## INTERNATIONAL SOCIAL SURVEY PROGRAMME

## Study Monitoring Questionnaire

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE USING THE CITIZENSHIP 2004 ISSP MODULE AS YOUR REFERENCE.

RETURN TO: Janet Harkness, ZUMA, PO Box 12 21 55, D-68072 Mannheim, issp@zuma-mannheim.de

1a.	Please enter the na	ne of your institu	te and your co	ountry:			
	Institute:			Country:			
Depart	ment of Economics	, Politics and Pւ	ublic Adminis	stration. Aalbo	org University. Denmark.		
1b.	Please enter the natabout the study:	ne of the principa	al investigator	and your conta	act person for questions		
	Principal			Contact			
					Politics and Public Administration,		
_	rstraede 1, 9220 Aal	_					
	ct person: David He rstraede 1, 9220 Aal				olitics and Public Administration,		
2a.	What kind of institut	e fielded the mod	dule?				
			An institute p	rincipally doing	market research		
		Ar	n institute prin	cipally doing ac	cademic research $oxed{X}$		
		An institu	ıte doing both	market and ac	cademic research		
				Other (pleas	se write in details)		
2b.	Which institute carri	ed out the fielding	g?				
	Our ISSP member institute itself		OR	Institute name:	X		
	te name: IRVEY, Herluf Trolle	s Gado 11 1050	Conenhage	n K Donmark			
	Was the questionna		. Copernage	ii it, Deiiiilai k			
Sa.	was the questionna	ire lielded			anticin Finalish Overtion 24		
			i.	a English plus	only in English $\longrightarrow$ Question 34 other language(s) $\longrightarrow$ Question 3b		
			II	•	F		
				•	only in translation $X  o Question 3b$		
3b.	Please enter the lan	guage(s) the mo	dule was field	ed in.			
	Please write in: La	nguage 1 to Lang	juage X				
	Danish						
4.	Who carried out trans	slation 1? Please	tick all that a	pply.			
			A member or	members of tl	he research team $oxed{X}$		

	A translation bureau	
One or more	specially trained translators	
Of	ther (please write in details)	
5. Was translation 1 checked?		
•	Yes, all of the questionnaire	$X \rightarrow Question 6$
Y	es, only the new questions	→Question 6
	No	→Question 7
6. How was translation 1 checked?		
	Group discussion	
	Expert checked it	
	Back translation	
Of	ther (please write in details)	X
7. Was the translation 1 questionnaire pre-tested?		
7. Was the translation i questionnaire pre-testeu?	Yes	
	No	X
8. Were there any questions, sections, words or concep when translating into language 1? Please tick all that a Of Please write in details of problems checked/ticked about	Pply.  No problems  Answer scales  Instructions  Whole questions  Words or concepts  ther (please write in details)	

Please enter details:	
10. Who carried out translation 2? Please tick all that apply. (answer only if you have two or more translations/languages, otherwise continue with	h question 34)
A member or members of the research team	
A translation bureau	
One or more specially trained translators	
Other (please write in details)	
11. Was translation 2 checked?	
Yes, all of the questionnaire	→Question 12
Yes, only the new questions	→Question 12
No	→Question 13
12. How was translation 2 checked?	
Group discussion	
Expert checked it	
Back translation	
Other (please write in details)  Please write in:	
Flease write III.	
13. Was the translation 2 questionnaire pre-tested?	
Yes	
No	

9. What did you do about any problems in translation 1?

14. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating into language 2? Please tick all that apply.	
No problems	→Question 16
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	
15. What did you do about any problems in translation 2?	
Please enter details:	
16. Who carried out translation 3? Please tick all that apply.  answer only if you have three or more translations/languages, otherwise continue was a second continue with the continue was a second	rith guestion 34)
A member or members of the research team	
A translation bureau	
One or more specially trained translators	
Other (please write in details)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17. Was translation 3 checked?	
Yes, all of the questionnaire	→Question 18
Yes, only the new questions	→Question 18
No	→Question 19

Group discussion	
Expert checked it	
Back translation	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in:	
Was the translation 3 questionnaire pre-tested?	
Yes	
No	
Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating into language 3? Please tick all that apply.	
No problems	→Question 22
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	
Trease write in details of problems effectively licked above.	
What did you do about any problems in translation 3?	
Please enter details:	

18. How was translation 3 checked?

22. Who carried out translation 4? Please tick all that	apply.
(answer only if you have four or more translations/	
A member o	r members of the research team
	A translation bureau
One or n	nore specially trained translators
	Other (please write in details)
23. Was translation 4 checked?	
	Yes, all of the questionnaire ————————————————————————————————————
	Yes, only the new questions ——→Question 24
	No→Question 25
24. How was translation 4 checked?	
	Group discussion
	Expert checked it
	Back translation
	Other (please write in details)
Please write in:	
25. Was the translation 4 questionnaire pre-tested?	
	Yes
	No

when translating into language 4? Please tick all that apply.	
No problems	→Question 28
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	
27. What did you do about any problems in translation 4?	
Please enter details:	
28. Who carried out translation 5? Please tick all that apply.  (answer only if you have five or more translations/languages, otherwise continue with the continue of the continue with the continue of the co	th question 34)
A member or members of the research team	
A translation bureau	
One or more specially trained translators	
Other (please write in details)	
29. Was translation 5 checked?	
Yes, all of the questionnaire	→Question 30
Yes, only the new questions	→Question 30
No	→Question 31

26. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems

Group discussion	
Expert checked it	
Back translation	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in:	
31. Was the translation 5 questionnaire pre-tested?	
Yes	
No	
32. Were there any questions, sections, words or concepts which caused problems when translating into language 5? Please tick all that apply.	
No problems	→Question 34
Answer scales	
Instructions	
Whole questions	
Words or concepts	
Other (please write in details)	
Please write in details of problems checked/ticked above:	
33. What did you do about any problems in translation 5?	
Please enter details:	

30. How was translation 5 checked?

	Were any contacts made by telephone or interviewer? Please tick all that apply.
	Yes - precontacts by telephone
	Yes - precontacts by personal visit
	Yes - reminders by telephone
	Yes - reminders by personal visit
	Yes - Other (please write in details) $\overline{X}$
interviews v respondent	nts had not responded after two mailings respondents were contacted by telephone and telephone were conducted. If a respondent was not reached on the first call the survey institute contacted the at least five times before the respondent was given up. 89,6 percent of respondents responded by ercent of the interviews were completed as telephone interviews.
	No - no telephone or personal (visit) contacts at all
b. I	How many mailings were sent out during fielding? Please enter number:
c. \	What were the dates of mailings? (with multiple mailings, provide dates for the first three and the last)
	1
	2
	3
	4
d. \	What was sent out in each mailing? Please check all that apply.
1. Ma	ailing:
	YES NO
	Questionnaire X
	Data protection information
	Explanatory letter X
	Incentive
	Other material (Please write in details)

34. Here we ask questions on your mail survey.

2. Mailing	(answer only if you have two or more mailings, otherwise continue with q	uestion (	<mark>34e)</mark> :
		YES	NO
	Thank you and reminder combined		X
	Thank you sent only to respondents		X
	Reminder sent only to non-respondents	X	
	Questionnaire	X	
	Data protection information		X
	Explanatory letter		X
	Incentive		X
	Other material (Please write in details)		X
_			
3. Mailing	g (answer only if you have three or more mailings, otherwise continue with	question	n 34e):
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	YES	NO
	Questionnaire		
	Data protection information		
	Explanatory letter		
	Incentive		
	Other material (Please write in details)		
	Other material (Flease write in details)		
_			
A NA=111			
	g (or last, if more than four mailings) <mark>(answer only if you have three or mor</mark> s <mark>tion 34e)</mark> :	e mallino	js, otherwise (
		YES	NO
	Questionnaire		
	Data protection information		
	Explanatory letter		
	Incentive		
	Other material (Please write in details)		
_	,		

e. When did the fielding period finish officially?

d	d	m	m	У	У	У	У
1	5	0	6	2	0	0	5

35. How was the ISSP module fielded in your country?	
33. How was the 133F module helded in your country?	
As an <u>individual</u> survey (that is, the ISSP module was the whole survey)	X →Question 38
As part of a <u>larger</u> survey	→Question 36
36. Please provide information about the other stud(ies) the ISSP was fielded with (e.g.,	topic, survey name).
Please enter:	
37. What was the approximate position of the Citizenship module in the larger questionnaire?	
Start of questionnaire	
Middle of questionnaire	
·	
End of questionnaire	
38. Were the substantive questions in the Citizenship module all asked in the prescribed order?	
Yes [	X →Question 40
Yes, apart from omissions	→Question 39
No	→Question 39
•	
39. Why was the question order changed?	
Please enter:	

mean all required substantive and background questions)?
Yes – all Citizenship questions and background questions $\underline{included}\ \ \overline{X} \to Question$ 42
No – substantive question(s) from Citizenship module <u>missing</u>
No – required background ISSP question(s) <u>missing</u> →Question 41
41. Please provide details of the questions missing and indicate why they are missing.
ISSP source questionnaire: question number or description of question:
Reason(s) why missing:
Here we ask questions on sampling. 42. First, was your sample designed to be representative of
Only adult citizens of your country?
Adults of any nationality residing in your country? $\overline{X}$
43. Second, was your sample designed to be representative of
Only adults living in private accommodation?
Adults living in private and in institutional accommodation (e.g., residential homes for the elderly, asylum accommodation)? $\overline{X}$
If private and institutional, please enter details in box below.
Please enter in:
All institutions (for instance old-age home) – but not prisons and similar institutions
44. Third, what was the <u>lower</u> age cut-off for your sample?
WRITE IN: 1 8
45. Was there any <u>upper</u> age cut-off for your sample?
Yes - please write in cut-off

