# The ISSP Standard Background Variables (June 2001)

The paper sets out the ISSP core background variables agreed upon at the 2001 ISSP meeting in Umeå. The background variables are compulsory except of nat\_ETHN. They should be used beginning with the ISSP module 2002 'Social Networks II'.

The first part is the list of variables and gives an overview. The variable names in bold are the variable names also used in statistical packages (e.g. SPSS), the first line of text following the variable names are used as variable labels in statistical packages (e.g. SPSS)

# List of variables:

SEX Sex of respondent AGE Age of respondent

MARITAL Marital status of respondent

**COHAB** Do you live together with a partner?

**EDUCYRS** Education I - years (of full time) schooling

**DEGREE** Education II - highest education level / degree **nat\_DEGR** Education II – country specific categories

WRKST Current employment status, main source of living

**WRKHRS** Working hours - number of hours (usually) worked weekly

(including regular overtime work)

ISCO88 Occupation - four digit 1988 ISCO / ILO occupation code

(if R not working ask most recent job)

**WRKSUP** Supervises others at work - Do you supervise the work of any other people?

(if R not working ask most recent job)

**WRKTYPE** R: Working for private sector, public sector or self-employed

(if R not working ask most recent job)

**NEMPLOY** (If self employed) Do you have any employees? If so, how many?

(if R not working ask most recent job)

**UNION** Is respondent member of a trade union

(or was in the past)?

**SPWRKST** Spouse / partner: current employment status, main source of living

SPISCO88 Spouse / partner: occupation, four digit 1988 ISCO / ILO occupation code

(if R not working ask most recent job)

**SPWRKTYP** S-P: Working for private sector, public sector or self-employed

(if R not working ask most recent job)

**INCOME** Family income

**RINCOME** Respondent's earnings

**HOMPOP** How many persons in household

**HHCYCLE** Household composition: adults and children (less than 18 years)

**PARTY\_LR** Party affiliation - left-right

(Not asked but coded in a 5-point left - right scheme by data producers)

nat\_PRTYParty affiliation - Country-specificVOTE\_LEVote last general election: Yes/noATTENDAttendance of religious services

**RELIG** Religious denomination

(asked country-specific but re-coded to standard)

**RELIGGRP** Religious main-group derived from RELIG

**TOPBOT** 10 point top-bottom scale Region - Country-specific

nat SIZE Size of community - Country-specific

**URBRURAL** Type of community: urban / rural – self-assessed

**WEIGHT** Weighting factor

**MODE** Administrative mode of data-collection

(Optional:)

nat\_ETHN Ethnic identity / family origin

Country specific

#### Variables in detail:

\_\_\_\_

**SEX** R: sex Sex of respondent

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 9. No answer

**AGE** R: Age Age of respondent

exact age 99. No answer

#### **MARITAL** R: Marital status

Marital status of respondent (legal status)

- 1. Married
- 2. Widowed
- 3. Divorced
- 4. Separated (married but separated / not living with legal spouse)
- 5. Single, never married
- 9. No answer

**Comment:** The aim of this variable is to measure the 'legal' status. Cohabitation should be asked separately

Further points to consider in this context are:

- The current procedure in some countries mixes legal status and cohabitation up
- The category 'Single' should be 'NEVER married'. Is this always clear in the respective languages?
- If the question just asks whether respondents are married, we still do not know if they are living with this partner. Do respondents then get asked whether they are or not?
- Are respondents really followed through these questions considering the correct filter-instructions?
- 'Married' should be 'married AND living together with spouse', otherwise 'separated' should be coded.

**COHAB** R: Steady life-partner (If not married and living together with spouse) Do you live together with a partner?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 9. No answer
- 0. INAP (living together with spouse Code 1 in MARITAL)

**Comment:** This additional variable measures the dimension not included in the variable MARITAL: living together with a partner but not being married. It is still open whether what the ISSP wants is 'living together' or 'having a steady life-partner' or both. The life partner may not be someone you live with. This is one reason for the great variance in frequency-distributions for this variable. Another reason is how respondents are filtered. It must be clear that all respondents are asked this question who are not living together with their legal spouse i.e. code 1 in MARITAL.

\_\_\_\_\_

### **EDUCYRS** R: Education I: years in school

Education I - years (of full time) schooling including university but not vocational training

- 95. Still at school
- 96. Still at College/University
- 98. Don't know
- 99. No answer
- 0. No formal schooling

**Comment:** It should be documented clearly whether this variable has been asked directly to the respondent or whether it has been derived from the nat\_DEGREE variable. If vocational training is a substantial part of the education with some kind of every-day schooling, it should be included whereas vocational training with only a few hours in school per week should not be counted.

