INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME

Study Monitoring Questionnaire

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE USING THE **SOCIAL NETWORKS 2001** ISSP MODULE AS YOUR REFERENCE.

RETURN TO: Janet Harkness, ZUMA, PO Box 12 21 55, D-68072 Mannheim, harkness@zuma-mannheim.de

1a. Please enter the nar	ne of your institute and	your country:		
Institute:		Country:		
Institute: Department of Country: Denmark 1b. Please enter the nar				·
Principal		Contact		
Investigator: Principal investigator: P	rofessor Joergen Go	│ Person: oul Andersen, Aalb	org University, e-ma	 nil:
goul@socsci.auc.dk Contact person: Assistar	nt professor Mette T	obiasen, Aalborg U	J niversity, email: <u>tol</u>	biasen@socsci.auc.dk
2a. What kind of institute	e fielded the module?			
	An ins	titute principally doing	market research	
	An institu	ite principally doing ac	cademic research $oxed{X}$	
	An institute doir	ng both market and ac	cademic research	
		Other (pleas	se write in details)	
2b. Which institute carrie	ed out the fielding?			
Our ISSP member institute itself	OI	R Institute name:	X	
Institute name: SFI-SURVEY Herluf Trolles Gade 11 DK-1052 Copenhagen K				
3a. Was the questionnal	ire fielded			
			Only in English	→ Question 10
		In English plus	other language(s)	→ Question 3b
		(Only in translation $oxed{X}$	→ Question 3b
3b. Please enter the lan	guage(s) the module wa	as fielded in.		
Please write in:	_			
Danish				

4.	Who carried out the translation(s) for your questionnaires? Please tick all that apply.
	A member or members of the research team $\begin{tabular}{c} X \end{tabular}$
	A translation bureau
	One or more specially trained translators
	Other (please write in details)
5.	Was the translation checked or evaluated?
	Yes $X \rightarrow Question 6$
	No ——→Question 7
6.	How was the translation checked or evaluated?
	Group discussion
	Expert checked it
	Back translation
	Other (please write in details) \overline{X}
	Please write in: Two members of the research team carried out a translation of the questionnaire independently of each other. A third member of the research team made the final translation on the background of these two translations.
7.	Was the translated questionnaire pre-tested?
	Yes
	No X
8.	Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating?
	Please tick all that apply
	No problems $X \longrightarrow Question 10$
	Answer scales
	Instructions
	Whole questions

	Other (please write in details)
Please write in details of problem	s checked/ticked above:
N/L-4 did dh	
What did you do about any proble	ms
Please enter details:	

0. H	Here we ask for details of how your mail survey was fielded.	
a.	. Were incentives offered?	
		es No X
b.	Were pre-contacts (calls, visits, post) made?	
		es X No
C.	:. How many mailings were sent out during fielding? Please enter number:	2
d.	I. What were the dates of mailings? (with multiple mailings, provide dates for t	he first three and the last)
	1	

	0	4	0	6	2	0	0	2
2	d	d	m	m	у	у	y	У
	2	0	0	6	2	0	0	2
3	d	d	m	m	у	у	у	у
4	d	d	m	m	у	у	у	у

1

After the two mailings were send out, respondents who had not returned a questionnaire (filled on unfilled) or in other ways reported that they did not want to participate, were contacted by telephone and telephone interviews were conducted. If a respondent was not reached on the first call, the survey institute called the respondent at least 5 times as a standard rule (but in practice more times: on average 8 times) before the respondent was given up.

1. Mailing:		
	YES	NO
Questionnaire	X	
Data protection information	X	
Explanatory letter	X	
Other material (Please write in details)		X
2. Mailing:		
	YES	NO
Thank you and reminder combined	X	
Thank you sent only to respondents		X
Reminder sent only to non-respondents		X
Questionnaire	X	
Data protection information		X
Explanatory letter	X	
Other material (Please write in details)		X
3. Mailing:		
	YES	NO
Questionnaire		
Data protection information		
Explanatory letter		
Other material (Please write in details)		
4. Mailing (or last, if more than four mailings):		
4. Mailing (or last, if more than roar mailings).	YES	NO
Questionnaire		
Data protection information		
Explanatory letter		
Other material (Please write in details)		
	_	

e. What was sent out in each mailing? Please check all that apply.

f. When did the fielding period finish officially?

d	d	m	m	У	У	У	У
1	5	0	8	2	0	0	2

11.	How was the ISSP module fielded in your country?
	As an $\underline{individual}$ survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey) $X \longrightarrow Question$ 13
	As part of a <u>larger</u> survey
12.	What was the approximate position of the Social Networks module in the larger questionnaire?
	Start of questionnaire
	Middle of questionnaire
	End of questionnaire
13.	Were the substantive questions in the Social Networks module all asked in the prescribed order?
	Yes X
	Yes, apart from omissions
	No
14.	Were all the <u>core</u> ISSP questions included in your mean all items except those that were optional)?
	No – substantive question(s) from Social Networks module <u>not</u> included →Question 15
	No – required background ISSP question(s) <u>not</u> included
	Yes – all Social Networks questions and background questions $\operatorname{\underline{included}}$ \overline{X} $ o$ Question 16
15	Please write in details of the items and the reasons why questions were not included.
10.	ISSP source questionnaire: question number or description of question:
	Tool of account name, question names of accomption of question.
	Reason(s) not included:

