INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME

SCPR Methodological questionnaire ©SCPR

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE USING THE Work Orientations 1997 ISSP MODULE AS YOUR REFERENCE.

PLEASE WRITE IN THE NAME OF YOUR COUNTRY: DENMARK

RETURN TO: Janet Harkness, ZUMA, PO Box 12 21 55, D-68072 Mannheim, harkness@zuma-mannheim.de

Section 1: the questionnaire

1. Was the ISSP questionnaire translated or adapted in any way from the original "British English" version?

	Yes	X	→	ANSWER Q.2
	No		→	GO TO Q.3
	IF QUESTIONNAIRE TRANSLATED/ADAPTED			
2a.	Who carried out the translation of the questionnaire?			
	A specialist translator			
	A member or members of the research team	X		
	Other (PLEASE WRITE DETAILS BELOW)			
b.	Was the quality of the translation assessed?	X		ANSWER c.
	No			GO TO d.
C.	How was the quality of the translation assessed? PLEASE WRITE IN:			
BY ANG	OTHER MEMBER OF THE RESEARCH TEAM			
d.	Was the translated questionnaire pre-tested?			
	Yes	X Z		
	No	X		
e.	Were there any questions or concepts that caused			
	particular problems when being translated into your language?			
	Yes		→	ANSWER f.
	No	X	→	GO TO Q.3
	IF 'YES'			
f.	Which questions or concepts caused particular problems? PLEASE WRITE IN:			

g.	What did you do about them? PLEASE WRITE IN:			
	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER			
3.	Which of the following best described how the ISSP module was fielded in your country?			
	As an <u>individual</u> survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey)	X _		GO TO Q.5
	As part of a <u>larger</u> survey			ANSWER Q.4
	IF ISSP WAS PART OF A LARGER SURVEY			
4.	What was the approximate position of the Work Orientations module in the larger questionnaire?			
	Start of questionnaire			
	Middle of questionnaire			
	End of questionnaire			
	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER			
5.	Were the questions in the Work Orientations module all asked in the prescribed order?			
	Yes	X		
	No			
6.	Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all items except those that were optional)?			
	No - some question(s) from Work Orientations module <u>not</u> included			ANSWER Q.7
	No - some background ISSP question(s) not included			ANSWER Q.7
	Yes – all Work Orientations questions and background questions <u>included</u>	X		SECTION 2

	IF ANY CORE ISSP QUESTIONS WERE <u>NOT</u> INCLUDED
7.	Please write in details of the items and the reasons why they were not included.
	ISSP question number or description of question:
	Reason(s) not included:
	Section 2: Sampling
8.	Was your sample designed to be representative of the entire adult population of your country?
	Yes $X \longrightarrow GO TO Q.10$
	No
	IF NOT DESIGNED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE
9.	What groups were excluded from, or under-represented in, your sample design?
10	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER What was the lower age cut-off for your sample?
10.	
	WRITE IN: 1 8
11.	Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?
	Yes - please write in cut-off
	No cut-off X

