1	Yes No	1592	79.6	79.6
2			0.5	
3	Don't know	11		0.5
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
Finally, s	some questions about yourself.			
V259 Se	÷x			
1	Male	1080	54.0	54.0
2	Female	921	46.0	46.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V260 B	irth year, 19xx			
V261 W	hat is your marital status?			
ì	Unmarried	383	19.1	19.1
2	Married	1022	51.1	51.1
3	Living together	262	13.1	13.1
4	Divorced	175	8.7	8.7
5	Widow	156	7.8	7.8
6	Don't know	130	0.0	0.0
U	Refuses	2	0.0	0.0
•	Totai	2001	100.0	100.0
V262 W	hat is your yearly income before tax?	2WI	100.0	100.0
	- · ·	105	0.0	
1	Under 75.000	185	9.2	9.2
2	75.000-99.999	165	8.2	8.2
3	100-124.999	187	9.3	9.3
4	125-149.999	194	9.7	9.7
5	150-174.999	139	6.9	6.9
6	175-199.999	1.51	7.5	7.5
7	200-249.999	400	20.0	20.0
8	250-299.999	111	5.5	5.5
9	300-349.999	127	6.3	6.3
10	350-399.999	75	3.7	3.7
11	400-449.999	36	1.8	1.8
12	450-499,999	19	0.9	0.9
13	500-599.999	24	1.2	1.2
14	600-699.999	8	0.4	0.4
15	700-799.999	2	0.1	
16	800.000 +	14	0.7	0.7
17	Don't know or refuses	164	8.2	8.2

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
V263 V	What is the total income of the house	shold before tax?		
i	Under 75.000	61	3.0	3.0
2	75.000-99.999	74	3.7	3.7
3	100-124.999	102	5.1	5.1
4	125-149.999	101	5.0	5.0
5	150-174.999	76	3.8	3.8
6	175-199. <del>999</del>	83	4.1	4.1
7	200-249.999	230	11.5	11.5
8	250-299.999	<b>53</b>	2.6	2.6
9	300-349.999	187	9.3	9.3
10	350-399.999	160	8.0	8.0
11	400-449.999	171	8.5	8.5
12	450-499.999	129	6.4	6.4
13	500-599.999	154	7.7	7.7
14	699,999	78	3.9	3.9
15	799,999	32	1.6	1.6
16	800,000 ÷	55	2.7	2.7
17	Don't know or refuses	255	12.7	12.7
	Total	2001	0.001	100.0
	Owned or rented home?			
1	Rented	541	27.0	27.1
2	Cooperative	134	6.7	6.7
3	Owned house	1173	58.6	58.7
4	Owned flat	150	7.5	7.5
-	Unanswered	3	0.1	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V265 N	No. of persons 18 years old or more	in household		
1	One person	569	28.4	28.5
2	Two	1152	57.6	57.7
3	Three	182	9.1	9.1
4	Four	68	3.4	3.4
5	Five	17	0.8	0.9
6	Six or more	7	0.3	0.4
•	Unanswered	6	0.3	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V266 C	hildren living at home			
266 <b>B</b> C	Children 0-5 years			
1	Yes	289	14,4	14.4
0	No	1212	85.6	85.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
			<del>-</del>	

/alue	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
/266C	Children 6-12 years			
1	Yes	461	23.0	23.0
ô	No	1540	77.0	77.0
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
		'-		
/266D	Young, 18+ years			
1	Yes	189	9.4	9.4
0	No	1812	90.6	90.6
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V267 P	ostal code, four digits			
	ural or urban district			
1	Countryside	471	23.5	23.6
2	Town <10.000	356	17.8	17.8
3	Town 10-50.000	508	25.4	25.4
4	Town 50-500.000	239	11.9	12.0
5	Copenhagen	424	21.2	21.2
6	Don't know	2	0.1	0.1
	Unanswered	1	0.0	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V269 S 1	chool education Primary, 7 years	410	20.5	20.5
2	Primary, 8-9 years	322	16.1	16.1
3	Secondary, 10 years	667	33.3	33.4
4	Gymnasium, 12 years	593	29.6	29.7
Ś	Refuses	4	0.2	0.2
6	Unanswered	5	0.2	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V270 E	ducation beyond school?			
0	No education beyond school	505	25.2	25.4
1	Basic	203	10.1	
2	Completed	680	34.0	
3	Higher education, short	160	8.0	8.0
4	Higher education, middle	259	12.9	13.0
5	Higher education, long	185	9.2	9.3
-,	Not answered	9	0.4	Missing
	Totai	2001	100.0	100.0
	Are you a member of a trade union?			
	Are you a member of a trade union? Yes (name of trade union)	3	0.1	0.4
1	Yes (name of trade union)	3 32	0.1 1.6	
	•	3 32 111	0.1 1.6 5.5	4.4

