

***INTERNATIONAL
SOCIAL
SURVEY
PROGRAMME***

SCPR Methodological questionnaire^{©SCPR}

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE USING THE
Social Inequality 1999 ISSP MODULE AS YOUR REFERENCE.

PLEASE WRITE IN THE NAME OF YOUR COUNTRY: Denmark

RETURN TO: Janet Harkness, ZUMA, PO Box 12 21 55, D-68072 Mannheim, harkness@zuma-mannheim.de

Section 1: the questionnaire

1. Was the ISSP questionnaire translated or adapted in any way from the original "British English" version?

Yes → ANSWER Q.2

No → GO TO Q.3

IF QUESTIONNAIRE TRANSLATED/ADAPTED

- 2a. Who carried out the translation or adaptation of the questionnaire?

A specialist translator

A member or members of the research team

Other (PLEASE WRITE DETAILS BELOW)

- b. Was the quality of the translation or adaptation assessed?

Yes → ANSWER c.

No → GO TO d.

- c. How was the quality of the translation or adaptation assessed?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

Two members of the research team carried out a translation of the questionnaire independently of each other. A third member of the research team made the final translation on the background of these two translations.

- d. Was the translated/adapted questionnaire pre-tested?

Yes

No

- e. Were there any questions, formulations or concepts that caused particular problems when being translated or adapted for your country?

Yes → ANSWER f.

No → GO TO Q.3

IF 'YES'

- f. Which questions, formulations, or concepts caused particular problems?

PLEASE WRITE IN:

- g. What did you do about them?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

3. Which of the following best described how the ISSP module was fielded in your country?

As an individual survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey) → **GO TO Q.5**

As part of a larger survey → **ANSWER Q.4**

22 country-specific items were added immediately after the *Social Inequality*-module, and immediately prior to the background section. In this manner the inequality-module has not been interrupted.

IF ISSP WAS PART OF A LARGER SURVEY

4. What was the approximate position of the Social Inequality module in the larger questionnaire?

Start of questionnaire

Middle of questionnaire

End of questionnaire

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

5. Were the questions in the Social Inequality module all asked in the prescribed order?

Yes

No

6. Were all the core ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we mean all items except those that were optional)?

No - some question(s) from Social Inequality module not included → **ANSWER Q.7**

No – some required background ISSP question(s) not included → **ANSWER Q.7**

IF ANY CORE ISSP QUESTIONS WERE NOT INCLUDED

7. Please write in details of the items and the reasons why they were not included.

ISSP question number or description of question:

V318 “About how many books were there around your family’s house when you were (14/15/16(yeras old)”

URBRURAL

Reason(s) not included:

Mistake

Section 2: Sampling

8a. Was your sample designed to be representative of the entire adult population of your country?

Yes → **GO TO Q.10**
 No → **ANSWER Q.9**

IF NOT DESIGNED TO BE REPRESENTATIVE

9. What groups were excluded from, or under-represented in, your sample design?

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

10. What was the lower age cut-off for your sample?

WRITE IN :

11. Was there any upper age cut-off for your sample?

Yes - please write in cut-off

7	4
---	---

No cut-off

--

12. What were the different stages in your sampling procedure?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

Simple random sample

A representative sample was drawn from the *Central National Register (CPR)*, from which respondents' names and addresses were identified.

13. How many of the stages were based purely on probability or random sampling methods - that is, with no 'quota controls' employed?

None

Some

All

14. Overall, did every member of the population you were sampling have a known, non-zero, probability of selection?

Yes, known - and equal - probability → **GO TO Q.16**

Yes, known - and not equal - probability → **ANSWER Q.15**

No, not known probability → **ANSWER Q.15**

IF PROBABILITY EITHER NOT EQUAL OR NOT KNOWN

15. In what way was probability of selection not equal or not known?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

16. What was the final number of issued clusters or sampling points?

No clusters

WRITE IN:

17. What was the sampled unit that emerged from office sampling?

Address → ANSWER Q.18

Household → ANSWER Q.18

Named individual → GO TO Q.19

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) → ANSWER Q.18

IF NAMED INDIVIDUAL NOT SAMPLED UNIT

18. What selection method was used to identify a respondent?

Kish grid → GO TO Q.19

Birthday method → GO TO Q.19

Quota → GO TO Q.20

Other (PLEASE WRITE IN DETAILS BELOW) → GO TO Q.19

19. Were there any quota controls on the type of individual selected to take part in the survey (for example, age or sex controls)?

Yes → ANSWER Q.20

No → GO TO Q.21

IF QUOTA CONTROLS

20. In what way were quota controls used?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

21. Was substitution permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?

Yes → ANSWER Q.22

No → GO TO Q.23

IF 'YES'

22. In what way was substitution permitted?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

23. Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?

Yes → **ANSWER Q.24**
No → **GO TO Q.25**

IF STRATIFICATION FACTORS USED

24. What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection?
PLEASE WRITE IN:

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

25. All in all, what are the known limitations of your achieved sample?

For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?