\_\_\_\_\_

**DEGREE** R: Education II: categories Education II - highest education level / degree

The DEGREE of education should ASKED country-specific, NOT using the ISSP categories in the questions to the respondents. These ISSP categories should be computed from the country-specific degrees (nat\_DEGREE)

- 0. No formal qualification
- 1. Lowest formal qualification attainable
- 2. Qualifications which are above the lowest qualification, but below the usual entry requirement for universities (intermediary secondary completed)
- 3. (Usual) Entry requirement for universities (higher secondary completed: the German Abitur, the French Bac, English A-level, etc.)
- 4. Qualifications which are above the higher secondary level, but below a full university degree
- 5. University degree completed
- 9. No answer

nat\_DEGR Country-specific degrees of education

(Original categories should be translated into English)

\_\_\_\_\_

**WRKST** R: Current employment status

Current employment status, current economic position, main source of living

# **SPWRKST** S-P: Current employment status

Spouse / partner: current employment status, current economic position, main source of living

- 1. Employed full time
- 2. Employed part time
- 3. Employed less than part time / temporarily out of work
- 4. Helping family member

#### Not in labour force

- 5. Unemployed
- 6. Student / in school / in vocational training
- 7. Retired
- 8. Housewife / home duties
- 9. Permanently disabled
- 10. Others not in labour force
- 99. No answer

# only for SPWRKST:

00. INAP (no spouse, no partner - Code 2-9 in MARITAL or 2, 9 in COHAB)

ISCO88 R: Occupation ISCO 1988

SPISCO88 S-P: Occupation ISCO

Spouse / partner: occupation

# Ask ISCO88, SPISCO88 of most recent job (if R or Spouse has no current job)

Occupation - four digit 1988 ISCO / ILO occupation code

99997. Refused

99998. Don't know, inadequately described

99999. No answer

00000. INAP (never had a job)

Only for SPISCO:

00000. INAP (no spouse, no partner - Code 2-9 in MARITAL or 2, 9 in COHAB)

\_\_\_\_\_

**WRKTYPE** R: Working for private sector, public sector or self-employed **SPWRKTYP** Spouse: Working for private sector, public sector or self-employed

#### Ask WRKTYPE, SPWRKTYP of most recent job (if R has no current job)

- 1. Works for government
- 2. Works for publicly owned firm
- 3. Does not work for government or publicly owned firm, and not self employed
- 4. Self employed
- 9. No answer
- 0. Never had a job

# Only for SPWRKTYP:

0. Never had a job, INAP (no spouse, no partner - Code 2-9 in MARITAL or 2, 9 in COHAB)

\_\_\_\_\_

**NEMPLOY** R: Self-employed: how many employees (If self employed) Do you have any employees, how many? (exact number of employees)

#### Ask NEMPLOY of most recent job (if R has no current job)

9999. No answer

0. INAP (Code 0, 1-3, 9 in WRKTYPE)

\_\_\_\_\_

**WRKHRS** R: Hours worked weekly

Working hours - number of hours (usually) worked weekly

- 97. Refused
- 98. Don't know
- 99. No answer

00. INAP (currently not in labour force - Code 5-99 in WRKST)

\_\_\_\_\_

**WRKSUP** R: Supervise Supervises others at work

Do you supervise the work of any other people?

**Proposal**: ... supervise or are you held responsible ...

# Ask WRKSUP of most recent job (if R has no current job)

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 7. Refused
- 8. Don't know
- 9. No answer
- 0. INAP (currently not in labour force Code 5-99 in WRKST)

\_\_\_\_\_

**RINCOME** R: Earnings Respondent's earnings

**INCOME** Family income

Family income

#### Suggestions for handling the income questions for the moment

Income questions for the ISSP BV respondent income RINCOME and family income INCOME

Different countries ask different questions with different outputs. Comparing incomes across countries is difficult.

Some countries ask before deductions.

Countries that do this usually remind respondents what to consider as income. The details of this and the vocabulary used (even in English) differ naturally from country to country - some

include child benefits, veteran 'allotments', mention income from rents and pensions etc. Here is one example from the US GSS:

The American GSS asks income 'before taxes and other deductions' and instructs respondents

'in addition to employment, income total income includes interest or dividends, rent, social security, other pensions, alimony or child support, unemployment compensation, public aid (welfare), armed forces or veteran's allotment'.

Some of these categories show cultural anchoring fairly clearly.