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
4	No	557	27.8	76.5
5	Don't know	25	1.2	3.4
<b>8</b> 0	Skipped	1273	63.6	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
V272 W	/hat is your occupation?			
0	No occupation			
1	Unskilled worker	144	7.2	7.2
2	Semiskilled	111	5.5	5.6
3	Skilled worker	205	10.2	10.3
4	Lower salaried	4081	20.4	20.4
5	Higher salaried	270	13.5	13.5
6	Farmer	41	2.0	2.1
7	Self-empl retail or industry	15	0.7	0.8
8	Other self-empl	73	3.6	3.7
9	Student, apprentice	196	9.8	9.8
10	Retired	480	24.0	9.8 24.0
11	Housewife	17	0.8	0.9
12	Assisting wife	10	0.5	0.5
19	More than one occupation	26	1.3	1.3
	Not answered	5	.2	
	Total	2001	100.0	Missing
'2/3 AF	e you in public or private employment Private employment	t or are you self-em 663	ployed? 33.1	22 £
2	Public employment	510	25.5	33.6
3	Self-employed	135	23.3 6.7	25.9
4	Not employed	628	31.4	6.8
5	Refuses	5	0.2	31.8
б	Don't know	24	1.2	0.3
9	Double answers	7		1,2
	Not answered	29	0.3	0.4
	Total		1.4	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
274 Arz	you at present gainfully employed?			
	you at bresent Summit emblohed;	•		
Ť	Wage earner	1011	50.5	50.6
1 2	Wage earner Self-employed	1011 132	50.5 6.6	50.6 5.6
1 2 3	Wage earner Self-employed Student		6.6	6.6
1 2 3 4	Wage earner Self-employed	132 179	6.6 8.9	6.6 9.0
1 2 3 4 5	Wage earner Self-employed Student	132 179 11	6.6 8.9 0.5	6.6 9.0 0.6
1 2 3 4 5	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave	132 179 11 10	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5
1 2 3 4 5 6	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave Unemployed, on benefits	132 179 11 10 5	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5 0.3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave Unemployed, on benefits Unemployed, on welfare	132 179 11 10 5 79	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 3.9	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5 0.3 4.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave Unemployed, on benefits Unemployed, on welfare	132 179 11 10 5 79 11	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 3.9	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5 0.3 4.0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave Unemployed, on benefits	132 179 11 10 5 79 11	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 3.9 0.5	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5 0.3 4.0 0.6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave Unemployed, on benefits Unemployed, on welfare Chronically ill Job leave	132 179 11 10 5 79 11 13	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 3.9 0.5 0.6	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5 0.3 4.0 0.6 0.7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wage earner Self-employed Student Assisting wife Housewife Unemployed on leave Unemployed, on benefits Unemployed, on welfare Chronically ill	132 179 11 10 5 79 11	6.6 8.9 0.5 0.5 0.2 3.9 0.5	6.6 9.0 0.6 0.5 0.3 4.0 0.6

Value	Value Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
13	Early retirement	105	5.2	5.3
14	Other retired	312	15.6	15.6
15	Other not occupied	15	0.7	0.8
16	No answer	3	0.1	0.2
(5)	Skipped	3	0.1	Missing
	Total	2001	100.0	100.0
3	Below I month			54.9
2	Not occupied No unemployment	579 1087	28.9 54.3	29.3 54.9
	Dolove I was Ale	- An	1.6	4.7
		33	1.0	1.7
4	1-2 months	55	2.7	2.8
· 4 · 5	1-2 months 3-5 months	147,47)	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
. 4 . 5 . 6	1-2 months	55	2.7	2.8 2.6
4 5 6 7	1-2 months 3-5 months	55 51 55	2.7 2.5 2.7	2.8 2.6 2.8
4 5 6 7 8	1-2 months 3-5 months 6-11 month	55 51 55 60	2.7 2.5 2.7 3.0	2.8 2.6 2.8 3.0
	1-2 months 3-5 months 6-11 month 1 year or more Whole period	55 51 55 60 35	2.7 2.5 2.7 3.0 1.7	2.8 2.6 2.8 3.0 1.8
4 5 6 7 8 9	1-2 months 3-5 months 6-11 month 1 year or more	55 51 55 60	2.7 2.5 2.7 3.0	2.8 2.6 2.8 3.0