16.	Was your sample designed to be representative of	
	only adult citizens of your country?	
(See de	adults of any nationality able to complete the questionnaire / interview? etails below)	ζ.
17.	Was your sample designed to be representative of	
	only adults living in private accommodation?	→ Question 18
	adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)?	ζ
	Please enter details in box below.	
	Please enter in: The sample was a simple random sample drawn from the Central Population Register (CPR) – thus, all persons with a civil registration number was part of the sample.	
18.	What was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample?	
	WRITE IN : [1	8
19.	Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?	
	Yes - please write in cut-off	
	No cut-off	X
20.	Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements just asked about?	
	No 2	X
	Yes (please write in details)	
	If yes, please write in details:	
21.	What were the different stages in your sampling procedure? Please write in:	\neg
	 Simple random sample drawn from the Central Population Register (CPR). Updating in CPR for present address and exclusion of dead persons, persons who have emigrated etc. 	

22.	How many of the stages were based purely on probability sampling methods - that is, with no 'quota controls' employed?		
	None		
	Some		
	All	X	
23.	What probability of selection did every member of the population sampled have?		
	A known and equal probability	X	→ Question 25
	A known and not equal probability		→ Question 24
	An unknown probability of selection		→ Question 24
24.	In what way was probability of selection not equal or not known?		
	Please write in:		
25.	What was the final number of issued clusters or sampling points?		
	No clusters / sampling points	X	
	WRITE IN NUMBER:		
26.	What was the sampled unit that emerged from office sampling?		
	Address		→Question 27
	Household		→Question 27
	Named individual	X	→Question 29
	Other (please write in details)		→Question 27
27.	What selection method was used to identify a respondent?		
	Kish grid		→Question 29
	Last (or next) birthday		→Question 29
	Quota		→Question 28
	Other (please write in details)		→Question 29

28.	Please describe your quota procedures			
	Please write in:			
29.	Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection pro or during fieldwork?	cess		
		Yes		→Question 30
		No	X	→Question 31
30.	In what way was substitution or replacement permitted?			
	Please write in:			
31.	Did you use any stratification factors when drawing your sample?	Yes [→Question 32
		No	X	→Question 33
32.	What stratification factors were used, and at what stage(s) of selection?			
	Please write in:			
33.	All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>achieved</u> sample example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either becausample design or response differences?			
	Please write in:			
	None known			

34.	Please fill in the following details about your issued sample. If some categories do not apply, please complete to the highest level of detail possible and use the 'other' box to give more information.		
	Total number of <u>starting</u> or <u>issued</u> names/addresses	1 9 4	4
	- addresses which could not be traced		0
	- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings		0
	- details of address wrong (street numbers, post codes, etc.)		0
	- addresses with no letter boxes		0
	- selected respondent unknown at address		0
	- selected respondent moved, no forwarding address	2 3	3
	- selected respondent too sick/incapacitated to participate	4	3
	- selected respondent deceased		4
	- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey	1	3
	- selected respondent away during survey period		0
	- refusal by selected respondent	2 1 2	2
	- refusal by another person		0
	- implicit refusals (empty envelopes, empty questionnaires returned)	3 5	4
	 other type of unproductive reaction (please write in details in box below) 		0
	- completed returned questionnaires	1 2 9 3	3
	- partially completed returned questionnaires		2
	- no contact		0
	Other information or other type of unproductive reaction		
35.	Please write in: Of the 1295 interviews two persons did not match on their self-reported age and their age according to the Central Population Register. These persons are deleted from the datafile since it most likely is a different person than the selected, that have filled in the questionnaire. Consequently the datafile consists of 1293 persons. Were any measures of coding reliability employed? Yes		
	No		

36.	Was keying of the data verified?			
	Yes - please write in approximate level of verification $egin{array}{c c} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ %			
	No			
37.	Were any reliability checks made on derived variables?			
	Yes X			
	No			
38.	Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?			
	Yes X			
	No			
39.	39. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?			
	Yes X			
	No			
40.	Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?			
	Yes X			
	No			
	If you answered YES for <u>any</u> question from Q35 to Q40, continue with Question 41.			
	If you answered NO for <u>all</u> questions Q35 to Q40, continue with Question 42.			
41.	Were errors corrected individually or automatically (through, for example, a 'forced' edit)? Please tick all that apply.			
	Yes - individual correction \overline{X}			
	Yes - automatic correction			
	No - not corrected			

42.	Were the data weighted or post-stratified?			
		Yes	→ Question 43	
		No X	→ Question 44	
43.	Please briefly describe the weighting or post-stratification strategy used.			
	Please write in:			
44.	Is a national methods report available for your study?			
		Yes		
		No X		
NOW PLEASE RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO THE ADDRESS ON THE FRONT PAGE				

THANK YOU VERY MUCH