Higher refusal and other nonresponse rate among the elderly, higher nonresponse rate among immigrants due to language problems.

26. Please fill in the following details about your issued sample. If some categories do not apply, please complete to the highest level of detail possible and use the 'other' box to give more information. If the ISSP survey was conducted with a sub-sample of a larger study, please provide details for the ISSP study, not the larger study.

Total number of <u>starting</u> or <u>issued</u> names/addresses	<input type="text" value="3"/>	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="6"/>	<input type="text" value="3"/>
- addresses which could not be traced at all	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- selected respondents who could not be traced (moved, unknown at address)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input type="text" value="9"/>	<input type="text"/>
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- selected respondent away during survey period	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="4"/>	<input type="text" value="5"/>	<input type="text"/>
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- no contact at selected address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- no contact with selected person	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- refusal at selected address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- proxy refusal (on behalf of selected respondent)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
- personal refusal by selected respondent	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input type="text" value="9"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input type="text"/>
- other type of unproductive (please write in full details in the box below)	<input type="text" value="9"/>	<input type="text" value="7"/>	<input type="text" value="4"/>	<input type="text"/>
- full productive interview	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="8"/>	<input type="text" value="2"/>	<input type="text" value="3"/>
- partial productive interview	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

IF 'OTHER' CATEGORY USED

27. Please give details of what you have included in the 'other' category above.

Most was due to no answer, mail survey (936 respondent). The remaining 38 respondents was omitted from the initial data set because it is another person than the one drawn from the Central Population Register who have answered the questionnaire.

Section 3: Fieldwork

28. How were the ISSP questions fielded?

	Religion module	Background variables
Face-to-face	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Self-completion (with some interviewer involvement in delivering or collecting)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Self-completion (postal)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (please enter details below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

“Other”: Telephone-interview with non-respondents.

The questionnaire was fielded as a postal survey (self-completion). Two reminders were send out. If the respondents did not respond to the reminders, telephone-interviews were conducted.

29a. The next group of questions are about interviewers.
If no interviewers were used at any point in the ISSP survey, **please go to Q30.**

IF INTERVIEWERS USED

b. Were interviewers paid according to performance (for example, according to the number of interviews they obtained)?

Yes

No

c. Which, if any, of these rules governed how an interviewer approached an address/household?

PLEASE TICK THOSE THAT APPLY

Calls/visits must be made at different times of day

Calls/visits must be made on different days of week

Neither of the above

d. Were interviewers required to make a certain number of calls/visits before they stopped approaching an address or household?

Minimum number of calls/visits required - please write in number

No minimum call requirement

e. Were any interviews supervised (supervisor accompanies interviewer)?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion All

No

f. Were any interviews back-checked (check that interview took place, etc.)?

Yes - please write in approximate proportion %

No

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

30. Were postal or self-completion methods used at any point during fieldwork?

Yes → **ANSWER Q.31**

No → **GO TO Q.32**

IF POSTAL OR SELF-COMPLETION METHODS USED

31a. Were reminder calls made during fieldwork?

Yes - write in maximum number

No

31b. Were reminder mailings made during fieldwork?

Yes - write in maximum number

No → **GO TO Q.32**

31c. Please write in the approximate date of each mailing

first mailing

	D	D	M	M	Y	Y
start	1	5	1	2	0	0
end			1	0	1	

second mailing

start	1	2	0	1	0	1
end						

third mailing

start	2	7	0	1	0	1
end						

fourth mailing

start						
end						

31d. What was included in each mailing?

	questionnaire	letter	incentive	publicity information	data protection information	Other (please write in)
first	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
second	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
third	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
	<input type="checkbox"/>					

fourth

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

32. Please write in the approximate start and end dates of fieldwork.

	D	D	M	M	Y	Y
Start date	2	0	1	2	0	0
End date	2	0	0	5	0	1

The long period is due to the fact that a supplementary sample was drawn in March and data was collected as described above in the period March-April 2001.

Section 4: Data

33. Were any measures of coding reliability employed?

Yes

No

34. Were the data from the questionnaire keyed subsequent to the interview (that is, non-CAPI surveys)?

Yes → **ANSWER Q.35**

No → **GO TO Q.36**

IF DATA KEYED

35. Was keying verified?

Yes - please write in approximate level of verification %

No

The applied dataentry system is CAPI

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

36. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?

Yes

No

37. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?

Yes

No

38. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?

Yes

No

39. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?

Yes

No

40. Have you answered 'yes' at any or all of questions 37 to 39 above?

Yes

→ ANSWER Q.41

No

→ GO TO Q.42

IF DATA CHECKED/EDITED

41. Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)?

Yes - individual correction

Yes - automatic correction

No - not corrected

EVERYONE PLEASE ANSWER

42. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?

Yes

→ ANSWER Q.43

No

→ FINISH

IF DATA WEIGHTED

43. Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH

NOW PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO THE ADDRESS ON THE FRONT PAGE