Other countries ask for income <u>after deductions</u>. They tend then to focus on reminding respondents what sort of things count as deductions. The German GSS income question, for example, asks about income after deductions and on a <u>monthly</u> basis.

In reminding respondents about what count as deductions, the ALLBUS question is rather general (taxes and other deductions). The question is more salient for employed people. It is not at all certain that this kind of formulation reminds people who do not work to think about their various sources of income.

In some economies, income might be measured in livestock as much as in monetary units, so different reminders will be needed in different places.

In sum, in order to improve measurement mid term, we suggest the following:

- a) We need information from members about how income is measured in their countries in larger social surveys.
- b) We need them to send us a translation of how they are currently asking it in ISSP alongside the formulation they use in their questionnaires in their own languages.

On the basis of this, in conjunction with the demographics group working on income or with the Methodology Committee, we can come up with a suggestion for the kind of formulations that might be most useful. (We will not suggest a common formulation, of course).

- c) ISSP questions need to specify the time span involved is it weekly income, monthly income or annual income. It is unlikely that the ISSP will be able to agree on one time period. Some regions seem still to work more on weekly bases than on monthly, for example. Members should also consider that asking for annual income may be tricky for people who are not working. Pensioners in Germany at least are more likely to be able to say what they 'earn' or have on a monthly basis than on an annual basis.
- d) ISSP income questions need to specify whether the income is calculated <u>before</u> <u>deductions</u> or <u>after deductions</u>. In cultural and socio-economic terms it is probably not possible to agree that we all ask the same.

It would be a good idea to remind respondents of what counts as income (not just wages) and, if asked after deductions, what count as deductions. The problems this may pose for self completion

should be discussed and tested. The demographics organisers (Braun and Uher) can collect this information and provide feedback to the group as a whole.

Even given that we then know what the time period is and whether income is calculated before or after deductions, it is still not a simple matter to compare incomes across countries.

- e) Countries should provide national statistics on distribution of income. Details of how this could / should be organised what information in which format still need to be agreed upon among members.
- f) Members may be already using default questions or want to try default questions. The Methodology Committee or the demographics group on income could help with suggestions here we hope.

e) Members who have developed strategies for comparing incomes are asked to write them up and send them into the demographics group organisers (Braun and Uher). They will pass them on to the methodology Committee or the income group for discussion.

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ATTEND** R: Religious services - how often

Attendance of religious services

People with 'no religion' should <u>not</u> be excluded from this question.

- 1. Several times a week
- 2. Once a week
- 3. 2 or 3 times a month
- 4. Once a month
- 5. Several times a year
- 6. Once a year
- 7. Less frequently
- 8. Never
- 97. Refused
- 98. Don't know
- 99. No answer

(If codes '2' and '3' cannot be distinguished, '2' will be coded)

**RELIG** R: Religious denomination

Question:

What is your religious preference?

[Alternatively: To which religious denomination do you belong?] [Also possible: Do you belong to a religious group or community, a denomination, or a church? - 1 Yes; 2 No; If yes: Which one is that?]

# Coding scheme:

0 100	No religion ROMAN CATHOLIC
200 210 220	PROTESTANT Episcopalian, Anglican, Church of England, Church of Ireland Baptists Congregationalists
230 240	Congregationalists European Free Church (Anabaptists)
250	Lutheran
260	Methodist
270	Pentecostal
280	Presbyterian
290	Other Protestant (no specific religion mentioned)
300	ORTHODOX
310	Greek Orthodox
320	Russian Orthodox
390	Orthodox (no specific religion mentioned)
400	OTHER CHRISTIAN RELIGIONS

401-489 490 500 510 520 530 590 600 610 620 630 640 650 660-689 690	Specific Christian Groups Unspecified Christian Religion JEWISH Orthodox Conservative Reformist Jewish Religion general (no specific group mentioned) ISLAM Kharijism Mu'tazilism Sunni Shi'ism Isma'ilis Other Muslim Religions Muslim; Mohammedan; Islam (no specific religion mentioned)	
700 701-789 790	BUDDHISM Specific Buddhist Groups Buddhism general (no specific group mentioned)	
800 810-889 890	HINDUISM Specific Groups Hinduism general (no specific group mentioned)	
900 901-949 950	OTHER ASIAN RELIGION Specific Groups (Confucianism, Taoism, Shintoism) Other East Asian Religion(no specific group mentioned)	
960	OTHER RELIGIONS	
998. Don't know 999. Not answered		

# **RELIGGRP**

Derived Variable from the coding scheme above

- 1. No religion
- 2. ROMAN CATHOLIC
- 3. PROTESTANT
- 4. CHRSTIAN ORTHODOX
- 5. JEWISH
- 6. ISLAM
- 7. BUDDHISM
- 8. HINDUISM
- 9. OTHER CHRISTIAN RELIGIONS
- 10. OTHER EASTERN RELIGIONS
- 11. OTHER RELIGIONS
- 98. Don't know
- 99. Not answered

9

# TOPBOT R: Top-Bottom self-placement 10. Highest 9. 8. 7. 6. 5. 4.

3.