12. What were the different stages in your sampling procedure? PLEASE WRITE IN:

THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE'S SAMPLING FRAME CONSISTS OF 1,400 DISTRICTS MAKING UP A FULLY REPRESENTATIVE SANPLE OF DENMARK. FOR EACH WAVE OF THE FACE-TO-FACE OMNIBUS A SAMPLE IS DRAWN OF 103 DISTRICTS MAKING UP A REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE OF DENMARK. THE UNIVERSE OF THE FACE-TO-FACE OMNIBUS CONSISTS OF ABOUT 4.4. MILLION INDIVIDUALS AGED 13 YEARS OR MORE (FOR THE ISSP-SURVEY PERSONS 13-17 YEARS OF AGE WERE SCREENED OUT)LIVING IN ABOUT 2.2. MILLION PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS. AS FOR THE SELECTION OF DISTRICTS THE INTERVIEWING DISTRICTS ARE SELECTED FROM STATISTICS COMPROMISING THE TOTAL DANISH POPULATION DIVIDED INTO APPROXIMATELY 40.000 BLOCKS OF ABOUT 100 INDIVIDUALS AGED 13 YEARS OR OVER (CF. ABOVE, PERSONS AGED 13-17 WERE SCREENED OUT). EACH BLOCK IS DENOTED BY A STARTING ADRESS AND AN END ADRESS, BOTH IN THE SAME PARISH (IN COPENHAGEN THE SAME TAX-COLLECTOR'S DISTRICT). AFTER CACULATION OF THE NUMBER POF DISTRICTS REQUIRED IN THE INDIVIDUAL AREAS DISTRICTS WERE DRAWN BY (SYSTEMATIC) RANDOM SAMPLING FROM THE INDIVIDUAL GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS AND SUBSTRATA RELATING TO DEGREE OF URBANISATION. THE BLOCKS THUS SELECTED ARE THE ACTUAL INTERVIEWING DISTRICTS

NTER	VIEWING DISTRICTS.
13.	How many of the stages were based purely on probability or random sampling methods - that is, with no 'quota controls' employed?
	None
	Some
	All X
14.	Overall, did every member of the population you were sampling have a known, non-zero, probability of selection?
	Yes, known - and equal - probability \overline{X} \longrightarrow GO TO Q.16
	Yes, known - and not equal - probability ──── ANSWER Q.15
	No, not known probability
	IF PROBABILITY EITHER NOT EQUAL OR NOT KNOWN
15.	In what way was probability of selection not equal or not known?
	PLEASE WRITE IN:
	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER
16.	What was the final number of issued clusters or sampling points?
	No clusters \overline{X}

WRITE IN:

17. What was the sampled unit that emerged from office sampling?
Address X \longrightarrow ANSWER Q.18
Household
Named individual —— GO TO Q.19
Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) — ANSWER Q.18
EVERY 4 TH HOUSEHOLD/ADRESS WERE CONTACTED (WHAT IN DENMARK IS CALLED "FORCED WALK", I.E. A RADOM SELECTION OF ADRESSES BEGINNING FROM A PARTICUALR START ADRESS, CF. Q. 12)
IF NAMED INDIVIDUAL NOT SAMPLED UNIT
18. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?
Kish grid
Quota Quota GO TO Q.20
Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) $\boxed{\mathrm{X}}$ \longrightarrow GO TO Q.19
IN EACH HOUSEHOLD CONTACTED ONE INTERVIEW WAS ATTEMPED. IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH FOUR OR MORE MEMBERS TWO INTERVIEWS WERE CONDUCTED. THE INDIVIDUALS CONDUCTED IN ANY GIVEN HOUSEHOLD WERE THE INDIVIDUAL(S) WHOSE BIRTHDAY WERE THE NEXT TO COME ROUND.
19. Were there <u>any</u> quota controls on the type of individual selected to take part in the survey (for example, age or sex controls)?
Yes $X \longrightarrow ANSWER Q.20$
No ☐ GO TO Q.21
IF QUOTA CONTROLS
20. In what way were quota controls used? PLEASE WRITE IN:
IF THERE WAS A PERSON IN THE HOUSEHOLD BETWEEN THE AGE OF 13 (18 FOR ISSP)AND 29 YEARS AN INTERVIEW WERE ATTEMPTED WITH THIS PERSON. OTHERWISE THE BIRTHDAY CRITERIA WAS.

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

Yes ANSWER Q.22

No $X \longrightarrow GO TO Q.23$

IF	Ϋ́	ES
----	----	----

22. In what way was substitution permitted? PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

23. Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?