40. Were all the  $\underline{\text{core}}$  ISSP questions included in your questionnaire (by core we

from the age cut-offs or citizenship requirements jus	t asked about?	
	No X	
	Yes (please write in details)	
If yes, write in details:		1
n yes, whe in details.		
47. Did you use any variables for stratification?	Yes	→Question 48
	No $X$	→Question 49
48. Please describe the stratification variables used?		
		-
Please write in:		
		•
49. How many of stages does your sampling design hav	e?	
	One stage $\overline{X}$	
	Two stages	
	Three stages	
	Four stages	
50. Does your sampling frame consist of?		
	Addresses	
	Households	
Named ir	ndividuals (the target persons) $\overline{X}$	
	iduals ( <u>not</u> the target persons)	
	Areas	
Somethin	ng else (please write in details)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J

46. Were any groups excluded or under-represented in your sample design, apart

Named individuals with adresses

51.	Please describe your sampling frame (e.g., population register, electoral roll, telephone and updating).	directory and its coverage
	uple was a simple random sample drawn from the Central Population Register (CPR), which is the Denmark. Addresses were updated at the CPR-office at the Ministry of the Interior	
address	es and exclude persons who have died etc. Thus, all persons with a civil registration number	
part of t	the sample.	
	Please write in:	]
		-
52.	Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the first stage?	
	Please write in:	
53.	Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the second stage?	
	(only if you have two or more stages, otherwise continue with question 56)	
	Please write in:	
54	Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the third stage?	
	(only if you have three or more stages, otherwise continue with question 56)	
	Please write in:	]
<b>E</b> E	Diagon describe value compling method and value compled units for the fourth stage?	
55.	Please describe your sampling method and your sampled units for the fourth stage? (only if you have four stages, otherwise continue with question 56)	
	Please write in:	1
	Ficase wille III.	

56.	What selection method was used to identify a respondent?		
	Please tick all that apply. (do <u>not</u> answer if your sampling frame consists of named in target persons. Then continue with question 58)	naivi	duais – Which are th
	Kish grid		
	- L		
	Last (or next) birthday		
	Quota		
	Other (please write in details)		
57.	Please describe your quota procedures. (only if you used quota, otherwise continue v	with	question 58)
	Please write in:	$\neg$	
58.	Was substitution or replacement permitted at any stage of your selection process or during fieldwork?		
	Г	$\neg$	Ougation 50
	Yes [		→Question 59
	No [	<u>X</u>	→Question 60
59.	Please provide details of the substitution or replacement procedures used.		
	Please write in:	$\Box$	
60.	All in all, what are the known limitations (biases) of your <u>net</u> sample?		
	For example: is there differential coverage of particular groups, either because of sample design or response differences?		
	Please write in:	$\neg$	
	None known		
	1	- 1	

Please fill in the following details about your issued sample.  Some categories may well not apply, but please complete to the highest level of				
detail possible.  Total number of <u>starting</u> or <u>issued</u> names/addresses (gross sample size)	1	8	4	5
- addresses which could not be traced				
- addresses established as empty, demolished or containing no private dwellings				
- details of address wrong (street numbers, post codes, etc.)				
- addresses with no letter boxes				
selected respondent unknown at address				
- selected respondent moved, no forwarding address			1	2
- selected respondent too sick / incapacitated to participate			4	3
- selected respondent deceased			2	0
- selected respondent had inadequate understanding of language of survey				
- selected respondent away during survey period			1	1
- refusal by selected respondent		3	7	4
- refusal by another person				
- partial productive interview				
- implicit refusals (empty envelopes, empty questionnaires returned)				
- other type of unproductive reaction (please write in full details in the box below)		1	6	5
- completed returned questionnaires (net sample size)	1	1	8	0
- partially completed returned questionnaires				6
- no contact	1	1	8	6
More information or Other type of unproductive reaction  Please write in:		7		
Other type of unproductive reaction:  1)Not reached by telephone follow up (84 respondents)  2)Claim that they will/did send the questionnaire (39 respondents).  3)No telephone so it wasn't possible to trace them in the telephone follow up. (17 respondents)  4)Other (25 respondents)  Were any measures of coding reliability employed?	v			
Yes	Λ —	_ _		
No	1			

63. Was keying of the data verified? <b>Yes</b>
Yes - please write in approximate level of verification  %
No
64. Were any reliability checks made on derived variables (that is, variables constructed on the basis of other variables collected)?
Yes X
No
No derived variables
65. Were data checked/edited to ensure that filter instructions were followed correctly?
Yes X
No
66. Were data checked/edited for logic or consistency?
Yes $X$
No
67. Were data checked/edited to ensure they fell within permitted ranges?
Yes X
No
If you answered YES for <u>any</u> question from Q62 to Q67, continue with Question 68. If you answered NO for <u>all</u> questions Q62 to Q67, continue with Question 69.
68. Were errors corrected? Please tick all that apply.
Yes - individually $oxed{X}$
Yes - automatically
No - not corrected
69. Were the data weighted or post-stratified?
Yes
No $X \rightarrow \text{Question 71}$

Please write in:		
. Is a national methods report available for your stu	dy?	
	Yes	
	No X	
. If there is anything you would like to comment on,	please do so here.	
. If there is anything you would like to comment on,	please do so here.	
. If there is anything you would like to comment on,  Please write in:	please do so here.	
	please do so here.	