2.

1. Lowest

99. No answer

**UNION** R: Trade union membership Is or was R member of a trade union?

- 1. Currently member
- 2. Once member, not now
- 3. Never member
- 9. No answer

**PARTY\_LR** R: party affiliation: left - right

Party affiliation - BUT ASKED country-specific, NOT using the ISSP categories. These should be computed from the country-specific parties (nat\_PRTY) into the right scheme by the data-producer

- 1. Far left (communist etc.)
- 2. Left / centre left
- 3. Centre / liberal
- 4. Right / conservative
- 5. Far right (fascist etc.)
- 6. Other
- 7. No party, no preference
- 8. Don't know
- 9. No answer

**nat\_PRTY** R: party affiliation – country-specific

National parties mentioned are coded country-specific. Names and abbreviations for parties should be supplied in original language and also in English. The position of Parties in the left-right-scheme should be supplied as well for the construction of PRTY\_LR.

10

# **VOTE\_LE** Did R vote in last general election?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 9. No answer

**HOMPOP** How many persons in household Number of people in household

- 01. One person
- 02. Two persons

...

99. No answer

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# **HHCYCLE** Household cycle

Household composition: adults and children (less than 18 years)

- 01. Single
- 02. One adult and one child
- 03. One adult and two children
- 04. One adult and three or more children
- 05. Two adults
- 06. Two adults and one child
- 07. Two adults and two children
- 08. Two adults and three or more children
- 09. Three adults
- 10. Three adults with children
- 11. Four adults
- 12. Four adults with children
- 13. Five adults
- 14. Five adults with children
- 15. Six adults
- 16. Six adults with children
- 17. Seven adults
- 18. Seven adults with children
- 19. Eight adults
- 20. Eight adults with children
- 21. Nine adults
- 22. Nine adults with children
- 23. Ten adults
- 24. Ten adults with children
- 25. Eleven adults
- 26. Eleven adults with children
- 27. Twelve adults
- 28. Twelve adults with children
- 95. Otherwise
- 99. No answer

\_\_\_\_\_

Type of community: urban / rural - self-assessed by R

- 1. a big city
- 2. the suburbs or outskirts of a big city
- 3. a town or a small city
- 4. a country village
- 5. a farm or home in the country

\_\_\_\_\_

nat\_SIZE Size of community - country-specific

\_\_\_\_\_

nat\_REG Region

Country specific - codes should be supplied together with data and further documentation

\_\_\_\_\_

**WEIGHT** Weighting factor

1. No weighting

Comment: Details about construction of the weight are necessary

\_\_\_\_\_

**MODE** Administrative mode of data-collection (OR Data collection method)

The scheme developed in discussions between members of the, the modes demographics group, the archive and the secretariat covers both data collection methods permitted in the ISSP and methods not currently permitted.

If we keep the entire scheme we will therefore regularly have categories no one in the ISSP uses, nor is allowed to use. The methods permitted in the ISSP context run from numbers 1.0 to 3.4 below. Further data collection methods are described in 4.0 to 6.3.

We propose to drop those from 4.0 to 6.3 in the ISSP compulsory background variables setup.

Notes should be attached as necessary to remind members what one or the other category actually refers to (e.g. 1.1 and 1.2 below )

10-19 Face-to-face, paper and pencil

- 10 No visuals
- 11 Visuals
- 12 Respondent reading questionnaire
- 13 Interpreter or translator no visuals
- 14 Interpreter or translator visuals
- 20-29 Face-to-face, computer-assisted
- 20 No visuals
- 21 Visuals
- 22 Respondent reading questionnaire (paper or on monitor)
- 23 Interpreter or translator no visuals
- 24 Interpreter or translator visuals

30-39 Self-completion, paper and pencil

- 30 Arrives with interviewer, interviewer attending
- 31 Arrives with interviewer, drops-off, picks up later
- 32 Arrives with interviewer, drops off, mailed back by R
- 33 Mailed to, complete and hold for pick up
- 34 Mailed to, mailed back by R

\_\_\_\_\_

# Optional variable:

nat\_ETHN Origin country / ethnic group / ethnic identity / family origin