Yes	X	 ANSWER Q.24
No		 GO TO Q.25

IF STRATIFICATION FACTORS USED

24. What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection? PLEASE WRITE IN:

STRATIFICATION BY GEOGRAPHICAL AREA AND URBANIZATION WERE EMPLOYED. MORE SPECIFICALLY, THE DISTRICTS SELECTED WERE DISTRIBUTED ON TEN GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS AND FIVE DEGREES OF URBANIZATION. FURTHERMORE, WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL AREAS, TYPES OF DWELLING AND SIZE OF TOWNS ARE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT. EACH OMNIBUS SAMPLE OF 103 DISTRICTS WERE DISTRIBUTED AS FOLLOWS:

Urbanization Geographical area	Large towns	Towns with 2,000-49,999 inhabitants	Rural districts	Total
Greater Copenhagen Area				
1, Copenhagen/Frederiksberg/gentofte	17	-	-	17
2. Greater Copenhagen area	17	-	-	17
Zealand etc., other	-	12	6	18
Funen	3	3	3	9
Jutland				
1. Southern jutland	2	12	6	20
2. Northern jutland	8	8	6	22
Total	47	35	21	103

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

25. All in all, what are the known limitations of your achieved sample?

For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

NO, NO KNOWN LIMITATIONS

Total number of starting or issued names/addresses - addresses which could not be traced at all - addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings - selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate - selected respondent away during survey period - selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - no contact at selected address - no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below) - full productive interview
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings - selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate - selected respondent away during survey period - selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - no contact at selected address - no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate - selected respondent away during survey period - selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - no contact at selected address - no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- selected respondent away during survey period - selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - no contact at selected address - no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey - no contact at selected address - no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- no contact at selected address - no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- no contact with selected person - refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- refusal at selected address - proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent) - personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- personal refusal by selected respondent - other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)
- full productive interview
- partial productive interview
IF 'OTHER' CATEGORY USED
27. Please give details of what you have included in the 'other' category above. THE SURVEY CONSISTS OF 1,034 COMPLETED INTERVIEWS. ESTIMATED GROSS SAMPLE SIZE IS 1,614 PERSONS. SAMPLING FROM A REGISTRE OF THE POPULATION IS NOT ALLOWED IN DENMARK. THE BASIC SAMPLE IS IN REALITY A SAMPLE OF "FRONT DOORS" RATHER THAN ONE OF PERSONS. LITTLE OR NOTHING IS KNOWN ABOUT THE FAMILIES LIVING BEHIND THE FRONT DOORS WHERE THE INTERVIEWER DOES NOT SUCCEED IN GETTING AN INTERVIEW. RESPONSE RATES THEREFORE CAN ONLY BE ESTIMATED. THE BASIS FOR THE ESTIMATION IS TOTAL NO. OF HOUSEHOLDS CONTACTED: NO. OF HOUSEHOLDS WHERE AN INTERVIEW IS OBTAINED; BUT THIS ROUGH ESTIMATE IS REFINED THROUGH TAKING INTO ACCOUNT A NUMBER OF FACTORS SUCH AS THE EXPECTED DISTRIBUTION OF NO. OF PERSONS THAT DO NOT GIVE AN INTERVIEW, AND A CORRECTION FACTOR FOR ADRESSES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN VISITED THE PRESCRIBED 3 TIMES BEFORE ABANDONED. THE ESTIMATED RATE OF COMPLETION IS 64%. ON "FRONT DOOR" BASIS, THE NON-RESPONSE IS DIVIDED 44%/56% ON "REFUSALS" AND "NOT AT HOME". THERE HAS NOT BEEN MADE A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF REASONS FOR REFUSALS, NO ANSWERS ETC.

Section 3: Fieldwork

28. How were the ISSP questions fielded?

	Orie		Background variables
	Face-to-face	X	X
	Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting)		
	Self-completion (postal)		
	Telephone survey		
29a.	The next group of questions are about interviewers. If no interviewers were used at any point in the ISSP survey, please go to Q30.		
	IF INTERVIEWERS USED		
b.	Were interviewers paid according to performance (for example, according to the number of interviews they obtained)?		
	Yes	X	
	No		
C.	Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household? PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY		
	Calls must be made at different times of day		
	Calls must be made on different days of week		
	Neither of the above	X	
d.	Were interviewers <u>required</u> to make a certain number of calls before they stopped approaching an address or household?		
	Minimum number of calls required - please write in number	0 3	
	No minimum call requirement		
e.	Were any interviews supervised?		
	Yes - please write in approximate proportion		%
	No	X	
f.	Were any interviews back-checked?		
	Yes - please write in approximate proportion	1 0	%
	No		

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

30.	Were postal or self-completion methods used at any point during fieldwork?
	Yes
	No $X \longrightarrow GO TO Q.32$
	IF POSTAL OR SELF-COMPLETION METHODS
31.	Were reminder letters sent, or reminder calls made, during fieldwork?
	Yes - write in maximum number
	No
	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER
32.	Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork. D D M M Y Y
	Start date 1 0 1 1 9 7
	End date 1 6 1 1 9 7
	Section 4: Data
33.	Were any measures of coding reliability employed? Yes X
	No No
34.	Were the data from the questionnaire keyed subsequent to the interview (that is, non-CAPI surveys)?
	Yes
	No $X \longrightarrow GO TO Q.36$
	IF DATA KEYED
35.	Was keying verified? Yes - please write in approximate level of verification %
	No No
	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER
36.	Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?
	Yes X

37.	Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?					
		Yes	X			
		No				
38.	Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?					
		Yes	X			
		No				
39.	Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?					
		Yes	X			
		No				
40.	Have you answered 'yes' at any or all of questions 37 to 39 above?					
	37 to 39 above!	Vaa	V		ANGWED O	4.4
		Yes		\longrightarrow	ANSWER Q.4	¥1
		No		→	GO TO Q.42	
	IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED					
41.	Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?					
		Yes - individual correction				
		Yes - automatic correction	X			
		No - not corrected				
	EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER					
42.	Were the data weighted or post-stratified?					
		Yes	X	→	ANSWER Q.4	13
		No		→	FINISH	

IE	DA	ТΛ	W	CI	JT	ED
16	IJА	1 4	vv	 LJI	71	ᄄ

43. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

IN EACH WEIGHTING STRATUM (GEOGRAPHICAL AREA) THE INTERVIEWS COMPLETED ARE WEIGHED IN RESPECT OF SEX AND AGE TO RESTORE THE DUE WEIGHTS OF THE STRATUM. THE STRATUM IN TURN ENTERS INTO THE TOTAL SAMPLE WITH A WEIGHT CORRESPONDEING TO THE PROPORTION OF THE TOTAL DANISH POPULATION AGED 13 AGES AND OVER ACCOUNTED FOR BY THE STRATUM. AFTER WEIGHING THE MATERIAL WILL SHOW THE RIGHT DISTRIBUTION ON SEX, AGE, GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS, URBANISATION AND NO. OF PERSONS IN THE HOUSEHOLD (TO COMPENSATE FOR THE FACT THAT PEOPLE LIVING IN BIG HOUSEHOLDS HAVE A SMALLER PROBABILITY OF BEING INTERVIEWED THAN THOSE LIVING IN SMALL HOUSEHOLDS). IT MUST BE ASSUMED THAT TO THE EXTEND THAT SUCH FACTORS ARE NOT CORRELATED TO THE ABOVE, PEOPLE WHO ARE VERY SELDOMLY AT HOME AND PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT PRONE TO GIVE INTERVIEWS WILL BE UNDERREPRESENTED. AN ESTIMATED 4% OF THE INHABITANTS OF THE COUNTRY DO SPEAK SO LITTLE DANISH, THAT INTERVIEWING THEM IS NOT POSSIBLE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH

NOW PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO THE ADDRESS ON THE FRONT PAGE